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Preface

The findings of the Commission’s 2008 Annual Report prompt us to consider not simply what the Chinese government and Communist Party may do in the months and years ahead, but what we must do differently in view of developments in China over the last year. We understand that China today is significantly changed from the China of several decades ago, and that the challenges facing its people and leaders are complex. As the United States engages China, it is also vital that our nation pursue the issues that are the charge of this Commission: individual human rights, including worker rights, and the safeguards of the rule of law. As China plays an increasingly significant role in the international community, this report describes how China repeatedly has failed to abide by its commitments to internationally recognized standards. Therefore it is vital that there be continuing assessment of China’s commitments. This is not a matter of one country meddling in the affairs of another. Other nations, including ours, have both the responsibility and a legitimate interest in ensuring compliance with international commitments. It is in this context, as Chairman and Co-Chairman of the Congressional-Executive Commission on China, that we submit the Commission’s 2008 Annual Report.

This year the international community watched with dismay as Chinese authorities responded with overwhelming force to a wave of public protests that spread across Tibetan areas of China. Amidst the astonishment with which people around the world more recently witnessed the spectacular opening ceremonies of the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games and China’s effective management of the Games, Chinese authorities failed to fulfill several Olympics-related commitments—including commitments to press freedom, media access, the free flow of information, and freedom of assembly. The Chinese government’s and Communist Party’s continuing crackdown on China’s ethnic minority citizens, ongoing manipulation of the media, and heightened repression of rights defenders reveal a level of state control over society that is incompatible with the development of the rule of law. The cases of well over a thousand of the political and religious prisoners languishing in jails and prisons in China today are documented by the Commission’s publicly accessible Political Prisoner Database.

During the past 12 months, the Chinese government and Communist Party have outlined legislative and regulatory developments in areas such as anti-monopoly, open government information, collective contracting, employment promotion, regulation of the legal profession, and intellectual property, among others. Based on China’s record of past enforcement, these new measures will re-
quire consistent and transparent implementation if they are to fulfill the government's stated objectives. China's record on human rights and the development of the rule of law over the last year continued to reflect the following troubling trends: (1) heightened intolerance of citizen activism and suppression of information on matters of public concern; (2) ongoing instrumental use of law for political purposes; (3) stepped up efforts to insulate the central leadership from the backlash of national policy failures; and (4) heightened reliance on emergency measures as instruments of social control. The Chinese government and Communist Party continue to equate citizen activism and public protest with "social instability" and "social unrest." China's increasingly active and engaged citizenry is one of China's most important resources for addressing the myriad public policy problems the Chinese people face, including food safety, forced labor, environmental degradation, and corruption. Citizen engagement, not repression, is the path to the effective implementation of basic human rights, and to the ability of all people in China to live under the rule of law.

Sander M. Levin, Chairman Byron L. Dorgan, Co-Chairman
GENERAL OVERVIEW

Over the last year, the following general trends with regard to human rights and the development of the rule of law have been evident in China:

1. The Chinese government’s and Communist Party’s intolerance of citizen activism increased, as did the suppression by authorities of information on matters of public concern.

2. The instrumental use of law for political purposes continued, and intensified in some areas, notwithstanding developments in areas such as death penalty reform, anti-monopoly, open government information, employment promotion, and collective labor contracting.

3. Official efforts to insulate the central leadership from the backlash of national policy failures continued, as efforts to prevent “sensitive” disputes from entering legal channels that lead to Beijing intensified.

4. In the wake of Tibetan protests, the Sichuan earthquake, the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games, and, most recently, a food safety crisis involving tainted milk products, the stake that Chinese citizens and citizens of other countries have in improved governance in China continued to rise. The Chinese government’s and Communist Party's increasing reliance on emergency measures as instruments of social control over the last year underscored the downside risk of insufficient or ineffective rule of law reforms.

INTOLERANCE OF CITIZEN ACTIVISM

The clearest manifestations of Chinese government and Communist Party intolerance of citizen activism during the past year were the detention, “patriotic education,” isolation, and deaths of Tibetans following protests in Tibetan areas of China. Authorities failed to distinguish between peaceful protesters and rioters as required under both Chinese law and international human rights norms. Heightened intolerance of peaceful protest also was evident in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) in the aftermath of demonstrations in Hoten and amid security preparations for the 2008 Olympic Games. Participants in the Hoten demonstrations protested government policies against a backdrop of rising controls and repressive measures in the XUAR, including widespread detentions, restrictions on Uyghurs’ freedom to travel, and heightened surveillance over religious activities and religious practitioners.

Illegal detentions and harassment of dissidents and petitioners followed the Chinese government and Communist Party's instructions to officials to ensure a “harmonious” and dissent-free Olympics. Individuals detained for circulating a “We Want Human Rights, Not Olympics” petition are now serving sentences in prison and “reeducation through labor” (RTL) centers. The government designated special locations or “zones” for public protest during the 2008 Olympic Games, but no protests received approval, and the harassment of applicants for protest permits has been reported. Authorities also harassed legal advocates connected to religion-related cases and active in defending religious groups. Such harassment intensified in the run-up to and during the 2008 Olympic...
Games. Advocates and rights defenders were placed under 24-hour police surveillance during the resumption of the U.S.-China Human Rights Dialogue held in Beijing, and also during a visit to Beijing by Members of the U.S. Congress. Central and local officials also tightened controls over political organizations and political party figures affiliated with parties other than the ruling Chinese Communist Party. Central authorities took steps to quell burgeoning public discussion of the merits of eliminating or phasing out the one-child population planning policy. Authorities targeted a number of HIV/AIDS and other health advocacy organizations, and shut down or removed content from their Web sites.

INSTRUMENTAL USE OF LAW FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES

Chinese authorities’ use of law as an instrument of politics continued unabated, and intensified in some areas. Provisions in Internet regulations that prohibit content deemed “harmful to the honor or interests of the nation” and “disrupting the solidarity of peoples,” supplied “legal” justification for the censorship of Internet content deemed politically sensitive. The crime of “inciting subversion of state power” under Article 105, Paragraph 2, of the Criminal Law continued to be a principal tool for punishing those who peaceably criticized the Chinese government or who advocated for human rights on the Internet. Chinese government authorities particularly targeted persons who openly tied their criticism to China’s hosting of the 2008 Olympic Games or handling of the Sichuan earthquake. Legal provisions that prohibit the incitement of others “to split the state or undermine unity of the country” (Criminal Law, Article 103) have been invoked to punish Tibetans for peaceful expressions of support for the Dalai Lama or for their wish for Tibetan independence. Possession of a photograph of the Dalai Lama or a copy of one of his speeches continued to serve as evidence of “splittism.” National and local measures regulating Tibetan Buddhism, and the Regional Ethnic Autonomy Law, prioritize fulfillment of government and Party political objectives and fail to protect Tibetan culture, language, or religion. Pursuant to a 1999 Decision of the National People’s Congress Standing Committee that established a ban on “cult organizations,” the Chinese government continued to detain and punish Falun Gong practitioners and members of other spiritual and religious groups.

Legal provisions concerning national unity, internal security, social order, and the promotion of a “harmonious society” that were included in new legislation and regulations in 2006 and 2007 were invoked in cases of detention and imprisonment in the last year. China’s legal and judicial authorities continued to deny fundamental procedural protections (such as access to a lawyer or a public trial) to those accused of state security crimes. The number of cases in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region of state security crimes, including cases involving peaceful expression or religious practice, remains high. Officials continued to use the charge of “illegal operation of a business” as a pretext to detain or convict individuals who publish religious materials or other materials deemed “sensitive.”

The Chinese government requires Home Return Permits (HRP) for Hong Kong and Macau residents who are Chinese citizens to
visit the mainland. The Chinese government confiscated the HRPs of citizens deemed prone to overstep the limits of “normal” or “approved” activities, and continued to deny the issuance of HRPs to 12 pro-democracy members of Hong Kong’s Legislative Council allegedly for their support of Tiananmen Square protesters in 1989 and their criticism of the Chinese government. In the past year, authorities confiscated, revoked, denied entry, or refused to renew or accept the passport applications of several known dissidents, and denied entry to a Hong Kong reporter covering the 2008 Olympic Games for a pro-democracy Chinese-language newspaper.

INSULATION OF THE CENTRAL LEADERSHIP FROM THE BACKLASH OF POLICY FAILURE

One objective of China’s new Law on Emergency Response, which took effect on November 1, 2007, is to “prevent minor mishaps from turning into major public crises” according to legislators cited in official reports. Shortly after the Sichuan earthquake in May, the Supreme People’s Court issued a Circular titled, “Completing Trial Work During the Earthquake Disaster Relief Period to Earnestly Safeguard Social Stability” instructing courts to “exercise caution in examining and docketing” cases that are “socially sensitive” or “collective” (e.g., multiple plaintiffs litigating collectively), and “to use mediation to achieve reconciliation through the withdrawal of charges to resolve disputes.” In the wake of the earthquake, Party officials directed Chinese media and news editors to focus on “positive” stories that projected national unity and stability, and in the run-up to the 2008 Olympic Games ordered the media to avoid “negative stories” such as those relating to air quality and food safety problems.

Following Tibetan protests this spring, which involved thousands of protesters, Chinese authorities repeatedly placed blame on the actions of “a small handful” of “rioters” and “unlawful elements.” The emphasis on “a small handful,” combined with propaganda that holds the Dalai Lama personally accountable for events and developments, appears to be a strategy aimed at prompting Chinese citizens to rally around the government, and to pre-empt their pressing the government to explain the frustration and anger of the large number of Tibetan protesters. Authorities have revealed little information about the names of Tibetans detained, the charges (if any) against them, the locations of courts handling the cases, or the location of facilities where protesters have been or remain detained or imprisoned. As a result, China’s non-Tibetan citizens are even less likely than before to raise questions or complaints about China’s Tibet policy.
RISING STAKES OF LEGAL REFORM IN CHINA

In part due to China’s increasing engagement with the world economy, events within China have had an increasing influence on its neighbors and trading partners. Unsafe Chinese exports continue to demonstrate the rising stakes of China’s relative lack of government transparency, its weak legal institutions, and the Chinese government’s failure to enforce its own product safety laws. China’s global reach also affords the government an array of levers through which to reward overseas entities who support or remain silent on domestic Chinese human rights abuses, while penalizing those who criticize the Chinese government’s practices. China’s actions related to Darfur, Sudan, may be understood, at least in part, in this context.

Government and Party rhetoric warning against foreign influence became more strident in the last year. The Commission also observed detentions of ethnic minority citizens active in international arenas or perceived to have ties with overseas groups. In the past year, authorities targeted some Chinese religious adherents with ties to foreign co-religionists for harassment, detention, and other abuses. In the region along China’s border with North Korea, authorities reportedly shut down churches found to have ties with South Koreans or other foreign nationals.

The rising stakes of legal reform also became increasingly evident in the non-governmental organization (NGO) sector over the last year. China’s new Corporate Income Tax Law, which took effect on January 1, 2008, encourages public and corporate charitable donations through the provision of tax benefits. Increases in corporate donations and support for NGO activities in the wake of this year’s earthquake in Sichuan may have been attributable in part to these provisions. The majority of NGOs in China, however, regardless of their registration status, cannot engage in fundraising activities because charity-related laws only allow a small number of government-approved foundations to collect and distribute donations. This restriction posed significant challenges for the provision of victims’ support services in the aftermath of the May Sichuan earthquake, when unprecedented donations overwhelmed the government. China also is an origin, transit, and destination country for human trafficking. Chinese trafficking victims can be found in Europe, Africa, Latin America, Northeast Asia, and North America. Trafficking victims from Southeast Asia, the Russian Far East, Mongolia, and North Korea are trafficked to China, where victims are much in need of support services. The small number of government-approved foundations and the limited capacity to manage funds continued to impact the availability of victims’ support and social services.

Even as the Commission highlights these areas of concern, China over the past year has outlined a number of laws and regulations that have the potential to produce positive results if central and local government departments and Party officials prove their ability and willingness to implement them faithfully. Developments in areas such as anti-monopoly, open government information, collective contracting, employment promotion, regulation of the legal profession, and intellectual property, among others, are reported in de-
tail in the pages that follow. The past year also marked the first
time that Chinese courts mandated criminal punishment in a sex-
ual harassment case, and issued a civil protection order in a di-
vorce case involving domestic violence. And, as the Commission
reported last year, the resumption of the Supreme People’s Court’s
review of death penalty sentences was a significant development
for China’s criminal justice system. Since January 1, 2007, when
the death penalty reform took effect, the Chinese government has
reported a 30-percent decrease in the number of death sentences.
The Commission will continue to monitor the effectiveness of Chi-
na’s implementation of the rule of law and human rights in the
year ahead.

*The Commission’s Executive Branch members have participated in
and supported the work of the Commission. The content of this An-
nual Report, including its findings, views, and recommendations,
does not necessarily reflect the views of individual Executive Branch
members or the policies of the Administration.*
I. Executive Summary and Recommendations

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS BY SUBSTANTIVE AREA

A summary of findings for the last year follows below for each area that the Commission monitors. In each area, the Commission has identified a set of specific findings that merit attention over the next year, and, in accordance with the Commission’s mandate, a set of recommendations to the President and the Congress for legislative or executive action.

RIGHTS OF CRIMINAL SUSPECTS AND DEFENDANTS

Findings

- The rights of criminal suspects and defendants continued to fall far short of the rights guaranteed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, as well as rights provided for under China’s Criminal Procedure Law (CPL) and Constitution.
- The Lawyers’ Law was revised to enhance the rights of criminal defense lawyers, but some provisions in the revised law conflict with the Criminal Procedure Law.
- Since the Supreme People’s Court (SPC) reclaimed its authority to review death penalty sentences as of January 1, 2007, the SPC has overturned 15 percent of all death sentences handed down by lower courts (through the first half of 2008). During 2007, 30 percent fewer death sentences were reportedly meted out, compared with the number of death sentences in 2006. The number of executions carried out annually remains a state secret, however.
- Chinese authorities continued to imprison individuals who were sentenced for political crimes, including “counter-revolutionary” crimes that no longer exist under the current Criminal Law. Individuals involved in the 1989 democracy protests are still being held in prisons in China.
- Misuse of police power and arbitrary detention remain serious problems. Police officers illegally monitored and subjected to arbitrary “house arrest” human rights lawyers and other advocates in Beijing and elsewhere in connection with the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games.
- Local officials continued to abuse police power to suppress public protests. Following numerous clashes between police and civilians, the central government promulgated new rules that hold local officials responsible for misusing police power in “mass incidents” and for mishandling grievances.

Recommendations

○ Sponsor technical assistance programs to support judicial reform and revisions to the Criminal Procedure Law and to ensure their effective implementation, with the aim of bringing China’s criminal justice system into conformance with the standards set forth in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.
Press the Chinese government to amend state secrets laws and related regulations that prohibit making public the number of executions carried out in China, and to implement such provisions effectively.

Continue to call on the Chinese government to release those prisoners still in prison for counterrevolutionary and other political crimes, including those imprisoned for their involvement in the 1989 democracy protests, as well as other prisoners included in this report and in the Commission's Political Prisoner Database.

WORKER RIGHTS

Findings

• Workers in China still are not guaranteed either in law or in practice full worker rights in accordance with international standards. China's laws, regulations, and governing practices continue to deny workers fundamental rights, including, but not limited to, the right to organize into independent unions. Workers who tried to establish independent associations or organize demonstrations continue to risk harassment, detention, and other abuses. Residency restrictions continue to present hardships for workers who migrate for jobs to urban areas. Tight controls over civil society organizations hinder the ability of citizen groups to champion worker rights.

• Labor disputes and protests intensified during 2008. Management's failure to pay wage arrears, overtime, severance pay, or social security contributions, were the most common causes. Social and economic changes, weak legislative frameworks, and ineffective or selective enforcement continue to engender abuses ranging from forced labor and child labor, to violations of health and safety standards, wage arrearages, and loss of job benefits.

• The discovery of an extensive forced labor network in Guangdong province this year revealed authorities' inability to enforce basic protections for workers against China's powerfully embedded labor trafficking networks.

• Three major national labor-related laws took effect this year: Labor Contract Law and new Employment Promotion Law took effect on January 1, 2008, and China's new Labor Dispute Mediation and Arbitration Law took effect on May 1, 2008.

Recommendations

• Fund multi-year pilot projects that showcase the experience of collective bargaining in action for both Chinese workers and All China Federation of Trade Union (ACFTU) officials. Where possible, prioritize programs that demonstrate the ability to conduct collective bargaining pilot projects even in factories that do not have an official union presence.

• Expand multi-year funding for conferences in China on collective bargaining that bring together worker representatives, labor rights NGO representatives, labor lawyers, academics, ACFTU officials, and government officials.
Support the production and distribution in various formats (print, online, video, etc.) of bilingual English-Chinese “how-to” materials on conducting elections of worker representatives, and on conducting collective bargaining.

- Fund projects that prioritize the large-scale compilation and analysis of Chinese labor dispute litigation and arbitration cases, leading ultimately to the publication and dissemination of bilingual English-Chinese casebooks that may be used as a common reference resource by workers, arbitrators, judges, lawyers, employers, unions, and law schools in China.
- Support capacity building programs to strengthen Chinese labor and legal aid organizations involved in defending the rights of workers.

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

Findings

- The Chinese government and Communist Party continued to deny Chinese citizens the ability to fully exercise their rights to free expression.
- The government and Party’s efforts to project a “positive” image before and during the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games were accompanied by increases in the frequency and extent of official violations of the right to free expression.
- Official censorship and manipulation of the press and Internet for political purposes intensified in connection with both Tibetan protests that began in March 2008 and the Olympics.
- Chinese officials failed to fully implement legal provisions granting press freedom to foreign reporters in accordance with agreements made as a condition of hosting the Olympics, and which the International Olympic Committee requires of all Olympic host cities.
- The government and Party continued to deny Chinese citizens the ability to speak to journalists without fear of intimidation or reprisal.
- Officials continued to use vague laws to punish journalists, writers, rights advocates, publishers, and others for peacefully exercising their right to free expression. Those who criticized China in the context of the Olympics were targeted more intensely. Restraints on publishing remained in place.
- Authorities responsible for implementing a new national regulation on open government information retained broad discretion on the release of government information. Open government information measures enabled officials to promote images of openness, and quickly to provide official versions of events, while officials maintained the ability at the same time to censor unauthorized accounts.

Recommendations

- Support Federal funding for the study of press and Internet censorship methods, practices, and capacities in China. Promote programs that offer Chinese citizens access to human rights-related and other information currently unavailable to them. Sponsor programs that disseminate through radio, tele-
vision, or the Internet Chinese-language "how-to" information and programming on the use by citizens of open government information provisions on the books.

- Support the development of "how-to" materials for U.S. citizens, companies, and organizations in China on the use of the Regulations on Open Government Information and other records-access provisions in Chinese central and local-level laws and regulations. Support development of materials that provide guidance to U.S. companies in China on how the Chinese government may require them to support restrictions on freedom of expression and best practices to minimize or avoid such risks.

- In official correspondence with Chinese counterparts, include statements calling for the release of political prisoners named in this report who have been punished for peaceful expression, including: Yang Chunlin (land rights activist sentenced to five years' imprisonment in March 2008 after organizing a "We Want Human Rights, Not Olympics" petition); Yang Maodong (legal activist and writer whose pen name is Guo Feixiong, sentenced to five years' imprisonment in November 2007 for unauthorized publishing); Lu Gengsong (writer sentenced to four years' imprisonment in February 2008 for his online criticism of the Chinese government); and other prisoners included in this report and in the Commission's Political Prisoner Database.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION

Findings

- The Chinese government and Communist Party continued to deny Chinese citizens the ability to fully exercise their right to freedom of religion. The Chinese government continued in the past year to subject religion to a strict regulatory framework that represses many forms of religious and spiritual activities protected under international human rights law, including in treaties China has signed or ratified. The Chinese government continued its policy of recognizing only select religious communities for limited state protections, and of not protecting the religious and spiritual activities of all individuals and communities within China as required under China's international legal obligations.

- Religious adherents remained subject to tight controls over their religious activities, and some citizens met with harassment, detention, imprisonment, and other abuses because of their religious or spiritual practices.

- The Chinese government and Communist Party sounded alarms against foreign "infiltration" in the name of religion, and took measures to hinder citizens' freedom to engage with foreign co-religionists.

- President and Party General Secretary Hu Jintao called for recognizing a "positive role" for religious communities within Chinese society, but officials also continued to affirm the government and Party's policy of control over religion.
• The central government’s “6–10 Office” (established in 1999 to implement the policy that outlaws Falun Gong) issued an internal directive to local governments nationwide mandating propaganda activities to prevent Falun Gong from “interfering with or harming” the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games. Beijing and Shanghai Public Security Bureaus also issued local directives providing rewards for informants who report Falun Gong activities to the police. Stories published in the state-controlled media, as well as statements made by Chinese officials, sought to link Falun Gong with terrorist threats in the lead-up to the Olympics.

Recommendations

○ Include in China-related legislation and statements, calls for the Chinese government to guarantee freedom of religion to all Chinese citizens in accordance with Article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

○ Call for the release of Chinese citizens confined, detained, or imprisoned in retaliation for pursuing their right to freedom of religion (including the right to hold and exercise spiritual beliefs). Such prisoners include Adil Qarim (imam in Xinjiang detained during a security roundup in August); Alimjan Himit (house church leader in detention on charges of subverting state power and endangering national security); Gong Shengliang (founder of unregistered church who continues to serve a life sentence); Jia Zhiguo (unregistered bishop repeatedly detained by Chinese authorities and confined to his home since his most recent release from detention on September 18, 2008); Phurbu Tsering (Tibetan Buddhist teacher and head of a Tibetan Buddhist nunnery whom authorities detained in May 2008); Wang Zhiwen (Falun Gong practitioner who continues to serve a 16-year sentence for alleged crimes related to cults and acquiring state secrets); and other prisoners included in this report and in the Commission’s Political Prisoner Database.

○ Support continued funding for non-governmental organizations that collect information on conditions for religious freedom in China and that inform Chinese citizens of how to defend their right to freedom of religion against Chinese government abuses. Encourage U.S. government-funded programs to orient priorities toward expanded coverage of different religious and spiritual communities within China.

ETHNIC MINORITY RIGHTS

Findings

• Authorities continued to repress citizen activism by ethnic minorities in China, especially within Tibetan areas of China, the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, and the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (IMAR). [See findings for Xinjiang and Tibet for additional information.] In the past year, authorities in the IMAR punished ethnic minority rights advocates as well as citizens perceived to have links with ethnic rights orga-
nizations, intensifying a trend noted by the Commission in 2007.
• The government reported taking steps in the past year to improve economic and social conditions for ethnic minorities. It remains unclear whether such measures have been effectively implemented and include safeguards to protect ethnic minority rights and to solicit input from local communities. Ongoing development efforts in ethnic minority areas have brought mixed results for ethnic minority communities.
• The Chinese government continued in the past year to protect some aspects of ethnic minority rights. However, shortcomings in both the substance and the implementation of Chinese ethnic minority policies prevented ethnic minority citizens from enjoying their rights in line with domestic Chinese law and international legal standards. Ethnic minority citizens of China do not enjoy the “right to administer their internal affairs” as guaranteed to them in Chinese law.

Recommendations

- China-related legislation should include language that calls on Chinese authorities to formulate and implement China’s ethnic minority autonomy system in a manner that respects ethnic minorities’ “right to administer their internal affairs” as guaranteed to them in Chinese law.
- Call for the release of citizens imprisoned for advocating ethnic minority rights, including Mongol activist Hada (serving a 15-year sentence after pursuing activities to promote ethnic minority rights and democracy), as well as other prisoners mentioned in this report and in the Commission’s Political Prisoner Database.
- Fund rule of law programs and exchanges that raise awareness among Chinese leaders of different models for governance that protect ethnic minorities’ rights and allow them to exercise meaningful autonomy over their affairs. Support funding for non-governmental organizations to continue or develop programs that address ethnic minority issues within China, including task-oriented training programs that build capacity for sustainable development among ethnic minorities and programs that research rights abuses in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, as well as in other regions. (Also see recommendations for Tibet and Xinjiang.)
- Support funding for programs at U.S. universities to teach ethnic minority languages used in China, to better preserve these languages as the Chinese government implements programs to strengthen the use of Mandarin within China and to better prepare the international community to study and understand conditions for ethnic minorities in China.

POPULATION PLANNING

Findings

• The Chinese government announced that parents who lost an only child in the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake would be
permitted to have another child if they applied for a government-issued certificate.

- The National Population and Family Planning Commission (NPFPC) issued a directive imposing higher “social compensation fees” levied according to income on couples who violate the one-child rule. Under the directive, urban families who violate the one-child rule risk having officials apply negative marks on financial credit records.
- Reports of forced abortions, forced sterilizations, and police beatings related to population planning policies continued. In some areas, government campaigns to forcibly sterilize women who have more than one female child included government payments to informants.
- A brief public discussion about the continued necessity of the one-child policy reportedly prompted the NPFPC Minister to issue a statement that China would “by no means waver” in its population planning policies for “at least the next decade.”

Recommendations

- Urge Chinese officials to cease all coercive measures, including forced abortion and sterilization, to enforce birth control quotas. Urge the Chinese government to dismantle its system of coercive population controls, while funding programs that inform Chinese officials of the importance of respecting citizens’ diverse beliefs.
- Urge Chinese officials to release promptly Chen Guangcheng, imprisoned in Linyi city, Shandong province, after exposing forced sterilizations, forced abortions, beatings, and other abuses carried out by Linyi population planning officials.
- Encourage Chinese officials to permit greater public discussion and debate concerning population planning policies and to demonstrate greater responsiveness to public concerns. Impress upon China’s leaders the importance of promoting legal aid and training programs that help citizens pursue compensation and other remedies against the state for injury suffered as a result of official abuse related to China’s population planning policies. Provisions in China’s Law on State Compensation provide for such remedies for citizens subject to abuse and personal injury by administrative officials, including population planning officials. Provide funding and support for the development of programs and international cooperation in this area.

FREEDOM OF RESIDENCE

Findings

- China’s household registration (hukou) system remains as a foundation for discrimination and the violation of the rights of rural migrants in urban areas. In security preparations for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, officials throughout the country intensified inspections of migrants’ hukou status. The rights of migrants without legal residency status were placed at increased risk, especially in urban areas where employment and social benefits are linked to hukou status.
Recent hukou reforms have relaxed restrictions on citizens’ choice of permanent place of residence, but implementation at the local level has been uneven. Jiangsu and Yunnan provinces and Shenzhen city implemented major hukou reforms. Fiscal pressure associated with the provision of services to rising numbers of hukou holders prompted Zhuhai city to suspend its hukou application process.

**Recommendations**

- Initiate a program of U.S.-China bilateral cooperation that revives sister-city and sister-state/province exchanges as a vehicle for the discussion of ideas on migrant issues among local officials. Engage in international dialogue on migration and hukou reform to develop effective models for China’s reform efforts.
- Enlist the support of the business community in encouraging measures to equalize citizens’ ability to change their residence, and to eliminate outstanding rules that link hukou status to access to public services like healthcare and education. Recognize as good corporate citizens U.S. businesses in China with corporate social responsibility programs that address migrant issues in meaningful ways (e.g., awareness campaigns to eliminate discrimination against migrants and their children, and to reduce migrants’ vulnerability to exploitation).

**LIBERTY OF MOVEMENT**

**Findings**

- China strictly controlled citizens’ movement between the mainland and the special administrative regions (SAR) of Hong Kong and Macau. Officials used the granting and denial of “Home Return Permits” to limit access to the mainland by SAR-based pro-democracy activists.
- The use of extralegal house arrest to control or punish religious adherents, activists, or rights defenders deemed to act outside approved parameters intensified during the past year.
- Chinese authorities continued to use arbitrary restrictions on individual liberty of movement for retaliatory purposes. Authorities placed the family members of rights advocates under house arrest in retaliation for their advocacy activities.
- In the past year, authorities confiscated, revoked, denied entry, or refused to renew or accept the passport applications of several known dissidents.

**Recommendations**

- Call for China’s granting Home Return Permits to Hong Kong- and Macau-based Chinese advocates.
- In press statements, letters, and town hall meetings, spotlight the issue of arbitrary restrictions on individual liberty of movement, including limitations on Yuan Weijing and Zeng Jinyan, who have been under house arrest because of their spouses’ activism.
Urge Chinese officials to consider passport renewals of dissidents and raise the issue of arbitrary denial of entry.

STATUS OF WOMEN

Findings

- Women continued to encounter gender-based discrimination, especially with respect to their exercise of land and property rights, and when attempting to access benefits associated with their village hukous (household registration). Chinese women, especially migrant, impoverished, and ethnic minority women, continue to be unaware of their legal options when their rights are violated.
- Coercive population planning policies remain in place in violation of internationally recognized human rights.
- This year marked the first time that a Chinese court mandated criminal punishment in a sexual harassment case. A Chinese court this year issued the first civil protection order in a divorce case involving domestic violence.
- Women have the right to vote and run in village committee elections, but continue to occupy a disproportionately low number of seats, Communist Party posts, government offices, and positions of significant power.
- Reliable statistical information and other data that are disaggregated by sex and region are insufficient, posing challenges for Chinese women’s rights advocacy organizations seeking to assess the effectiveness with which the Communist Party and government policies designed to help women are implemented.

Recommendations

- Initiate new bilateral exchanges between U.S. and Chinese law enforcement, judicial officials, and civil society organizations geared toward expanding comprehensive social services for women, including literacy programs that focus on combating illiteracy among women, longer-term options for sheltering domestic violence survivors, and psychological counseling and suicide prevention programs, especially in rural areas.
- Urge Chinese counterparts to support initiatives that help raise public awareness of women’s issues and rights, especially as they affect migrant women, women from rural communities, and ethnic minority women.
- Fund non-governmental organizations that provide training to independent Chinese groups that in turn train legal officials and social service providers in women’s issues and rights, work on domestic violence and sexual harassment issues, and that strengthen collection and publication of data on issues affecting women.

HUMAN TRAFFICKING

Findings

- The Chinese government lacks a comprehensive anti-trafficking policy to combat all forms of trafficking. The govern-
ment’s definition of trafficking is narrow, and focuses on the abduction and selling of women and children. The National Plan of Action on Combating Trafficking in Women and Children (2008–2012), released in December 2007, neglects male adults, who are often targeted for forced labor.

• The Chinese government has not fulfilled its counter-trafficking-related international obligations, and has obstructed the independent operation of non-governmental and international organizations that offer assistance on trafficking issues.

• Incidents this year involving child labor in Guangdong province and forced labor in Heilongjiang reflect legal and administrative weaknesses in China’s anti-trafficking enforcement.

Recommendations

Æ Urge Chinese government officials to sign and ratify the Trafficking in Persons Protocol, to revise the government’s definition of trafficking and reform its anti-trafficking laws to align with international standards, and to abide by its international obligations with regard to North Korean refugees who become trafficking victims.

Æ Encourage Chinese embassy officials in the United States to better protect Chinese citizens who have been trafficked here by issuing the necessary travel documents and other documentation to trafficking victims in a timely manner.

Æ Fund research on trafficking-related issues in China, including the interplay between population planning policies, trafficking, and adoption.

Æ Support bilateral exchanges between U.S. and Chinese law enforcement officials and civil society organizations that work on trafficking.

NORTH KOREAN REFUGEES IN CHINA

Findings

• In the lead-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, Chinese central and local authorities stepped up efforts to locate and forcibly repatriate North Korean refugees hiding in China. Border surveillance and crackdowns against refugees and the ethnic Korean citizens of China who harbored them intensified.

• Penalties for harboring North Korean refugees reportedly were increased, including higher fines. Searches by public security officials of the homes of ethnic Koreans living in villages and towns near the border intensified.

• The central government ordered provincial religious affairs bureaus to investigate religious communities for signs of involvement with foreign co-religionists. Churches in the Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture in Jilin province that were found to have ties to South Koreans or other foreign nationals were shut down.

• Chinese local authorities near the border with North Korea continued to deny access to education and other public goods for the children of North Korean women married to Chinese
citizens. Chinese government officials contravened guarantees under the PRC Nationality Law (Article 4) and Compulsory Education Law (Article 5) by refusing to register the children of these couples to their father's hukou (household registration) without proof of the mother's status.

Recommendations

○ Establish a task force to examine and support the efforts of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees to gain unfettered access to North Korean refugees in China, and to recommend a strategy for creating incentives for China to honor its obligations under the 1951 UN Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol by desisting from the forced repatriation of North Korean refugees, and terminating the policy of automatically classifying all undocumented North Korean border crossers as “illegal economic migrants.”

○ Support U.S. Government legal cooperation funding with China to assist with the drafting of national refugee regulations that provide formal and transparent procedures for the review of North Korean claims to refugee status.

PUBLIC HEALTH

Findings

• China's Minister of Health stated for the first time that all persons have the right to basic healthcare regardless of age, gender, occupation, economic status, or place of residence.
• The effectiveness of central government policies to combat the spread of HIV/AIDS remained limited by Chinese leaders' concerns over uncontrolled citizen activism and foreign-affiliated non-governmental organizations.
• Discrimination against persons with Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) remained widespread.
• HBV carriers, many with the assistance of legal advocacy groups, brought employment discrimination lawsuits under anti-discrimination provisions in China's new Employment Promotion Law that took effect this year. The first such case resulted in a court-ordered settlement and damage award.
• China's first employment discrimination case involving mental depression resulted in a damage award and reinstatement of employment.

Recommendations

○ Call on the Chinese government to ease restrictions on civil society groups and provide more support to U.S. organizations that address HIV/AIDS and HBV. A robust civil society is critical to achieving the government's goal of prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS and HBV.

○ Urge Chinese officials to focus attention on effective implementation of the Employment Promotion Law and related regulations which prohibit discrimination against persons living...
with HIV/AIDS, HBV, and other illnesses in hiring and in the workplace.

ENVIRONMENT

Findings

• Experts encountered difficulties accessing information on pollutants and in charting Beijing’s progress toward achieving its environment-related Olympic bid commitments.
• The structure of incentives at the local level in China does not encourage action in favor of greater environmental protection. Penalties for violations remain low, and enforcement capacity remains insufficient.
• As the central government issues legislative and regulatory measures aimed at reducing greenhouse gases, implementation and enforcement at the local level remains a challenge. According to a study released in October 2008 by the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China’s emissions of greenhouse gases could double in the next two decades.
• Concerns over environmental degradation and the government’s perceived lack of transparency and solicitation of public input have sparked protests in major urban centers. Environmental protesters in urban areas tended to organize protests through the Internet and other forms of electronic communication. Urban protests were relatively peaceful.
• Environmental protests in rural areas more frequently involved violent clashes with public security officers.

Recommendations

Æ Support technical assistance programs aimed at enhancing public participation in environmental impact hearings and improving the ability of environmental protection bureaus to respond to information requests from citizens under new open government information regulations.
Æ When arranging travel to China, request meetings with officials from the central government to discuss environmental governance best practices. In those meetings, emphasize the importance of enhancing the capacity and power of the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) by providing it with more staff and resources and shifting control of local environmental protection bureaus from local governments to the MEP.
Æ Encourage bilateral and exchange programs to identify and catalogue the sources and amount of greenhouse gas emissions. Expand support for the U.S. EPA-China Environmental Law Initiative and for bilateral exchange programs relating to environmental protection and governance.
Æ Call attention to China’s practice of criminally punishing citizens who peacefully disseminate information relating to environmental hazards and emergencies. Urge Chinese officials to release freelance writer Chen Daojun, who was detained on suspicion of “inciting splittism” under Article 103 of the Criminal Law, after he published an article on a foreign Web site calling for a halt in construction of a chemical plant near
Chengdu, citing environmental concerns. Also urge Chinese officials to release other environmental activists including those whose cases are described in the Commission’s Political Prisoner Database.

- Encourage legal assistance programs aimed to create incentives for government and business to build partnerships that reduce greenhouse gas emissions by deploying renewable energy and developing next generation low carbon technologies. Encourage bilateral cooperation and exchange programs whereby both the United States and China work to develop a roadmap for reducing emissions that is acceptable to both developed and developing countries.

CIVIL SOCIETY

Findings

- There were 387,000 registered civil society organizations (CSOs) in China, including 3,259 legal aid organizations, by the end of 2007, up from 354,000 in 2006 and 154,000 in 2000.
- Chinese authorities strengthened control over civil society and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), especially in the run-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games.
- China has an urgent need for legal reform in the non-profit sector, including in the management and registration of NGOs, in the regulation of charitable activities and donations, and in the provision of social services to victims of human trafficking, forced labor, and natural disasters. These needs became more pronounced following the discovery last spring of another extensive forced labor network in Guangdong province, and after the May Sichuan earthquake.
- The Corporate Income Tax Law, effective on January 1, 2008, encourages public and corporate charitable donations through the provision of tax benefits. Corporate donations and support for NGO activities increased during this year.

Recommendations

- Facilitate dialogue and consultation among Chinese officials, NGOs, and rights advocates. Increase exchanges between NGO leaders from the United States and China, and bolster program funding to support civil society development and capacity building in China.
- Encourage U.S. companies operating in China to make in-kind pro bono contributions to the NGO sector (e.g., by reserving places for representatives of Chinese NGOs to participate free of charge in corporate training programs in China that provide organizational and management skills).

INSTITUTIONS OF DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE

Findings

- The direct election of government officials by non-Party members remained rare, the range of positions filled through elections narrow in scope and strictly confined to the local level, and mostly in villages.
• Some localities implemented a new pilot project called “open recommendations, direct elections.” According to this model of local Party leadership election, the general public participates during the candidate nomination stage only. All local Party members—not just officials—may participate in the final casting of ballots.

• Local leaders in Shenzhen proposed making the city a “special political zone” for the trial of political reforms. The Shenzhen Municipal Party Committee approved a plan for electoral and governance reform.

• The 17th Party Congress in October 2007 failed to produce a sustained program of significant political reform. The Party Congress prepared for a likely leadership transition in 2012 and promoted ideas such as “scientific development” and “inner-party democracy.”

Recommendations

○ Support research on recent efforts in China’s Special Economic Zones to expand experimentation with democratic models of public participation in local policymaking.

○ Press Chinese officials to revive and expand engagement with international NGOs specializing in election monitoring.

COMMERCIAL RULE OF LAW

Findings

• China continues to deviate in both law and practice from World Trade Organization (WTO) norms and other international economic norms. In a dispute concerning China’s legal and administrative measures affecting imports of auto parts, the WTO Dispute Resolution Body (DSB) ruled against China, in China’s first legal defeat since its accession to the WTO. In two WTO dispute cases brought against China by the United States and Mexico pertaining to Chinese export and import substitution subsidies prohibited by WTO rules, China agreed in settlements with both countries to eliminate the subsidies.

• China’s new Anti-Monopoly Law, which took effect in August 2008, may have a significant impact on the development of commercial rule of law in China, if it can be transparently and fairly implemented.

• China’s new National Intellectual Property Strategy does not fully specify plans to address well-documented deficiencies in China’s institutions for intellectual property rights (IPR) enforcement.

• Local governments in China are applying the rhetoric and tools of IPR protection to traditional knowledge possessed by China’s ethnic minority groups, but it remains unclear whether China’s legal and administrative institutions provide ways to accomplish this in a manner that protects the rights of ethnic minorities.

• A food safety crisis in September 2008 involving tainted milk powder illustrated the ineffectiveness of China’s “Special War” on product quality, declared in August 2007. China’s food safety and product quality problems do not stem from a failure to
legislate on the issue, but rather from duplicative legislation and ineffective implementation.

- New Land Registration Measures implement China's Property Law in part by addressing a deficiency in China's "dual registration system" for land and buildings, and consolidating the registration of both land and buildings under a single local government entity.

**Recommendations**

- Convey to the Chinese government that international criticism of China continues because, in spite of what the Chinese government has written into its laws and regulations, China's leaders in practice have failed to abide by their commitments, including commitments to WTO and other international economic norms, to worker rights, and to the free flow of information on which further development of the commercial rule of law depends.
- Convey to the Chinese government that rapid production of new legislation by itself is not a sign of progress. Rather, new and existing laws and regulations must be coupled with consistent, transparent, and effective implementation that meets international standards and protects individuals' fundamental rights. Failure to do so risks undermining even well-intended law, no matter how well-crafted on paper, and diminishes not only the credibility of China's stated commitments to reform but also the integrity of China's legal and regulatory institutions. Convey to the Chinese government that China's repeated failure to live up to its international commitments has seriously damaged its credibility.
- Convey to the Chinese government that its increasingly significant role in the international community also requires an increasing respect for and enforcement of its commitments to that community. Monitoring China's compliance with its commitments to the international community is not meddling, but rather is in the interests of all members of the international community.

**ACCESS TO JUSTICE**

**Findings**

- The intimidation and harassment of lawyers by government and Party officials in China intensified during the past year. Lawyers were pressured not to take on politically sensitive cases, including the representation of Tibetans charged with crimes in connection with the March protests and parents seeking compensation for injuries their children sustained from drinking melamine-tainted milk. The authorities refused to renew the lawyers' license of renowned human rights lawyer Teng Biao for his involvement in the effort to represent the Tibetans and his work on other human rights cases.
- Stronger Communist Party control over the judiciary was evident during this past year, reflected by the election as president of the Supreme People's Court of Wang Shengjun, who rose to power through the public security and political-legal
committee systems. President Hu Jintao instructed the courts, police, and procuratorates to uphold the “three supremes”—the Party’s cause, the people’s interests, and the Constitution and laws.

Recommendations

- Support funding for technical assistance programs on best practices in structuring independent lawyers’ associations and self-governance of the bar.

XINJIANG

Findings

- Human rights abuses in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) remained severe, and repression increased in the past year. Authorities tightened repression amid preparations for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, limited reports of terrorist and criminal activity, and protests among ethnic minorities.
- The Chinese government used anti-terrorism campaigns as a pretext for enforcing repressive security measures, especially among the ethnic Uyghur population, including wide-scale detentions, inspections of households, restrictions on Uyghurs’ domestic and international travel, restrictions on peaceful protest, and increased controls over religious activity and religious practitioners.
- Anti-terrorism and anti-crime campaigns have resulted in the imprisonment of Uyghurs for peaceful expressions of dissent, religious practice, and other non-violent activities.
- The government also continued to strengthen policies aimed at diluting Uyghur ethnic identity and promoting assimilation. Policies in areas such as language use, development, and migration have disadvantaged local ethnic minority residents and have positioned the XUAR to undergo broad cultural and demographic shifts in coming decades.
- In the past year, the Commission also observed continuing problems in the XUAR government’s treatment of civil society groups, labor policies, population planning practices, judicial capacity, and government policy toward Uyghur refugees and other individuals returned to China under the sway of China’s influence in other countries.

Recommendations

- Support legislation that expands U.S. Government resources for raising awareness of human rights conditions in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) and for protecting Uyghur culture.
- Raise concern about conditions in the XUAR to Chinese officials and stress that protecting the rights of XUAR residents is a crucial step for securing true stability in the region. Condemn the use of the global war on terror as a pretext for suppressing human rights. Call for the release of citizens imprisoned for advocating ethnic minority rights or for their personal
connection to rights advocates, including: Nurmemet Yasin (sentenced in 2005 to 10 years in prison after writing a short story); Abdulghani Memetemin (sentenced in 2003 to 20 years in prison for providing information on government repression to an overseas human rights organization); and Alim and Ablikim Abdureyim (adult children of activist Rebiya Kadeer, sentenced in 2006 and 2007 to 7 and 9 years in prison, respectively, for alleged economic and “secessionist” crimes); and other prisoners mentioned in this report and the Commission’s Political Prisoner Database.

○ Support funding for non-governmental organizations that address human rights issues in the XUAR to enable them to continue to gather information on conditions in the region and develop programs to help Uyghurs increase their capacity to defend their rights and protect their culture, language, and heritage.

○ Indicate to Chinese officials that Members of the U.S. Congress and Administration are aware that Chinese authorities themselves have called for improving conditions in the XUAR judiciary. Urge officials to take steps to address problems stemming from the lack of personnel proficient in ethnic minority languages. Call on rule of law programs that operate within China to devote resources to the training of legal personnel who are able to serve the legal needs of ethnic minority communities within the XUAR.

TIBET

Findings

• As a result of the Chinese government crackdown on Tibetan communities, monasteries, nunneries, schools, and workplaces following the wave of Tibetan protests that began on March 10, 2008, Chinese government repression of Tibetans’ freedoms of speech, religion, and association has increased to what may be the highest level since approximately 1983, when Tibetans were able to set about reviving Tibetan Buddhist monasteries and nunneries.

• The status of the China-Dalai Lama dialogue deteriorated after the March 2008 protests and may require remedial measures before the dialogue can resume focus on its principal objective—resolving the Tibet issue. China’s leadership blamed the Dalai Lama and “the Dalai Clique” for the Tibetan protests and rioting, and did not acknowledge the role of rising Tibetan frustration with Chinese policies that deprive Tibetans of rights and freedoms nominally protected under China’s Constitution and legal system. The Party hardened policy toward the Dalai Lama, increased attacks on the Dalai Lama’s legitimacy as a religious leader, and asserted that he is a criminal bent on splitting China.

• State repression of Tibetan Buddhism has reached its highest level since the Commission began to report on religious freedom for Tibetan Buddhists in 2002. Chinese government and Party policy toward Tibetan Buddhists’ practice of their religion played a central role in stoking frustration that resulted
in the cascade of Tibetan protests that began on March 10, 2008. Reports have identified hundreds of Tibetan Buddhist monks and nuns whom security officials detained for participating in the protests, as well as members of Tibetan secular society who supported them.

- Chinese government interference with the norms of Tibetan Buddhism and unrelenting antagonism toward the Dalai Lama, one of the religion’s foremost teachers, serves to deepen division and distrust between Tibetan Buddhists and the government and Communist Party. The government seeks to use legal measures to remold Tibetan Buddhism to suit the state. Authorities in one Tibetan autonomous prefecture have announced unprecedented measures that seek to punish monks, nuns, religious teachers, and monastic officials accused of involvement in political protests in the prefecture.

- The Chinese government undermines the prospects for stability in the Tibetan autonomous areas of China by implementing economic development and educational policy in a manner that results in disadvantages for Tibetans. Weak implementation of the Regional Ethnic Autonomy Law has been a principal factor exacerbating Tibetan frustration by preventing Tibetans from using lawful means to protect their culture, language, and religion.

- At no time since Tibetans resumed political activism in 1987 has the magnitude and severity of consequences to Tibetans (named and unnamed) who protested against the Chinese government been as great as it is now upon the release of the Commission’s 2008 Annual Report. Unless Chinese authorities have released without charge a very high proportion of the Tibetans reportedly detained as a result of peaceful activity or expression on or after March 10, 2008, the resulting surge in the number of Tibetan political prisoners may prove to be the largest increase in such prisoners that has occurred under China’s current Constitution and Criminal Law.

**Recommendations**

Members of the U.S. Congress and Administration officials are encouraged to:

- Convey to the Chinese government the heightened importance and urgency of moving beyond the setback in dialogue with the Dalai Lama or his representatives following the March 2008 protests. A Chinese government decision to engage the Dalai Lama in substantive dialogue can result in a durable and mutually beneficial outcome for Chinese and Tibetans, and improve the outlook for local and regional security in the coming decades.

- Convey to the Chinese government, in light of the tragic consequences of the Tibetan protests and the continuing tension in Tibetan Buddhist institutions across the Tibetan plateau, the urgent importance of: reducing the level of state antagonism toward the Dalai Lama; ceasing aggressive campaigns of “patriotic education” that can result in further stress to local stability; respecting Tibetan Buddhists’ right to freedom of reli-
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gion, including to identify and educate religious teachers in a manner consistent with their preferences and traditions; and using state powers such as passing laws and issuing regulations to protect the religious freedom of Tibetans instead of remolding Tibetan Buddhism to suit the state.

- Continue to urge the Chinese government to allow international observers to visit Gedun Choekyi Nyima, the Panchen Lama whom the Dalai Lama recognized, and his parents.
- In light of the heightened pressure on Tibetans and their communities following the March protests, increase funding for U.S. non-governmental organizations to develop programs that can assist Tibetans to increase their capacity to peacefully protect and develop their culture, language, and heritage; that can help to improve education, economic, and health conditions of ethnic Tibetans living in Tibetan areas of China; and that create sustainable benefits without encouraging an influx of non-Tibetans into these areas.
- Convey to the Chinese government the importance of distinguishing between peaceful Tibetan protesters and rioters, honoring the Chinese Constitution’s reference to the freedoms of speech and association, and not treating peaceful protest as a crime. Request that the Chinese government provide details about Tibetans detained or charged with protest-related crimes, including: each person’s name; the charges (if any) against each person; the name and location of the prosecuting office (“procuratorate”) and court handling each case; the availability of legal counsel to each defendant; and the name of each facility where such persons are detained or imprisoned. Request that Chinese authorities allow access by diplomats and other international observers to the trials of such persons.
- Continue to raise in meetings and correspondence with Chinese officials the cases of Tibetans who are imprisoned as punishment for the peaceful exercise of human rights. Representative examples include: former Tibetan monk Jigme Gyatso (now serving an extended 18-year sentence for printing leaflets, distributing posters, and later shouting pro-Dalai Lama slogans in prison); monk Choeying Khedrub (sentenced to life imprisonment for printing leaflets); reincarnated lama Bangri Chogtrul (serving a sentence of 18 years commuted from life imprisonment for “inciting splittism”); and nomad Ronggyal Adrag (sentenced to 8 years’ imprisonment for shouting political slogans at a public festival).
- The United States should continue to seek a consulate in Lhasa in order to provide services to Americans in Western China. With the closest consulate in Chengdu, a 1,500 mile bus ride from the Tibetan capital of Lhasa, American travelers are largely without assistance in Western China. This was recently underscored during unrest in Lhasa when U.S. citizens could not get out and American diplomats could not enter the Tibetan Autonomous Region.

*The Commission adopted this report by a vote of 22 to 1.*
POLITICAL PRISONER DATABASE

Recommendations

When composing correspondence advocating on behalf of a political or religious prisoner, or preparing for official travel to China, Members of Congress and Administration officials are encouraged to:

- Check the Political Prisoner Database (PPD) (http://ppd.cecc.gov) for reliable, up-to-date information on one prisoner, or on groups of prisoners. Consult a prisoner's database record for more detailed information about the prisoner's case, including his or her alleged crime, specific human rights that officials have violated, stage in the legal process, and location of detention or imprisonment, if known.
- Advise official and private delegations traveling to China to present Chinese officials with lists of political and religious prisoners compiled from database records.
- Urge U.S. state and local officials and private citizens involved in sister-state and sister-city relationships with China to explore the database, and to advocate for the release of political and religious prisoners in China.

A POWERFUL RESOURCE FOR ADVOCACY

The Commission's Annual Report provides information about Chinese political and religious prisoners in the context of specific human rights and rule of law abuses. Many of the abuses result from the Chinese Communist Party and government's application of policies and laws. The Commission relies on the Political Prisoner Database (PPD), a publicly available online database maintained by the Commission, for its own advocacy and research work, including the preparation of the Annual Report, and routinely uses the database to prepare summaries of information about political and religious prisoners for Members of Congress and Administration officials.

The Commission invites the public to read about issue-specific Chinese political imprisonment in sections of this Annual Report, and to access and make use of the PPD at http://ppd.cecc.gov. (Information on how to use the PPD is available at: http://www.cecc.gov/pages/victims/index.php.)

The PPD has served, since its launch in November 2004, as a unique and powerful resource for governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), educational institutions, and individuals who research political and religious imprisonment in China, or that advocate on behalf of such prisoners. The most important feature of the PPD is that it is structured as a genuine database and uses a powerful query engine. Though completely Web-based, it is not an archive that uses a simple or advanced search tool, nor is it a library of Web pages and files.

The PPD received approximately 23,000 online requests for prisoner information during the 12-month period ending July 31, 2008. During the entire period of PPD operation beginning in late 2004, approximately 36 percent of the requests for information have originated from government (.gov) Internet domains, 17 percent
from network (.net) domains, 10 percent from international domains, 8 percent from commercial (.com) domains, 2 percent from education (.edu) domains, and 2 percent from organization (.org) domains. Approximately 20 percent of the requests have been from numerical Internet addresses that do not provide information about the name of an organization or the type of domain.

POLITICAL PRISONERS

The PPD seeks to provide users with prisoner information that is reliable and up-to-date. Commission staff members work to maintain and update political prisoner records based on their areas of expertise. The staff seek to provide objective analysis of information about individual prisoners, and about events and trends that drive political and religious imprisonment in China.

As of October 31, 2008, the PPD contained information on 4,793 cases of political or religious imprisonment in China. Of those, 1,088 are cases of political and religious prisoners currently known or believed to be detained or imprisoned, and 3,705 are cases of prisoners who are known or believed to have been released, executed or to have escaped. The Commission notes that there are considerably more than 1,088 cases of current political and religious imprisonment in China. The Commission staff works on an ongoing basis to add cases of political and religious imprisonment to the PPD.

During 2008, the Commission for the first time published a series of lists of current religious and political prisoners. The number of prisoners rose unusually steeply from list to list, principally as a result of the Commission's ongoing work creating new case records for the large number of Tibetan protesters detained from March 2008 onward. On June 26, 2008, the Commission published a list of 734 current religious and political prisoners in China. On August 7, 2008, the Commission posted on its Web site a list of 920 political prisoners currently known or believed to be detained or imprisoned in China. The August 7 PPD list was arranged in reverse chronological order by date of detention, placing the most recent detentions first and facilitating a review of detention and imprisonment in the months preceding the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games.

The Dui Hua Foundation, based in San Francisco, and the former Tibet Information Network, based in London, shared their extensive experience and data on political and religious prisoners in China with the Commission to help establish the database. The Dui Hua Foundation continues to do so. The Commission also relies on its own staff research for prisoner information, as well as on information provided by NGOs, other groups that specialize in promoting human rights and opposing political and religious imprisonment, and other public sources of information.

DATABASE TECHNOLOGY

The PPD aims to provide a technology with sufficient power to cope with the scope and complexity of political imprisonment in China. The first component of an upgrade to the database will be available for public use before the end of 2008 and additional upgrade components will be available in 2009. The upgrade will lever-
age the capacity of the Commission's information and technology resources to support research, reporting, and advocacy by the U.S. Congress and Administration, and by the public, on behalf of political and religious prisoners in China.

Upgrading the Database To Leverage Impact

The Commission began work to upgrade the PPD soon after publication of the 2007 Annual Report. The component of the upgrade that will be available for public use before the end of 2008 will increase the number of types of information available from 19 to 40. The upgrade will allow users to query for and retrieve information such as the names and locations of the courts that convicted political and religious prisoners, and the dates of key events in the legal process such as sentencing and decision upon appeal. The users will be able to download PPD information as Microsoft Excel or Adobe PDF files more easily—whether for a single prisoner record, a group of records that satisfies a user's query, or all of the records available in the database. [See image, “CECC PPD: Sample Appearance of a Record Summary Page After Forthcoming Upgrade,” below.]

Many records contain a short summary of the case that includes basic details about the political or religious imprisonment and the legal process leading to imprisonment. The upgrade will increase the length of the short summary about a prisoner and enable the PPD to provide Web links in a short summary that can open reports, articles, and texts of laws that are available on the Commission's Web site or on other Web sites. Web links in Commission reports and articles will be able to open a prisoner's PPD record.

Powerful Queries Provide Useful Responses

Each prisoner's record describes the type of human rights violation by Chinese authorities that led to his or her detention. These include violations of the right to peaceful assembly, freedom of religion, freedom of association, and free expression, including the freedom to advocate peaceful social or political change and to criticize government policy or government officials. Users may search for prisoners by name, using either the Latin alphabet or Chinese characters. The PPD allows users to construct queries that include one or more types of data, including personal information or information about imprisonment. [See box, “Tutorial: How to Use the Commission's Political Prisoner Database,” below.]

Providing Information to Users While Respecting Their Privacy

The design of the PPD allows anyone with access to the Internet to query the database and download prisoner data without providing personal information to the Commission, and without the PPD downloading any software or Web cookies to a user's computer. Users have the option to create a user account, which allows them to save, edit, and reuse queries, but the PPD does not require a user to provide any personal information to set up such an account. The PPD does not download software or a Web cookie to a user's computer as the result of setting up such an account. Saved queries are not stored on a user's computer. A user-specified ID
(which can be a nickname) and password are the only information required to set up a user account.
**Tutorial**

**How To Use the Commission's Political Prisoner Database (PPD)**

**Constructing a Query**


An illustrated PPD User Guide is available as a PDF download from the PPD Web site (http://ppd.cecc.gov) by clicking “Help” and then clicking “User Guide.”

**Step One: Select the Fields To Query**

- Click “Create a New Query.”
- Select the “fields” (types of information) to query from the “Available Fields” box (on the left) and use the “>” button to move those fields to the “Selected Fields to Search On” box (on the right).

*Example:* To search for the prisoners and detainees that the Commission knows or believes are currently imprisoned or detained, select “detention status” from the list of available fields and move it to the list of fields to search.

**Step Two: Define Search Criteria**

- Click “Next Step.”
- For each field that a query will search, a user must specify the search criteria.

*Example:* Select the status designations that indicate that a prisoner is currently detained or imprisoned. To do so, select all of the following from the “Value(s)” list: DET, DET?, DET/bail, HOUSE, and HOUSE? (Click “Help” for information about PPD fields.)

**Step Three: Define the Sort Order for Query Results**

- Click “Next Step.”
- Users may choose not to sort query results, or to choose up to three fields by which to arrange the query results.

*Example:* To arrange the query results by prisoner names in alphabetical order, select “main (or religious) name” from the uppermost “sort by” list.

Or, to arrange the query results in reverse chronological order, with the most recent detentions first, select “date of detention” from the uppermost “sort by” list AND tick the “descending” box.

**Step Four: Review and Save or Run the Query**

- Click “Next Step.”
- Users that have established a CECC PPD login can review the query, name the query, and then save and run the query.
- Users that have not established a CECC PPD login can review the query and run the query, but (at present) cannot save the query.

*Example 1:* Users that have established a CECC PPD login: Review the summary of Steps 1, 2, and 3. Edit any step by clicking “EDIT” for that step. If desired, type a name such as “Currently detained, imprisoned” into the “Save As” box. Then click “Save” or “Save and Run.”

*Example 2:* Users without a CECC PPD login: Review the summary of Steps 1, 2, and 3. Edit any step by clicking “EDIT” for that step. Then click “Run.”
II. Human Rights

Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants

INTRODUCTION

The Tibetan protests, the Sichuan earthquake, the unrest in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR), and a spate of bombings and “mass incidents” across China in 2008 threatened to derail the Chinese leaders’ desire for a successful 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games. As a result, suppressing dissent and maintaining stability took on an even greater than usual importance in the run-up to the Olympics. Abuse of police power was used to this end, and the rights of criminal suspects and defendants, as well as ordinary citizens, were violated. For example, in the aftermath of the March 14 protests in the Tibetan areas of China, Tibetans were subjected to arbitrary detention and torture, and denied access to counsel; in Sichuan, grieving parents seeking justice for their children who were buried under collapsed schools were arbitrarily detained and beaten by police.1 In the XUAR, police reportedly detained all non-resident Uyghurs in the city of Korla in mid-August, who were told that they would be confined through the Olympics.2 In order to maintain the appearance of a “harmonious” Olympics, Beijing Public Security Bureau officers and domestic security protection officers (guobao) put numerous human rights activists, lawyers, and intellectuals under illegal house arrest or forced them to leave Beijing for the duration of the Olympics.3 Moreover, Beijing law enforcement officials arbitrarily detained or sentenced to reeducation through labor (RTL) several citizens who applied to hold peaceful protests in the “protest” parks.4

Despite the heightened use of coercive state power and the deteriorating human rights situation in China during the past year, there were several developments with respect to the rights of criminal suspects and defendants in China during 2008. First, since January 1, 2007, when the Supreme People’s Court resumed its review of death penalty cases to prevent miscarriages of justice and reduce the number of executions in China, the Chinese government reported a 30 percent decrease in the number of death sentences.5 Second, the revised Lawyers’ Law, which contains provisions aimed at combating some of the difficulties criminal defense lawyers face in representing their clients, took effect on June 1, 2008.6 It remains to be seen how the revised Lawyers’ Law will be implemented, particularly given that several of its provisions conflict with the Criminal Procedure Law.

ABUSE OF POLICE POWER

Suppression of Dissent

The Chinese leadership’s desire to ensure a “harmonious” and dissent-free Olympics led to numerous incidents of persecution, illegal detention, and harassment of peaceful activists and petitioners by public security and guobao officers. As security in Beijing intensified in the lead-up to the Olympics, prominent Beijing-based public intellectual and activist Liu Xiaobo told Agence France-Presse
that the security crackdown was “partly to prevent terrorism but even more of the public security power is being used to silence political dissent and keep domestic discontent away from the Games.” For example, in July, Beijing-based Pastor Zhang Mingxuan, president of the Chinese House Church Alliance, and his wife were arbitrarily forced to leave Beijing because, as Zhang reported, public security officers did not want him to meet with foreigners during the Olympics. Public security officers also forced blogger and activist Zeng Jinyan, the wife of imprisoned human rights activist Hu Jia, to leave Beijing with their child on August 7, the day before the start of the Olympics. Such arbitrary restrictions on personal liberty violate Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), as well as China’s own laws.

Public Protests

As the Commission reported last year, the abuse of police power by local government and Party officials to quell public protests and “mass incidents” is a growing problem in China. Numerous clashes between public security officers and civilians during the spring and summer of 2008 prompted the central government to issue new rules that hold local officials responsible for mishandling grievances and for arbitrary use of police power in dealing with complaints and protests. According to the new rules, officials “who violate laws and regulations in using police force to handle mass incidents” will face punishment. The largest protest-turned-riot, involving at least 10,000 people—some reports had 30,000—occurred in Weng'an, Guizhou province, in late June, and was triggered by a perceived police coverup of an alleged rape and murder of a teenage student. Top local state and Party officials were dismissed for “severe malfeasance,” including abuse of police power, in dealing with citizens’ underlying grievances that were the root cause of the unrest. In mid-July, police and rubber farmers clashed in Menglian county, Yunnan province, regarding a conflict of economic interests between the farmers and the management of the Menglian rubber company. Top Yunnan officials held local cadres responsible for the protest-turned-riot, which left two farmers dead and more than 50 public security officers and farmers injured, citing poor governance and failure to properly manage the business dispute. The Party official responsible for law enforcement in the area was sacked and other officials were disciplined.

ARBITRARY DETENTION

The UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention (UNWGAD) defines the deprivation of personal liberty to be “arbitrary” if it meets one of the following criteria: (1) there is clearly no legal basis for the deprivation of liberty; (2) an individual is deprived of his liberty for having exercised rights guaranteed under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); (3) there is grave non-compliance with fair trial standards set forth in the UDHR and other international human rights instruments.
Arbitrary detention, a widespread problem in China, takes several forms, including extralegal detention such as “soft detention” (ruanjin)—commonly referred to as “house arrest”—which is most frequently used against petitioners and activists and occurs entirely outside the legal system; detention and imprisonment for the peaceful expression of civil and political rights; and administrative detention for which criminal procedure protections are not available. The Chinese authorities continue combating another form of arbitrary detention the Commission has reported on in previous years, illegal extended detention. Illegal extended detention occurs when suspects and defendants are detained beyond the maximum time periods for detention at a given stage in the criminal process set forth in China’s Criminal Procedure Law (CPL). The Supreme People’s Procuratorate work report submitted to the National People’s Congress in March noted that in 2003 there were 24,921 cases of illegal extended detention and only 85 such cases in 2007.

Extralegal Detention

In contravention of Chinese law and the prohibitions against arbitrary detention contained in the UDHR and the ICCPR, Chinese authorities subjected Chinese citizens to at least three forms of extralegal detention during the past year: (1) arbitrary house arrest and control, (2) detention in “black jails,” and (3) shuanggui (often translated as “double regulation” or “double designation”), a form of detention used on Party members.

ARBITRARY HOUSE ARREST AND CONTROL

Many rights defense (weiquan) activists, lawyers, and their spouses were subjected to arbitrary house arrest, or “soft detention” (ruanjin,) during the past year. Extralegal house arrest is frequently accompanied by tight surveillance and monitoring by public security or guobao officers, or hired “guards.” House arrest was applied unevenly during the past year; in some cases it meant total confinement in one’s home and in other cases the “controlled person” could leave his or her home to run errands or go to work, but was strictly surveilled. Hu Jia’s wife, blogger and activist Zeng Jinyan, has been under constant surveillance since Hu Jia’s detention on December 27, 2007. Yuan Weijing, wife of imprisoned legal advocate and rights defender Chen Guangcheng, along with the couple’s young daughter, has been subjected to extralegal house arrest for three years. In early July, she reported that there were more people monitoring her than usual—about 40 people divided into two shifts. Public security officers and private “guards,” aided by surveillance cameras, continue to monitor Shanghai-based rights lawyer Zheng Enchong around the clock. In early July, Zheng was reportedly placed under total home confinement and not permitted to leave his apartment.

BLACK JAILS

“Black jails” are illegal detention centers primarily used to hold petitioners who have gone to Beijing to exercise their right under Chinese law to petition against injustices committed by local officials. These secret jails exist entirely outside the legal system.
Detainees in black jails are deprived of their right to be free from arbitrary deprivation of personal liberty guaranteed under China’s Constitution, the UDHR, and the ICCPR.\textsuperscript{32} Black jails in Beijing are run by the Beijing liaison offices of local governments. Petitioners are held illegally for days or even months, without adequate food and healthcare, and are frequently beaten by hired “guards.”\textsuperscript{33} According to the non-governmental organization Chinese Human Rights Defenders, these black jails operate “under the eyes of the Beijing police and often with their cooperation.”\textsuperscript{34} The petitioners are detained until they are “escorted” back to their hometowns. Local officials in turn have sent many of the forcibly returned petitioners to local black jails.\textsuperscript{35} Amnesty International reports that the roundups and detention of petitioners in Beijing is reminiscent of the “custody and repatriation” system—“the abolition of which in 2003 was presented by the authorities as a major human rights improvement.”\textsuperscript{36}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shuanggui—Extralegal Detention of Party Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Shuanggui” (often translated as “double regulation” or “double designation”), refers to the process of summoning a target of investigation to appear at a designated place at a designated time.\textsuperscript{37} It is a form of extralegal detention used for investigating Communist Party members.\textsuperscript{38} Shuanggui was introduced in 1994 and is used by Communist Party commissions for discipline inspection primarily against officials suspected of corruption.\textsuperscript{39} Shuanggui not only contravenes the right to be free from arbitrary detention guaranteed by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, but also violates Chinese law.\textsuperscript{40} Restrictions on personal liberty can only be authorized pursuant to legislation passed by the National People’s Congress or its Standing Committee, but shuanggui is supported only by Party documents.\textsuperscript{41} Shuanggui targets are generally held incommunicado and the protections for criminal suspects contained in the Criminal Procedure Law do not apply.\textsuperscript{42} With shuanggui, the Party is able to control corruption investigations. The Party can decide which cases and what evidence gets transferred to the procuratorate, and which cases are handled internally as a matter of Party discipline.\textsuperscript{43} As Flora Sapio, a Chinese criminal law and procedure expert, observed: “Were the party to relinquish its dominance over the policing of corrupt officials, it would lose an important component of its legitimacy. By dictating who should be punished and who should not, the Party can avoid the shame that would be caused by a thorough investigation on corruption.”\textsuperscript{44}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Several high-ranking officials were subjected to *shuanggui* during 2008. Wang Yi, a former top official at the China Development Bank and former vice-chairman of the China Securities Regulatory Commission, China’s stock regulator, was detained by Party discipline inspection officials on corruption charges. A high-ranking official at the Ministry of Commerce, Guo Jingyi, was placed under *shuanggui* for suspected bribery. In October 2008, Huang Songyou, a vice president of the Supreme People’s Court, was detained by Party officials in connection with a corruption scandal. In April, Zeng Jinchun, a former top-ranking Party secretary for the discipline inspection commission in Chenzhou, Hunan province, was put on trial for corruption. His case highlighted another problematic aspect of the *shuanggui* system—the virtually unchecked power of high-ranking discipline inspection officials. According to Caijing Magazine, Zeng had used *shuanggui* as a “potent weapon . . . to make money, maintain control, and silence opponents.”

**Political Crimes**

During the past year, the Chinese government continued to harass, detain, and imprison citizens for the peaceful exercise of fundamental rights guaranteed under the Chinese Constitution, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. For example, on April 3, human rights defender Hu Jia was convicted of “inciting subversion of state power” and sentenced to three years and six months’ imprisonment for expressing dissenting views in essays posted on the Internet and in interviews with foreign media. [See Section II—Freedom of Expression.] The number of arrests for crimes of “endangering state security,” which replaced “counterrevolutionary” crimes in the 1997 Criminal Law, continues to rise. Research based on official Chinese statistics conducted by the Dui Hua Foundation found that arrests for “endangering state security” crimes doubled in 2006 over 2005, and that in 2007 the number of such arrests—742—was the highest since 1999.

The Chinese government continues to hold in prison individuals who were sentenced for crimes of “counterrevolution” that were removed from the Criminal Law in 1997 and for charges relating to the 1989 democracy protests. John Kamm of the Dui Hua Foundation estimates that more than 150 “counterrevolutionaries” remain in prison in China. As of 2004, at least 130 people were still serving sentences related to the 1989 democracy protests, according to Human Rights Watch. Hu Shigen, who served 16 years in prison for “counterrevolutionary” crimes relating to his role in establishing the China Freedom and Democracy Party and an independent labor union, was released in August.

**Reeducation Through Labor**

The reeducation through labor (RTL) system operates outside of the judicial system and the Criminal Procedure Law (CPL); it is an administrative measure that enables Chinese law enforcement offi-
cials to detain Chinese citizens for up to four years. As Professor Jerome Cohen explained recently, RTL enables the police to “punish anyone for virtually anything,” without the accused having the benefit of “the modest protections” of the CPL. According to Chinese government statistics, more than 500,000 individuals were serving sentences in 310 RTL centers in 2005. The list of offenses punishable by RTL is vaguely defined, and RTL is frequently used against petitioners, activists, house church leaders, Falun Gong adherents, and others deemed to be “troublemakers.” The Chinese authorities used RTL during this past year to punish and silence dissent. For example, Chinese officials in Heilongjiang sentenced Liu Jie, a petitioners’ rights activist, to 18 months of RTL in November 2007 after she released a public letter signed by 12,150 petitioners to the 17th Party Congress calling for political and legal reforms. Tianjin-based activist Zheng Mingfang was reportedly sentenced to two years of RTL in April 2008 for collecting signatures for a petition calling for the release of Hu Jia. In June 2008, officials in Sichuan detained and later sentenced Liu Shaokun, a middle school teacher, to one year of reeducation through labor after he posted photos of collapsed schools online and criticized their construction in a media interview.

RTL has long been criticized by the international community as contravening rights set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights as well as China’s own laws. Activists and scholars within China continue to call for the abolition of RTL. In November 2007, 69 renowned lawyers, legal scholars, and public intellectuals submitted a proposal to the National People’s Congress Standing Committee (NPCSC) requesting that it conduct a constitutional review of the RTL system. In July 2008, over 15,000 Chinese citizens, led by numerous legal scholars and lawyers, signed a petition to abolish RTL and circulated a citizens’ draft proposal (gongmin jianyigao) of a “Law on the Correction of Unlawful Acts” (weifa xingwei jiaozhi fa) to replace RTL.

TOR TURE AND ABUSE IN CUSTODY

Torture is illegal in China, and although China’s leaders have made some efforts to curb the use of torture by law enforcement officials, reports of widespread torture and abuse continue. Manfred Nowak, the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, noted in 2006 that China lacked necessary procedural safeguards to make the prohibition on torture effective; these include, among others, the presumption of innocence, the right to remain silent, the right of habeas corpus, and timely access to counsel. During this past year, human rights lawyers and activists, Falun Gong adherents, and Tibetans detained in the wake of the March protests were among those subjected to torture and abuse in custody. The Uyghur Human Rights Project, a U.S.-based non-governmental organization, reported that torture and forced confessions of Uyghurs at the hands of law enforcement officials is commonplace. Legal activist and writer Yang Maodong (also known as Guo Feixiong), has reportedly been subjected repeatedly to shocks from electric batons, and according to Yang’s wife, has five or six scars on his body that she called “traces of torture.” In late September 2007, after
sending a detailed letter to the U.S. Congress about the “human rights disaster” in China while serving a three-year sentence for “inciting subversion” at his home under residential surveillance, rights lawyer Gao Zhisheng disappeared. During his two-month disappearance, he was reportedly struck repeatedly with electric batons. According to the Falun Dafa Information Center, since the beginning of 2008 at least nine Falun Gong adherents in Beijing have died in police custody. In April, Falun Gong adherent and popular Beijing-based folk singer Yu Zhou died in police custody within two weeks of being detained on his way home from a concert. [See Section II—Freedom of Religion—Falun Gong.] There have been reports of torture of Tibetan detainees in the aftermath of the March protests in the Tibetan areas. For example, TibetInfoNet reported, for example, that four Labrang Tashikhyil Monastery monks were beaten so badly in detention that they were unable to walk unaided. Deaths resulting from torture during interrogations have also been reported.

ACCESS TO COUNSEL AND THE RIGHT TO PRESENT A DEFENSE

Most Chinese defendants confront the criminal process and trial without the assistance of an attorney, despite the right to legal assistance provided under Article 14(3)(d) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The public security bureaus and procuratorates must notify criminal defendants of their right to apply for legal aid, and lawyers are required to do some pro bono work each year, but because of the intimidation lawyers routinely face in handling criminal cases, many lawyers shy away from taking them. An estimated 70 percent of criminal cases proceed without a defense lawyer’s involvement. When lawyers do defend criminal cases, they face substantial obstacles in preparing a defense. The “three difficulties” that the Commission reported on last year—gaining access to detained clients, reviewing the prosecutors’ case files, and collecting evidence—are endemic and undermine lawyers’ ability to effectively defend their clients. Article 306 of the Criminal Law, the lawyer-perjury statute, makes defense lawyers vulnerable to prosecution for falsifying or tampering with evidence. If a defendant recants an earlier statement, for example, the lawyer may be detained for suborning perjury. Prosecutors have used Article 306 to threaten and intimidate defense lawyers, particularly in sensitive cases. According to Human Rights Watch, lawyers “may decide to defend clients less forcefully than they otherwise would for fear of displeasing the prosecution.”

An important development for criminal suspects and defendants and defense lawyers during this past year was the implementation of the revised Lawyers’ Law on June 1, which contains several provisions that address the “three difficulties.” Most significantly, the revised Lawyers’ Law provides that lawyers have an unequivocal right (you quan) to meet with detained suspects and defendants. However, this and several other revisions to the Lawyers’ Law are inconsistent with the Criminal Procedure Law. There has been much commentary in the Chinese media and on law-related Web sites regarding the conflicts between the two laws, and concern that the revised Lawyers’ Law will not be implemented ef-
fectively. Indeed, there were reports after the revised Lawyers’ Law took effect of defense lawyers nonetheless being denied access to their clients. In mid-August, the Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress (NPCSC), which is authorized to interpret laws, weighed in. In a reply (dafu) to a request by a member of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference that the NPC unify the content of the two laws, the NPCSC stated that the more recent law (i.e., the Lawyers’ Law) takes precedence over the earlier law, and thus the revised Lawyers’ Law should be followed if there are conflicts with the CPL.

**FAIRNESS OF CRIMINAL TRIALS**

Extremely high conviction rates in criminal cases are due in part to the lack of fairness of criminal trials, and the “three difficulties” that hinder criminal defense lawyers’ ability to defend their clients, discussed above. Public security officers often deny suspects and defendants access to counsel and use lengthy pre-trial detention to extract confessions under duress or torture. They also use detention and intimidation to obtain statements from “witnesses.”

There is a strong presumption of guilt in criminal cases, and a guilty verdict is a virtual certainty in politically sensitive cases. The procedural rights of political dissidents and other targeted groups, such as Falun Gong adherents, house church pastors, and ethnic minority activists, are frequently violated. Hu Jia was subjected to torture and to almost daily interrogations lasting from 6 to 14 hours at a time during his first month of detention. Public security officers used “abduction, detention, and threats” to coerce Hu’s friends to become “witnesses.” As is the case in the overwhelming majority of trials in China, no witnesses appeared in court during Hu’s trial, so the defense attorneys had no opportunity to cross-examine them about their statements.

The little that is known about the trials of 30 Tibetans in Lhasa city in April suggests that they were not fair. Human Rights Watch reported that in mid-March, the Tibet Autonomous Region Communist Party secretary urged that there be “quick arrests, quick hearings, and quick sentencings” of those involved in the protests. Xinhua reported on April 29 that the sentences, ranging from three years to life imprisonment, were pronounced publicly. According to Human Rights Watch, the actual trials were conducted in secret earlier in April. Chinese Human Rights Defenders stated that most of the defendants were reportedly tortured and forced to confess, and that the families of the defendants reportedly were too afraid to contact the rights defense lawyers from Beijing and elsewhere who had offered to assist.

**CAPITAL PUNISHMENT**

The Commission reported last year about the initial results of the Supreme People’s Court (SPC) reassertion of its legal authority to review all death penalty cases in order to limit the use of the death penalty to only the most serious criminal cases and to prevent miscarriages of justice. During 2007, the first year in which the SPC review of death penalty sentences was restored, 30 percent fewer death sentences were meted out, compared with the number of death sentences in 2006. The SPC overturned 15 percent of
all death sentences handed down by lower courts in 2007 and the first half of 2008. Gao Jinghong, presiding judge of the SPC’s Third Criminal Law Court, stated that the majority of the death sentences that were overturned in 2008 were due to insufficient evidence or because the death sentence was inappropriate.

Outgoing SPC President Xiao Yang reported at the National People’s Congress session in March: “The SPC has been working to ensure that the capital punishment only applies to the very few number of felons who committed extremely serious, atrocious crimes that lead to grave social consequences.” As a result of the SPC reasserting its review authority, lower courts have reportedly become more cautious in handing out death sentences. Moreover, the SPC stated that 2007 was the first year that the number of death sentences with a two-year suspension (i.e., if no crime is committed during the first two years of imprisonment, the death sentence is reduced to life imprisonment) exceeded the number of death sentences to be carried out immediately.

China’s Criminal Law includes 68 capital offenses, many of which are for non-violent crimes such as drug trafficking, official corruption, and leaking state secrets abroad. The government does not publish official statistics on the number of executions, and this figure remains a state secret. Amnesty International reported in April that of the countries that have capital punishment, China was the leader with at least 470 executions, but indicated that this figure serves as “an absolute minimum” because the number was based on public reports. The Dui Hua Foundation estimates that 5,000 people were executed in 2007.

Wang Shengjun, the new president of the SPC, created a controversy during his first few months in office when he stated that one of the factors that should be weighed in deciding whether a convicted defendant should be sentenced to death is popular will. His statement does not appear to have affected the progress of the death penalty procedural reforms.
WORKER RIGHTS

INTRODUCTION

Workers in China still are not guaranteed either in law or in practice full worker rights in accordance with international standards. China’s laws, regulations, and governing practices continue to deny workers fundamental rights, including, but not limited to, the right to organize into independent unions.\(^1\)

Labor disputes and protests became increasingly intense and well-organized across China during 2008. Management’s failure to pay wage arrears, overtime, severance pay, or social security contributions, were the most common causes. Social and economic changes, weak legislative frameworks, and ineffective or selective enforcement continue to engender abuses ranging from forced labor and child labor, to violations of health and safety standards, wage arrearages, and loss of job benefits. Residency restrictions continue to present hardships for workers who migrate for jobs in urban areas. Tight controls over civil society organizations hinder the ability of citizen groups to champion for worker rights.

Significant obstacles—and risks—exist for workers in China who attempt to protect their rights.\(^2\) Workers who try to establish independent associations or organize demonstrations continue to risk arrest and imprisonment.\(^3\) Labor rights activist Hu Shigen (Hu Shenglun), was released from Beijing No. 2 prison on August 26, 2008, having served most of a 20-year sentence he received in 1994 for “organizing and leading a counterrevolutionary group” and “engaging in counterrevolutionary propaganda and incitement” after he helped to establish the China Freedom and Democracy Party and the China Free Trade Union Preparatory Committee.\(^4\) As detailed in the Commission’s Political Prisoner Database, other independent labor organizers continue to serve long jail terms.

Several high profile incidents during 2008 underscored the inhumane conditions and weak protections under which many Chinese continue to work. The discovery in Dongguan of yet another extensive forced labor network, less than a year after the discovery in 2007 of a massive network in Shanxi province, showed the difficulties even China’s paramount leaders face in enforcing the most basic protections for workers against China’s powerfully embedded labor trafficking networks.\(^5\) As detailed below [see box titled Forced Labor], it also revealed local officials’ stunning defiance of Premier Wen’s and President Hu’s instructions last year to eradicate forced labor networks. Article 244 of the PRC Criminal Law makes forced labor a crime.\(^6\) Events in 2008 showed the deterrent value of this provision to be woefully inadequate. Some Chinese companies, including firms who manufactured products for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, reportedly relied on subcontractors who employed children aged 12 to 13 years.\(^7\)

China’s legislative and regulatory landscape for worker rights changed during 2008, as three major national labor-related laws outlining a number of legal protections for workers took effect. The new PRC Labor Contract Law and new PRC Employment Promotion Law took effect on January 1, 2008, and the new PRC Labor Dispute Mediation and Arbitration Law took effect on May 1, 2008.\(^8\)
Some prominent labor advocates suggest that, with the new Labor Contract Law now in effect, China’s new legislative framework “is more than sufficient for the development of collective bargaining in China.” The biggest obstacle, they claim, “is not the lack of legislation, but the inability of the official trade union to act as a proper representative trade union.” The law entrenches the role of the All-China Federation of Trade Unions (ACFTU) in contract negotiations. But the Labor Contract Law does not include provisions to guarantee equal bargaining power between workers and employers. The ACFTU is China’s only legal trade union, and it is required by the Trade Union Law to “uphold the leadership of the Communist Party.” The vast majority of “trade unions” in enterprises effectively remain under the de facto control of management.

At the same time, some experts caution against dismissing enterprise trade unions set up by the ACFTU as hollow shells. A study by Anita Chan, an expert on Chinese labor issues at the Australian National University, found “workers who take an active interest in their store union, and at least in one case, an elected rank and file trade union chair using the trade union platform to actively defend workers’ interests.”

When given the space to struggle against management through existing legal and institutional structures, if competent and committed leadership emerges, [Chinese workers] are willing to rally around it.

At the same time, companies, schools, and other employers—including some government offices—began taking action to evade the Labor Contract Law’s provisions even before the law took effect on January 1, 2008, and afterwards. Only in some isolated cases have local courts been effective in invalidating corporate policies and procedures found to contravene the new laws in ways that infringe on worker rights. Model contracts produced by local governments, and purportedly designed to comply with the new legislative framework, have been found by researchers and labor advocates to contain both restrictions on industrial action and provisions that contradict newly legislated protections for workers. The new legislative framework’s imprecision limits some provisions that are potentially beneficial to workers. The Implementing Regulations for the PRC Labor Contract Law, which were issued and became effective on September 18, 2008, may address only some of these problems.

Inflation, shortages of skilled labor in particular locales, yuan appreciation, rising taxes, increasingly stringent environmental regulations, rising materials costs, and sunsetting government subsidies were among the many factors besides the new labor legislation that appeared to play significant roles in raising operating costs that, in turn, have prompted some foreign businesses to reevaluate operations in China during 2008. New labor legislation makes ongoing non-compliance with requirements governing benefits, wages, and working conditions more costly. For employers with longstanding non-compliant practices, moving from general non-compliance to general compliance may prove to be an expensive proposition. But employers who have been generally compliant are not expected to experience dramatic cost increases as a result of
the new legislative framework.\textsuperscript{22} According to the Hong Kong-based IHLO,\textsuperscript{23} one result of the new legislative framework, if implemented,

will not necessarily be the automatic improvement of workers rights and living conditions but perhaps the shift in industrial relations to a situation where employers no longer routinely flout the laws—as is common now—but instead seek to legally circumvent the new law. Thus we will see rising numbers of companies employing part time workers with working hours just under the amount needed for them to be covered by the new law, or employers ensuring the bare minimum are contained in the new contracts—even if all workers get a copy.\textsuperscript{24}

Following the opening of trade union branches in many Wal-Mart stores in China in 2006,\textsuperscript{25} Wal-Mart’s Shenyang store signed a collective contract with the local trade union in July 2008.\textsuperscript{26} (Shenyang city issued Regulations on Collective Contracts in August 2007.\textsuperscript{27}) Wal-Mart’s collective contract sets employees’ wages above the legal minimum, guarantees two years of annual pay increases, and provides for overtime, paid vacations, and social security contributions.\textsuperscript{28} Shortly after concluding the Shenyang collective contract, Wal-Mart concluded collective contracts in several other of its stores in China, and indicated its intention to conclude collective labor contracts at all of its stores in China during 2008.\textsuperscript{29} ACFTU officials reportedly have stated that 80 percent of the top 500 global corporations operating in China would have unions by the end of September 2008.\textsuperscript{30} In July 2008, Nike, Adidas, Speedo, and Umbro among others formed a working group in cooperation with NGOs and trade unions to promote trade unionism and collective bargaining in China.\textsuperscript{31} In a posting dated July 2008, the Website of the All-China Federation of Trade Unions acknowledged collective bargaining as an internationally recognized norm for labor contracting.\textsuperscript{32}

\textbf{NATIONAL LEVEL LEGISLATIVE DEVELOPMENTS}

\textit{Labor Contract Law}

The PRC’s new Labor Contract Law took effect on January 1, 2008.\textsuperscript{33} In addition to soliciting public comments on multiple draft versions of the law, the Ministry of Labor and Social Security also sought technical assistance from U.S. experts in drafting the law. In 2005 and 2006, a U.S. Department of Labor-funded technical cooperation project sponsored a series of workshops and a study tour for Chinese officials who requested to be briefed on U.S. best practices in employment relationships, termination of contracts, part-time employment, regulation of labor recruitment, U.S. Wage and Hour regulations, the means of protecting worker rights, the means of enhancing compliance, and training for investigations.\textsuperscript{34} The Labor Contract Law governs the contractual relationship between workers and employers from enterprises, individual economic organizations, and private non-enterprise units.\textsuperscript{35} The law expands requirements in the PRC’s 1994 Labor Law that mandate the signing of labor contracts.\textsuperscript{36} It requires workers and employers to establish a written contract in order to begin a labor relation.\textsuperscript{37}
and creates the presumption of an open-ended contract if the parties have not concluded a written contract within one year from the start of employment. The law also includes provisions that allow certain workers with existing fixed-term contracts to transition to open-ended employment.

The law mandates that contracts specify matters including working hours, compensation, social insurance, and protections against occupational hazards. In addition, the employer and worker may add contractual provisions for probationary periods, training, supplementary benefits, and insurance. The basic provisions on establishing contracts accompany a series of other stipulations within the law that attempt to regularize the status of workers employed through staffing agencies; strengthen protections in the event of job dismissals; and establish a framework for penalizing non-compliance with the law.

**Labor Dispute Mediation and Arbitration Law**

The PRC’s new Labor Dispute Mediation and Arbitration Law took effect on May 1, 2008. During the drafting process, a vice-chair of the Legislative Affairs Commission of the Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress described the purpose of the law as “strengthening mediation and improving arbitration so as to help fairly solve labor disputes without going to court and thus safeguard employee’s legitimate rights and promote social harmony” [emphasis added]. As compared with the system of handling labor disputes provided for in the 1993 Regulations on the Handling of Labor Disputes in Enterprises and the 1994 Labor Law, the new law appears to expand the range of cases covered by the legal system. Compared with the previous system, the framework set forth under the new law expands channels available for mediation, makes arbitration committee rulings in routine cases legally binding, modifies the burden of production in favor of employees, revises choice of venue provisions in favor of employees by prioritizing the location where a labor contract is performed over the employer’s location as the venue for dispute resolution, abolishes the arbitration application fee, and extends the time limit for filing an arbitration case from 60 days to one year from the date of the alleged infringement while shortening the period of arbitration. When an arbitration committee does not take a case, complaining parties retain the right to file a civil suit. Arbitration committees have found themselves suddenly short-staffed in the wake of a significant spike in the number of labor dispute cases filed following implementation of this law and the Labor Contract Law.

**Employment Promotion Law**

In August 2007, the Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress adopted an Employment Promotion Law, effective January 1, 2008, that stipulates measures relating to the promotion of employment growth and equal access to employment. In addition to containing provisions aimed at prohibiting discrimination based on factors including ethnicity, race, sex, and religious belief, the law addresses the equal right to work for women and ethnic mi-
norities;\textsuperscript{54} specifies disabled people’s right to work;\textsuperscript{55} stipulates that rural workers’ access to work should “be equal to” urban workers;\textsuperscript{56} and forbids employers from refusing to hire carriers of infectious diseases.\textsuperscript{57} The law also allows workers to initiate lawsuits in the event of discrimination.\textsuperscript{58} [See Section II—Status of Women for more information.]

Some aspects of the law are potentially problematic. One article provides that “the state encourages workers to develop correct job selection concepts.”\textsuperscript{59} Another provision carves out a role for Communist Party-controlled organizations like the Communist Youth League to aid in implementation of the law,\textsuperscript{60} which may dampen the role of civil society groups that promote implementation in ways that challenge Party policy. Potentially beneficial safeguards also face barriers due to a lack of clearly defined terms. A provision to promote the employment of workers with “employment hardship,” for example, defines this category of workers in general terms but leaves precise details to local authorities, introducing the possibility of uneven protections that reduce the law’s overall impact.\textsuperscript{61} In addition, the law specifies the establishment of an unemployment insurance system, but provides no extensive details on implementation.\textsuperscript{62}

The Employment Promotion Law’s anti-discrimination provisions received particular attention during 2008. Under the law, “employers can not refuse employment to prospective employees because they have or carry a communicable disease.”\textsuperscript{63} On January 3—just two days after the law took effect—a court in Dongguan, Guangdong province, announced a court-mediated settlement in the first Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) discrimination case heard in the province. Under the settlement, the Hong Kong-owned Vtech corporation was ordered to pay 24,000 yuan (US$3,494) to a job applicant it had refused to hire on the grounds that he carried HBV.\textsuperscript{64} It is worth noting that the plaintiff reportedly sought help during this process from an online HBV support group.\textsuperscript{65} Such civil society organizations are playing an increasingly important role in China today, even as official crackdown places many of them, their founders, personnel, and clients at risk of harassment, arrest, detention, or imprisonment. [See Section II—Public Health and Section III—Civil Society.]

On April 2, 2008, another court-mediated civil suit resulted in compensation awarded to an individual in Shanghai whose employment offer was rescinded due to his HBV status.\textsuperscript{66} The Shanghai Public Health Bureau reportedly eliminated routine HBV testing for prospective employees the same day.\textsuperscript{67} On June 18, 2008, a labor dispute arbitration committee (LDAC) ruled on China’s first employment discrimination case involving mental depression.\textsuperscript{68} The case involved an employee dismissed from IBM’s Shanghai subsidiary, and resulted in a monetary award and reinstatement of employment. The Pudong LDAC ruled according to provisions of the Labor Contract Law and Employment Promotion Law.

**LOCAL-LEVEL LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS**

A number of localities in China announced initiatives in the areas of collective contracting, labor dispute settlement, and oversight of the business sector during 2008. Guangdong province,
Hebei province, Shenzhen city, and Hangzhou city provide representative examples.69

Guangdong

As the rest of the country waited for the State Council to release for public comment its much debated Draft Implementing Regulations for the Labor Contract Law, the Guangdong provincial High People’s Court and Labor Dispute Arbitration Commission on June 23, 2008, jointly issued a Guiding Opinion on Implementing the Labor Dispute Mediation and Arbitration Law and Labor Contract Law.70 The Guiding Opinion includes provisions aimed at unifying judicial and arbitral standards and fostering joint judicial and arbitral announcements in order to reduce inconsistencies between arbitration panels and courts, thereby allowing lawyers and litigants to better anticipate both timing and substance of rulings, thereby increasing the likelihood of informal settlement and reduced litigation and arbitration caseloads,71 which spiked in Guangdong during 2008.72 A number of scholars and practitioners have challenged the legal authority of the Guangdong Guiding Opinion to clarify national law. Guangzhou authorities concede that the Guiding Opinion is for “reference” only.73

Hebei

China’s first provincial-level legislation on collective consultations, Hebei province’s Regulations on Enterprise Collective Consultations between Labor and Management took effect on January 1, 2008.74 The Hebei Regulations stipulate that negotiations between labor and management “should be open and equal, seek consensus, and assign equal weight to the interests of the enterprise and the workers.”75 The Regulations provide for democratic election of workers’ representatives in the absence of a union, but, in the presence of a union, workers’ representatives are to be recommended by the union, and reviewed by the workers’ congress.76 Provisions stipulate representation in equal numbers for labor and management during negotiations, and a limit on the number of outside parties.77

The Regulations address methods and times of wage payment, subsidies and allowances, holidays, sick leave and maternity leave, and length and conditions of renewal of the collective labor contract. The Regulations specify that wages under the collective contract must be at least the local minimum wage, and that wages under individual workers’ contracts must be at least that specified in the collective contract. While the Regulations specify that negotiations should be “legal open and on equal terms,”78 they do not legally require the All China Federation of Trade Unions (ACFTU) to negotiate collectively.79

Shenzhen

Shenzhen city issued Implementing Regulations for the Trade Union Law in July 2008. Instead of “collective consultations,” the term used in most labor legislation across China,80 the Shenzhen Regulations use the term “collective bargaining.”81 The Regulations emphasize the role of the trade union in representing workers
in negotiations with management. In a move that could lessen trade union dependence on enterprises, the Regulations require the municipal branch of the trade union to provide local trade union officials with a monthly subsidy. Other provisions in the Shenzhen Regulations place collective bargaining at the center of trade union responsibilities. The “supervision” of grassroots unions by higher level unions remains, however, and mechanisms whereby lower level officials can hold higher level union officials to account are lacking.

Shenzhen also issued a new Regulation on the Promotion of Harmonious Labor Relations, due to take effect in November 2008. Legislation from Singapore, Hong Kong, the United States, and Europe were referred to as models during drafting, and a draft regulation was produced through consultation and collaboration among city labor officials, enterprise managers, and employee representatives. Submitted for public comment on June 2, 2008, the draft was published in all major local newspapers and received nationwide attention. In particular, the Shenzhen draft regulations brought into public discussion the sensitive subject of strike action, prompting one local official openly to speculate that the right to strike in China—a right not contained in China’s Constitution—would be “only one step away.” Such optimism was reported openly in the official media, as was the characterization of the All-China Federation of Trade Unions' inability to organize workers as “an embarrassing joke.”

The Shenzhen Regulation addresses the mediation of labor disputes and includes a chapter on collective consultation. For strikes or stoppages that interrupt the provision of essential public services, place public safety or the economy at risk, the Shenzhen Regulation provides for “return-to-work orders.” Under this provision, local government officials may order a 30-day “cooling off” period during which the strike or stoppage is suspended and work resumes with both sides—management and labor—ordered to exercise restraint with respect to any behavior that could aggravate the—ongoing—dispute. At the same time, labor bureaus, trade unions, and management are required to work toward formal resolution of the dispute. Because the draft includes no provisions to limit the government’s use of “return-to-work orders,” it leaves open the possibility that the orders may be abused by labor officials to suppress strikes and other worker actions summarily.

The Shenzhen Regulation establishes a “labor relations credit rating system.” Under this provision, local labor bureaus collect information on specific worker rights violations and, within seven working days after issuing an administrative punishment decision, enter the information into a labor relations rating database. An enterprise whose information appears in the database loses or risks losing government investment and procurement benefits and opportunities. The ultimate impact of this system remains an open question because ratings ultimately are assigned by government labor bureaus and not by employees or their elected representatives.

Hangzhou

Hangzhou city has implemented an “early warning” system for wage payment violations. Under this system, as soon as authorities
determine that a company has failed to pay workers’ salaries for one month or more, or that arrears total 50,000 yuan or more and involve 30 or more employees, authorities notify employees to take action to protect their rights and interests.94 This new system is in the early stages of implementation, and data on its performance and impact as yet are unavailable.

Labor Dispute Cases Increase With New Labor Legislation

Following implementation of the Labor Contract Law and the Employment Promotion Law, both of which took effect on January 1, 2008, and the new Law on the Mediation and Arbitration of Labor Disputes, which took effect May 1, 2008, locales have reported surges in the filing of labor dispute cases.95 A majority of cases have involved non-payment of salaries and wages in arrears.96 While implementation of the new legislative framework contributed to the rise in labor disputes during 2008, other factors contributed as well. Yuan appreciation, inflation, and more stringent environmental protection requirements increased operating costs, prompting relocation of some factories to lower cost centers outside of China (e.g., Vietnam). In some cases where firms attempted to liquidate plant assets before settling unpaid wages, workers reportedly have been making use of litigation and arbitration to assert legal claims to plant assets, but success rates in litigation are not known at this time.

The increase in labor dispute caseload has created staffing problems that have contributed in some locales to non-compliance with legally mandated 60-day deadlines for the resolution of disputes.97 This problem has been exacerbated by methods for setting staffing levels of local labor bureaus. Staffing levels are determined, in part, based on the official census. The official census, in turn, is based on the registered population, and typically excludes the largely unregistered migrant worker population. In many areas, the majority of workers filing labor dispute cases have been migrants, and, as a result, would not be reflected in staffing plans formulated using standard methods.

SIGNIFICANT LABOR ACTIONS DURING 2007–2008

High profile strikes remain rare in China. China’s first major pilots’ strike occurred during 2008, as did other significant work stoppages and protests over the last 12 months.98 Officials increasingly and more vocally began calling for legislative and regulatory action to govern, rather than to suppress, strikes and other work stoppages.99

March 2008 saw multiple labor actions by civilian airline pilots in China. In early March 2008, pilots from Wuhan East Star Airlines and Shanghai Airlines called in sick en masse. On March 31, pilots from Yunnan Airlines, a subsidiary of China Eastern Airlines, protested low pay by landing at their destinations, but then not permitting passengers to disembark before taking off again and flying back to their points of origin.100 As reported by Xinhua, the pilots, the oldest of whom had been with the airline since 1995, had complained that workloads were “too heavy and involved immense pressure,” and that the “return flights” were protest actions.101 Shortly thereafter, 13 pilots collectively submitted their resigna-
tions, which the company reportedly rejected immediately. One editorial in the state-controlled Economic Observer Online suggested that the pilots’ actions might have been preempted if they had enjoyed the benefit of effective union representation in their dealings with airline management.

Under the terms of contracts each pilot previously had signed with the airline, the airline was permitted to impose fines on pilots for resigning. Most contracts between pilots and state-owned carriers in China impose heavy penalties on pilots for resignation “to prevent them from breaking away from the company,” according to a prominent Chinese legal expert, as quoted by Xinhua. Chinese airlines face increasing difficulty recruiting qualified pilots, with a large number of pilot jobs unfilled and resignations on the rise. On September 10, 2008, the Intermediate People’s Court in Wuhan city, Hubei province, ordered 10 of the original 13 pilots to pay to the airline fines totaling 8 million yuan (US$1 million). The 8-million-yuan figure reportedly is roughly equivalent to the amount the airline invested in training the 10 pilots. Initially the airline had sought 100 million yuan in compensation claiming it would suffer heavy losses if the pilots resigned. After the judgment was announced, one pilot reportedly “feared the company will not accept the result, and refuse to give back [his] pilot [certificate].”

In April 2008, Students and Scholars Against Corporate Misbehavior (SACOM), a Hong Kong-based NGO, alleged occupational safety and labor law violations at five firms, including Nine Dragons (ND Paper), a major Chinese paper manufacturer. Nine Dragons’ CEO was elected in January to the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference (CPPCC), a central-level leadership organ. Following an investigation, the Guangdong Provincial Federation of Trade Unions issued a report on May 26 that included findings of mistreatment of both managers and workers. In addition to unsafe working conditions—the company reported over 50 industrial accidents, including 2 deaths and 8 serious injuries in the last year—the Union found the company’s imposition of excessive penalties on employees to be a serious problem—fines totaling over 1 million yuan were imposed on over 70 percent of the company’s workforce last year. The union has received no worker complaints, according to a union official.
### Migrant Workers

There are more than 170 million migrant workers in China, according to official statistics. Chinese migrants face numerous obstacles in the protection of their labor rights, and employers have exploited migrant workers’ uprooted status to deny them fair working conditions. In February 2008, migrant workers for the first time joined the ranks of China’s National People’s Congress Deputies. In July 2008, China’s Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security established a new Department of Migrant Workers’ Affairs. The new department will focus attention on problems that disproportionately affect migrant workers, such as wages arrears, access to social security and pension benefits, and discrimination. The department has announced its intention to focus attention on labor contracts for migrant workers. There were isolated reports during 2008 of migrant workers litigating and winning compensation for workplace injuries.

Non-payment of wages owed to migrant workers is rampant in China. The problem is particularly severe in the construction industry. Rural workers move frequently, and, when injured on the job, often return home, with no choice but to forfeit social insurance benefits. As a result, many migrant workers think of their contributions into the social insurance schemes as moneys they will not recover when needed, and some refuse to pay.

Next to unpaid wages, access to and portability of pension and social security benefits were among the most serious problems migrant workers faced during 2008. Local rules and regulations make it extremely difficult for migrant workers to remit their pension and social security benefits to their hometowns. Many of these same rules severely restrict the portability of pension and social security contributions made by workers and employers in locales in which workers are temporarily employed. Some localities began actively to address this problem during 2008. In Suzhou city, Jiangsu province, for example, migrant workers now are permitted to transfer pensions to their home location if the government department designated to receive the pension in the remote location agrees.

Under the new Labor Contract Law, labor contracts must require social security payments by both the worker and the employer. Local regulations in some locales, however, do not permit migrant workers, who typically reside in localities only for the duration of the project for which they are employed, to reclaim employers’ social security and pension contributions when their work is done and they prepare to move on. In such circumstances, the accrued employer contributions remain with the local government. Some migrant workers, therefore, understand a labor contract only as a document requiring the payment by them of contributions for which they will have to expend effort later to recoup. For them, the perceived financial benefits of not signing a labor contract today outweigh the promise of untested legal protections in the future. As a result, some migrant workers, even those who are fully aware they have a legally enforceable right to work under a labor contract, refuse to sign labor contracts nonetheless because provisions originally designed to protect them are perceived to run counter to their short-term interests.
Migrant Workers—Continued

The household registration (hukou) system perpetuates much of the discrimination that migrant workers confront. It remains to be seen whether the Department of Migrant Workers’ Affairs will be permitted to emerge as a force for the reform or dismantling of the hukou system. A number of bureaucracies, including local public security bureaus, have vested interests in the perpetuation of the hukou system. As a result, the institutional challenges the new department faces are not insignificant.

WORKING CONDITIONS

While migrant workers face disproportionate obstacles and risks in protecting their rights in China, poor working conditions—from insufficient wage guarantees, long working hours and uncompensated overtime, deficiencies in benefits, and workplace accidents, particularly for miners—impact Chinese workers, migrant and non-migrant alike.

Wages

The 1994 Labor Law guarantees minimum wages for workers, and assigns local governments to set wage standards for each region. The new Labor Contract Law improves formal monitoring requirements to verify workers receive minimum wages. Article 74 requires local labor bureaus to monitor labor practices to ensure rates adhere to minimum wage standards. Article 85 imposes legal liability on employers who pay rates below minimum wage. In addition, Article 72 guarantees minimum hourly wages for part-time workers.

Illegal labor practices have undermined minimum wage guarantees. Wage arrears remain a serious problem, especially for migrant workers. Subcontracting practices within industry exacerbate the problem of wage arrearages. When investors and developers default on their payments to construction companies, workers at the end of the chain of labor subcontractors lack the means to recover wages from the original defaulters. Subcontractors, including companies that operate illegally, neglect their own duties to pay laborers and leave workers without any direct avenue to demand their salaries. In 2007, the Commission reported a steady increase in the number of workers who turned to labor arbitration to settle their disputes with employers. As detailed below, this trend appears to have continued.

Working Hours

Chinese labor law mandates a maximum 8-hour workday and 44-hour average workweek. Forced overtime and workdays much longer than the legally mandated maximum are not uncommon, especially in export sectors, where some employers avoid paying overtime rates by compensating workers on a piece-rate basis with quotas high enough to avoid requirements to pay overtime wages. It has been reported that suppliers in China avoid exposing themselves to claims of requiring illegal, long hours by hiring
firms that help them set up double booking systems for foreign importers who aim to adhere to Chinese rules and regulations. These firms not only help suppliers prepare books to pass audits, but also coach managers and employees on answers to give the auditors.\textsuperscript{128}

**Benefits**

Gaps in social security and labor insurance coverage remain widespread in China. Under Chinese labor law, local governments bear responsibility for providing coverage for retirement, illness or injury, occupational injuries, joblessness, and childbirth.\textsuperscript{129} This means that systemic deficiencies in local governance exacerbate shortcomings in the provision of social security benefits. Improved oversight of social security benefits funds has been the focus of attention in some locales, but problems remain.\textsuperscript{130}

**Mine Accidents**

China’s mining sector continues to have high accident and death rates. Miners are limited in their ability to promote safer working conditions in part due to legal obstacles to independent worker organizing. Market-oriented reforms since the 1980s led to the privatization of operations at thousands of formerly state-run mines. Facilities operated by private contractors failed to maintain even the minimum mine safety standards and practices that were upheld under state control, and unsafe mines remain in operation.\textsuperscript{131} Collusion between mine operators and local government officials reportedly remains widespread; in some cases, miners reportedly may earn higher than average wages for working in unsafe mines.\textsuperscript{132}

Media control following accidents remains strong. Central government directives encourage local governments to pressure bereaved families into signing compensation agreements, and to condition out-of-court compensation settlements on forfeiture by bereaved families of their rights to seek further compensation through the court system. There have been reports of local officials preempting class actions by prohibiting contact among members of bereaved families in order to forestall coordination.\textsuperscript{133}

**CHILD LABOR**

In spite of legal measures to prohibit the practice of child labor in China, child labor remains a persistent problem.\textsuperscript{134} As a member of the International Labour Organization (ILO), China has ratified the two core conventions on the elimination of child labor.\textsuperscript{135} China’s Labor Law and related legislation prohibit the employment of minors under 16,\textsuperscript{136} and both national and local legal provisions prohibiting child labor stipulate a series of fines for employing children.\textsuperscript{137} Under the Criminal Law, employers and supervisors face prison sentences of up to seven years for forcing children to work under conditions of extreme danger.\textsuperscript{138} Systemic problems in enforcement, however, have dulled the effects of these legal measures. The overall extent of child labor in China is unclear in part because the government classifies data on the matter as “highly secret.”\textsuperscript{139}
Child laborers reportedly work in low-skill service sectors as well as small workshops and businesses, including textile, toy, and shoe manufacturing enterprises. Many underage laborers reportedly are in their teens, typically ranging from 13 to 15 years old, a phenomenon exacerbated by problems in the education system and labor shortages of adult workers. Children in detention facilities also have been subjected to forced labor. Events during 2008, especially the Dongguan forced labor scandal, highlighted the existence of what the ILO terms the “worst forms of child labor.” Reports of children as young as 12 years old working in the production of merchandise for the 2008 Summer Olympic Games in Beijing only underscored the Chinese government’s inability to prevent child labor.

The Chinese government, which has condemned the use of child labor and pledged to take stronger measures to combat it, permits “work-study” programs and activities that in practical terms perpetuate the practice of child labor, and are tantamount to official endorsement of it. Under work-study programs implemented in various parts of China, children who are elementary school students pick crops and engage in other physical labor. Central government legislation allows this form of child labor. National provisions prohibiting child labor provide that “education practice labor” and vocational skills training labor organized by schools and other educational and vocational institutes do not constitute the use of child labor when such activities do not adversely affect the safety and health of the students. The Education Law supports schools that establish work-study and other programs, provided that the programs do not negatively affect normal studies. A nationwide regulation on work-study programs for elementary and secondary school students outlines the general terms of such programs, which it says are meant to cultivate morals, contribute to production outputs, and generate resources for improving schools. These provisions contravene China’s obligations as a Member State to ILO conventions prohibiting child labor. In 2006, the ILO’s Committee of Experts on the Applications of Conventions and Recommendations “expressed . . . concern at the situation of children under 18 years performing forced labor not only in the framework of re-educational and reformative measures, but also in regular work programs at school.”
Forced Labor

On April 28, 2008, Chinese media reported that more than 1,000 children had been trafficked from Liangshan, Sichuan province, to work in factories across the Pearl River Delta.\(^{153}\) Local police sources were quoted as saying that, over the course of two days, at least 167 children trafficked from Sichuan had been rescued. Another government official allegedly reported that a team of 20 officials from the Liangshan region had arrived in Dongguan to help repatriate the children.\(^{154}\) Dongguan’s Deputy Mayor, however, told a press conference that the government had investigated over 3,600 companies employing 450,000 people, and that, “in the factories we inspected, we did not come across any large-scale use of child labor. There might be some child labor from Liangshan, but at present we just don’t have the evidence.”\(^{155}\)

In part because the scandal was contemporaneous with coverage of the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake and the Olympic torch procession, press coverage was less extensive than that received by the Shanxi brick kiln forced labor scandal of 2007 and similar reported incidents dating back to 2003.\(^{156}\) The persistence of forced labor networks revealed stunning defiance by local officials of the central leadership’s instructions last year, following the Shanxi brick kiln scandal, to investigate and to put an end to forced labor. Following as it did on the heels of last year’s events in Shanxi, the Dongguan scandal reveals the weakness even of China’s paramount leaders in enforcing the most basic of worker rights against China’s powerfully embedded labor trafficking networks.\(^{157}\)

Article 244 of the PRC’s Criminal Law makes forced labor a crime. Events in 2008 showed the deterrent value of this provision to be inadequate at best under current conditions.\(^{158}\) Current law applies only to legally recognized employers and does not apply to individuals or illegal workplaces. As the Commission noted in its last Annual Report, the All-China Lawyers Association in June 2007 asked the National People’s Congress Standing Committee to introduce new legislation making slavery a criminal charge.\(^{159}\) It is unclear at the time of this writing whether such legislation is in process. However, in March 2008, members of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference (CPPCC) recommended to the CPPCC (which is not a lawmaking body) that the Criminal Law be amended to criminalize “violently forcing labor.”\(^{160}\)

The aftermath of these scandals has revealed a more general lack of victims support services in China. Officials regarded wages in arrears as the central issue, not criminal assault and unlawful deprivation of liberty.\(^{161}\) Under the All China Lawyers Association’s Guiding Opinion on the Handling of Collective Cases, lawyers who file collective suits (the Chinese analog of class actions) on behalf of former forced laborers, are required to report all relevant details of the case to local government officials, regardless of whether those officials themselves are the target of the suit. There are no conflict of interest exemptions or provisions. The Opinion stipulates that “after accepting a collective case lawyers must promptly explain the facts through the appropriate channels to the government organizations involved.”\(^{162}\)
Forced Labor—Continued

As reported in the Commission's 2007 Annual Report, in May and June 2007, Chinese media and Internet activists uncovered a network of forced labor in brick kilns in Shanxi and Henan provinces. On April 1, 2008, the Linfen Municipal Intermediate People's Court accepted a civil suit filed by four of the brick kiln workers, against five defendants who had been criminally prosecuted in August 2007 and are currently serving prison terms.163 Plaintiffs reportedly are seeking damages for lost earnings, physical injury, and mental distress.

The strategy in filing the case, according to their lawyers, is to prompt the Linfen government—not the defendants—to settle directly, to contribute to a court-awarded settlement, or both. Legislators and legal scholars revising the PRC's Law on State Compensation have promoted the establishment by local governments of compensation funds to provide damages to victims in criminal cases in which there is no realistic prospect of damages paid by criminal defendants.164 To the extent that this case publicizes the utility of such local compensation funds, it may help propel the development of public interest litigation in China.

U.S.–CHINA BILATERAL COOPERATION

Pursuant to Letters of Understanding renewed in 2007 between the United States Department of Labor and two Chinese government agencies, the two countries continued to conduct cooperative activities during 2008 on wage and hour laws, occupational safety and health, mine safety, and pension oversight, with pledges by both sides to continue cooperative activities for three more years. In addition, implementation of two other cooperative agreements signed in 2007 in the areas of unemployment insurance program administration and labor statistics continued apace in 2008.165

China's International Worker Rights Commitments

As a member of the International Labour Organization (ILO), China is obligated to respect a basic set of internationally recognized labor rights for workers, including freedom of association and the “effective recognition” of the right to collective bargaining.166 China is also a permanent member of the ILO's governing body.167 The ILO's Declaration on the Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work (1998 Declaration) commits ILO members “to respect, to promote and to realize” these fundamental rights based on “the very fact of [ILO] membership.”168
The ILO's eight core conventions articulate the scope of worker rights and principles enumerated in the 1998 Declaration. Each member is committed to respect the fundamental right or principle addressed in each core convention, even if that member state has not ratified the convention. China has ratified four of the eight ILO core conventions, including two core conventions on the abolition of child labor (No. 138 and No. 182) and two on non-discrimination in employment and occupation (No. 100 and No. 111). The ILO has reported that the Chinese government is preparing to ratify the two core conventions on forced labor (No. 29 and No. 105). Chinese labor law on paper generally incorporates the basic obligations of the ILO’s eight core conventions, with the exception of the provisions relating to the freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, but many of these obligations remain unrealized in practice.

The Chinese government is a state party to the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), which guarantees the right of workers to strike, the right of workers to organize independent unions, the right of trade unions to function freely, the right of trade unions to establish national federations or confederations, and the right of the latter to form or join international trade union organizations. In ratifying the ICESCR, the Chinese government made a reservation to Article 8(1)(a), which guarantees workers the right to form free trade unions. The government asserts that application of the article should be consistent with Chinese law, which does not allow for the creation of independent trade unions.
FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

INTRODUCTION

Over the past year, the Chinese government and Communist Party continued to deny Chinese citizens the ability to fully exercise their rights to free expression. In its 2007 Annual Report, the Commission noted that China lacked a free press and that Chinese officials provided only limited government transparency, practiced pervasive censorship of the Internet and other electronic media, and placed prior restraints on a citizen’s ability to freely publish.\(^1\) This past year, the Commission has observed little to no improvement on these issues. To the contrary, censorship and manipulation of the press and Internet for political purposes worsened due to major events, including Tibetan protests that began in March 2008 and China’s hosting of the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games. The Chinese government continued to impose prior restraints on the publication of printed and online material. Authorities continued to punish religious practitioners for publishing or distributing religious materials without government permission.\(^2\) Officials continued to use vague laws to punish journalists, writers, rights advocates, and others for peacefully exercising their right to free expression, particularly those who criticized the government or Party in the context of the Olympics. Officials also continued to restrict the freedom of expression of Uyghurs \(^3\) and to harass foreign journalists, despite a pledge to grant them greater press freedom for the Olympics \(^4\) and to officials continued its gradual policy of increasing citizen access to government-held information. Officials, however, maintained broad discretion on the release of government information. Open government information measures enabled officials to promote images of openness, and quickly to provide official versions of events, while officials maintained the ability at the same time to censor unauthorized accounts.

The spread of the Internet and cell phones as mediums for expression continued to pose a challenge to the Party, a trend noted in the Commission’s 2007 Annual Report. Internet and cell phone use continues to grow. By the end of June 2008, the number of Internet and cell phone users in China had risen to 253 million and 601 million, respectively, increases of 56 percent and 20 percent over the previous year. As the Commission noted in its 2007 Annual Report, Chinese citizens used these technologies to raise public awareness and protest government policies, a trend that continued this past year. Officials, however, continued to punish citizens who used these technologies to organize protests or to share politically sensitive information.

CHINESE CITIZENS ENTITLED TO FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION, SPEECH, PRESS

Article 19 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which China has signed and committed to ratify, provides:
“1. Everyone shall have the right to hold opinions without interference. 2. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice.”

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights includes a similar provision. Article 35 of China’s Constitution states: “Citizens of the People’s Republic of China enjoy freedom of speech, of the press, of assembly, of association, of procession, and of demonstration.”

International human rights standards allow for restrictions on freedom of expression under limited circumstances. Article 19 of the ICCPR provides that such restrictions must be “provided by law” and “necessary” for the “respect of the rights or reputations of others,” “protection of national security or of public order (ordre public),” or “of public health or morals.” Chinese officials say that their restrictions on freedom of expression are “in accordance with law,” and at times cite national security or public safety concerns. Chinese law, however, does not require officials to prove that their actions are “necessary” to protect “national security” or “public order” and only vaguely defines crimes of “endangering national security” or “disturbing public order,” allowing officials broad discretion to punish peaceful activity.

GOVERNMENT’S LIMITED STEPS TOWARD OPENNESS

Over the past year, the government continued its gradual policy of increasing citizen access to government-held information. Both President Hu Jintao and Premier Wen Jiabao issued statements endorsing greater government transparency, echoing similar calls in recent years. As noted in the Commission’s 2007 Annual Report, the first national Regulations on Open Government Information (OGI regulation) went into effect in May 2008, giving citizens the right to request government information and calling on government agencies at all levels to proactively disclose “vital” information to the public in a timely manner. [See addendum at the end of this section for Commission analysis of the OGI regulation.] The government and Communist Party reportedly increased media access to the 17th Party Congress in October 2007 and the March 2008 meetings of the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference and National People’s Congress (NPC), although official media appeared to exaggerate the actual improvement. In April 2008, the NPC Standing Committee announced that it would begin releasing draft laws to the public for review. The Standing Committee generally does not have the power to draft criminal and civil legislation, however, meaning such important laws are not covered by the new policy.

Systemic obstacles to obtaining information from the Chinese government have limited the impact of the OGI regulation. The Commission noted a few of these obstacles, such as China’s state secrets laws and the lack of a free press, in its 2007 Annual Report. As noted in that report, the OGI regulation contains a state secrets exception giving officials broad discretion to withhold infor-
Since the regulation took effect, mainland Chinese and Hong Kong news organizations reported that some officials have been evasive or uncooperative when handling information requests and have cited the “state secrets” exception in refusing to disclose information. The central government issued an opinion in April 2008 imposing a purpose test on information requests, saying that officials could deny requests for information not related to the requesting party’s “production, livelihood and scientific and technological research.” China’s lack of an independent judiciary has further hindered effective implementation of the OGI regulation. Chinese courts have been reluctant to accept disclosure cases and had not ordered any government agencies to release information as of September 2008.

With few checks on their power to withhold information, officials continued to keep critical information from the public. In September 2008, for example, officials in Shijiazhuang city, Hebei province, reportedly waited more than a month before informing provincial officials about complaints of contaminated milk, which resulted in at least four deaths and injuries to thousands of infants. An editor of the Southern Weekend, a Chinese newspaper with a reputation for more independent reporting, revealed on his blog that the paper had discovered cases of sick children in July but were unable to publish the stories because of censorship before the 2008 Olympic Games. In the run-up to the Olympics in August, propaganda officials issued several directives to domestic journalists, one of which warned editors that “all food safety issues . . . is off limits.” After the milk scandal broke open, officials ordered journalists to follow the “official” line and banned commentaries and news features about the tainted milk products. At least one Chinese journalist publicly criticized this censorship and called for press freedom. [For more information on the government’s handling of the milk crisis, see Section III—Commercial Rule of Law—Food and Product Safety.]

In some cases this past year, officials and the state-controlled media provided information about politically sensitive events more quickly than they might have in the past, but such moves were not necessarily a sign of greater openness. As noted in a Newsweek article by Jonathan Ansfield, Xinhua’s English news service reported an attack that killed at least 16 policemen in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region on August 4, 2008, more than an hour before the Chinese version and little more than three hours after the event occurred. Ansfield notes, however, that Chinese journalists told him that this unusual speed was “no fluke,” but rather the result of a top Party propaganda official ordering journalists at central news organizations to take the initiative to report “major sudden incidents” in order to “get the official scoop on events before overseas media do, particularly around the time of the Olympic Games.” One journalist called it a “form of progress” as it allowed them to report sensitive news before receiving specific instructions from propaganda authorities, but it only applied to central media outlets like Xinhua, and journalists were aware that they must still toe the Party line and that not all stories could be covered this way.
In May 2008, foreign observers noted that Chinese officials responded to the devastating Sichuan earthquake with unusual openness. The more open response of China’s media, however, was in part due to large numbers of domestic reporters defying an initial ban on traveling to the disaster areas and other factors beyond the government’s control. Nevertheless, officials sought to take credit for the “openness” for propaganda purposes. A Xinhua article described the response as showing “unprecedented transparency,” gave credit to recent reforms including the OGI regulation, and noted the “positive response from domestic and international observers alike,” making no mention of the original ban on travel or subsequent orders by Party and government officials dictating how the media should cover the event.

[For more information on Party and government censorship of the media following the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake, see box titled Tibetan Protests, Sichuan Earthquake, Olympics below.]

CENSORSHIP OF THE MEDIA AND INTERNET SERVES THE PARTY AND GOVERNMENT’S INTERESTS

Censorship of Media and Publishing

The Communist Party continues to control what journalists may write or broadcast. In a June 2008 speech, President and Party General Secretary Hu Jintao reiterated the Chinese media’s subordinate role to the Party, telling journalists they must “serve socialism” and the Party. The Party’s Central Propaganda Department (CPD) issues directives that Chinese journalists must follow. The directives do not meet the international human rights standard requirement that they be “prescribed by law” since they are issued by a Party entity, rather than pursuant to legislation issued by one of the organs authorized to pass legislation under the PRC Legislation Law. Reporters have no legal recourse to challenge such restrictions. Those that cross the line are subject to firing or removal of content. In November 2007, the CPD ordered the dismissal of a journalist who wrote about a major railroad line built with substandard materials. In July 2008, officials pulled the Beijing News from stands after it published a photo of injured protesters at the 1989 Tiananmen Square demonstrations.

The Chinese government relies on prior restraints on publishing, including licensing and other regulatory requirements, to restrict free expression. Anyone wishing to publish a book, newspaper, or magazine, or to work legally as a journalist, must obtain a license from the government’s press regulator. The Chinese government forbids private publishing of religious materials and restricts the production of religious publications to state-licensed enterprises. Such restrictions have a chilling effect, and officials use them as a pretext to punish free expression. Shi Weihan, owner of a Christian bookstore in Beijing, was detained in November 2007 and accused of illegally printing and distributing religious literature. In June 2008, authorities detained Ha Jingbo and Jiang Ruoling, two middle school teachers from Dongfeng county in Jilin province, for distributing educational leaflets about Falun Gong. In November 2007, a court in Guangdong province sentenced legal activist and writer Yang Maodong (who uses the pen name Guo Feixiong) to
five years’ imprisonment for “illegal operation of a business,” for using another book’s publication number, the quantity of which the government limits, to publish his own book. Local officials were apparently angry at Guo’s book, which concerned a political scandal.42

In May 2008, new book publishing regulations went into effect. Similar to other publishing regulations in China, the new regulations require book publishers to “insist on Marxism-Leninism, Mao Zedong Thought” and “the correct guidance of public opinion,” to have a government-approved sponsor and meet financial requirements, and to abide by the government’s plans for the “number, structure, and distribution” of publishing units.43 Officials continued to target political and religious publications as part of an ongoing campaign to “clean up” the publishing industry.44

### Internet Censorship

The Chinese government and Communist Party continue to control the Internet through an effective and pervasive system that relies on government regulation and public officials and Internet companies monitoring and censoring online content. China’s measures to control the Internet do not conform to international standards for freedom of expression because they not only address issues of public concern such as pornography, privacy protection, and spam, but also content officials deem politically unacceptable. China’s top officials continue to signal that its control over the Internet is motivated by political concerns. In his June 2008 speech, President Hu Jintao reiterated the importance of co-opting the Internet as a “forward position for disseminating socialist advanced culture.”45

All Web sites hosted in China must either be licensed by or registered with the government,46 and sites providing news content or audio and video services require additional license or registration.47

- In September 2007, the Shanghai Daily reported that officials shut down 9,593 unregistered Web sites, in a move that occurred just before the 17th Party Congress in October.48
- In May 2008, officials reportedly ordered a domestic human rights Web site to shut down for failing to have the proper license.49

This past year, Chinese officials also targeted audio and video hosting Web sites, whose content is increasingly popular but more difficult to censor, as well as online maps.

- Provisions that went into effect in January 2008 reiterated the licensing requirement for audio and video Web sites and now require them to be state-owned or state-controlled.50
- In March 2008, the State Administration of Radio, Film, and Television reported the results of a two-month crackdown, saying that it shut down 25 video Web sites and warned 32 others for, among other things, failing to have the proper license or “endangering the security and interests of the state.”51
- Following the Tibetan protests that began in March, access to the U.S.-based video sharing Web site YouTube.com was reportedly blocked after dozens of videos about the protests showed up on the site.52 No footage of the protests was found on the Chinese-based video Web sites 56.com, Youku.com, and Tudou.com.53
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internet Censorship—Continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• In February 2008, the State Bureau of Surveying and Mapping issued an opinion telling online map providers that they must obtain the appropriate licenses and avoid “geographical information that could harm national security.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In April 2008, officials began a year-long campaign to remove “illegal” maps on the Internet, including those that commit “errors” such as identifying Taiwan as separate from China.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officials continued to use their control over the connection between China and the global Internet to block access to politically sensitive foreign-based Web sites, while also policing domestic content. Over the past year, media reports and testing done by OpenNet Initiative indicated that access within China to the Web sites for foreign or Hong Kong news organizations such as Guardian, BBC, Deutsche Welle, Hong Kong-based Apple Daily, Radio Free Asia, and Voice of America, human rights organizations such as Amnesty International, Reporters Without Borders, Committee to Protect Journalists, Human Rights in China, and Human Rights Watch, and sites relating to Tibetans, Uyghurs, Taiwan, Chinese activists, and the 1989 Tiananmen democracy protests was blocked at various times. In response to foreign reporters’ complaints over blocked Web sites, a Chinese Olympics official publicly acknowledged in late July 2008 that sites relating to Falun Gong were blocked and would remain blocked despite the Olympics. Following those complaints, foreign media reported that some previously blocked sites, including those for Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and Radio Free Asia, became accessible at the Olympic village. Domestic Web sites continued to be targeted as well. In the first half of 2008, officials reportedly ordered several HIV/AIDS Web sites to shut down or remove content. In addition, the Commission has received no indication that access to its Web site has become available in China.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government compels companies providing Internet services in China, including those based in other countries, to monitor and record the online activities of its customers, to filter and delete information the government considers “harmful” or politically sensitive, and to report suspicious activity to authorities. An October 2007 report on Chinese Internet censorship released by Reporters Without Borders and Chinese Human Rights Defenders and written by an unnamed Chinese employee of an Internet company said that there were between 400 and 500 banned key words and that companies censored these words to avoid fines. Internet users in China frequently complain that censors remove their postings or prevent them from appearing at all.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Such censorship is particularly evident before or after events perceived by the Party to be politically sensitive. After Tibetan protests began in March 2008, foreign media reported that searches on the popular Chinese search engine Baidu and Google for news stories on Tibet turned up no protest news in the top results or inaccessible links. In April 2008, Chinese media reported that Baidu, Google, and Yahoo China were censoring searches that contained the word “Carrefour,” a French department store, amid public outcry over protests during the Paris leg of the Olympic torch relay. In the run-up to the Olympics, public officials across China ordered hotels to ensure that they had installed Internet security systems capable of monitoring and censoring users’ Internet activities. In October 2008, Information Warfare Monitor and ONI Asia issued a report detailing a large-scale surveillance system of Internet text messages sent by customers of Tom-Skype, a joint venture between a Chinese company and eBay, which owns Skype. They found that text messages relating to Falun Gong, Taiwan independence, the Chinese Communist Party, and words such as democracy, earthquake, and milk powder had been censored, and that customers’ personal information, text messages, and chat conversations between users in China and outside China had been recorded. Skype’s president said that the company was aware that the Chinese government was monitoring chat messages but not that its Chinese partner was storing those messages deemed politically sensitive.

The Communist Party also continued to directly order the removal of content or hire citizens to go online to influence public debate. In September 2008, Party propaganda officials ordered major financial Web sites to remove “negative” reports regarding China’s stock markets amid a sharp downturn. According to one expert on Chinese media, the Party has funded training for an estimated 280,000 Web commentators whose task is to promote the Party’s views in online chat rooms and forums, and to report “dangerous” content to authorities.

Rebecca Mackinnon, an expert on China’s Internet controls, said in August 2008 that Internet users in China now faced a “more targeted and subtle approach to censorship than before.” She said blog postings about politically sensitive events were quickly taken down, while controlled reporting in Chinese media was allowed. She said the “strategy seems clear: Give China’s professional journalists a longer leash to cover breaking news even if it’s not positive—since the news will come out anyway and unlike bloggers, the journalists are still on a leash.”

Restrictions Bolster Image of Party and Government

The Chinese government and Communist Party continue to use the media and Internet to project an image of stability and harmony and ensure that the Party and central government are reflected positively. Such measures increase in the run-up to major political meetings and public events and following disasters and incidents of civil unrest or citizen activism. Three events this past year—Tibetan protests that began in March, the devastating Sichuan earthquake in May, and China’s preparations for and hosting of the 2008 Olympic Games in August—illustrate the ways
the Party and government restrict free expression in an attempt to manipulate public opinion in their favor.

### Tibetan Protests, Sichuan Earthquake, Olympics

**Tibetan Protests**

Chinese media initially devoted little coverage to a series of protests in Tibetan areas that began in March 2008. Web sites censored searches for news reports and footage of the protests, and some foreign Web sites and foreign satellite news telecasts about the protests were blocked. [See Censorship of the Media and Internet Serves the Party and Government's Interests—Internet Censorship earlier in this section.] When Chinese media stepped up reporting on the protests, they focused on violence committed against the ethnic Han population and denounced the Dalai Lama as a “wolf with the face of a human and the heart of a beast.” Chinese media also described U.S. Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi as a “disgusting figure” and attacked the foreign media for its “biased” coverage. Officials expelled foreign journalists from Tibetan areas where reported protests had occurred and barred them from entering those areas, a move the head of the International Olympic Committee said contravened China’s Olympic promise to provide greater press freedom to foreign journalists. Cell phone, landline, and Internet transmissions were also reportedly disrupted in Tibetan areas of western China, adding to the difficulty of accessing information. [See Section V—Tibet for more information on the protests.]

**Sichuan Earthquake**

Media access in the immediate aftermath of an 8.0 magnitude earthquake that hit Sichuan province on May 12, 2008, and killed nearly 70,000, was more open compared to previous natural disasters. Chinese television aired extensive and graphic live coverage from disaster areas and foreign reporters operated with few restrictions. Propaganda officials, however, had initially ordered most journalists not to travel to disaster areas. After the order was ignored, public officials rescinded the original order, but instructed the domestic media to highlight the government’s proactive response, avoid “negative” stories, and promote “national unity” and “stability.” Officials later ordered domestic media not to report on protests by grieving parents, forcibly removed parents from protest sites, and briefly detained foreign reporters trying to cover the protests.
In his June 2008 speech, President Hu Jintao told journalists to pay special attention to their coverage of the Olympics and said their first priority is to “correctly guide opinion.”81 In a January 2008 speech to propaganda officials, Hu urged them to improve China’s international image.82 From November 2007 to July 2008, propaganda officials issued several directives ordering journalists to avoid numerous topics for the Olympics, including air quality, food safety, protest zones designated for the games, and the performance of Chinese athletes.83 One directive ordered them to counter the “negative” publicity stemming from protests along the Olympic torch relay by quickly producing reports that toed the Party line, as part of an “unprecedented, ferocious media war against the biased western press.”84 An ongoing campaign to weed out “illegal publications” focused this past year on creating a “positive public opinion environment” for the Olympics.85

SELECTIVE USE OF LAWS TO PUNISH POLITICAL OPPONENTS AND HUMAN RIGHTS ACTIVISTS

Officials continued to use vague laws to punish journalists, writers, rights advocates, and others for peacefully exercising their right to free expression, particularly those who criticized the Chinese government and Communist Party in the context of the Olympics. In 2006, the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention noted that China’s vaguely defined crimes of endangering state security, splittism, subverting state power, and supplying state secrets left “their application open to abuse particularly of the rights to freedom of religion, speech, and assembly,” and recommended the abolition of such “political crimes.”86 Among the most popular of these provisions to punish peaceful expression continued to be the “inciting subversion of state power” crime under Article 105(2) of the Criminal Law.87 Among those punished for this crime included outspoken health and environmental activist Hu Jia and land rights activist Yang Chunlin, after each tied their criticisms of the government and Party to the Olympics, and freelance writer Lu Gengsong, for his online essays. [See box titled Inciting Subversion: Punishment of Activists and Writers below.] Hu and Yang’s arrests came despite claims by the Chinese foreign minister in February that it is “impossible” for someone in China to be arrested for saying “human rights are more important than the Olympics.” Officials targeted others for criticizing the government’s response to the Sichuan earthquake. Sichuan officials detained retired professor Zeng Hongling in June 2008 on charges of “inciting subversion” after she posted articles online alleging corruption and poor living conditions in areas affected by the earthquake.88
Inciting Subversion: Punishment of Activists and Writers

Article 105(2) of the PRC Criminal Law reads in part: “[w]hoever incites others by spreading rumors or slanders or any other means to subvert the State power or overthrow the socialist system shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not more than five years.”

Hu Jia

Background: Well-known HIV/AIDS and environmental activist who for years has been an outspoken advocate for human rights and chronicler of rights abuses and who made extensive use of the Internet in his work. Hu had numerous run-ins with police, including spending more than 200 days under virtual house arrest before his formal detention in December 2007. A month before his January 2008 arrest, Hu provided testimony before the European Parliament and criticized China’s human rights record and the Beijing Organizing Committee for the Games of the XXIX Olympiad.

Sentence and Alleged Criminal Activity: On April 3, 2008, the Beijing No. 1 Intermediate People’s Court sentenced Hu to three years and six months’ imprisonment. Alleged “subversive” activities included posting essays online critical of the government’s harassment of rights defenders and approach to governing Hong Kong, and making “subversive” comments to foreign reporters.

Yang Chunlin

Background: Land rights activist who gathered more than 10,000 signatures for a petition titled “We Want Human Rights, Not the Olympics,” which was also posted on the Internet. Most of the signatories were farmers seeking redress for land that officials allegedly took from them. Fellow petition organizers Yu Changwu and Wang Guilin were sentenced to reeducation through labor for two years and one-and-a-half years, respectively, for their advocacy on behalf of farmers in Fujin city, Heilongjiang province.

Sentence and Alleged Criminal Activity: On March 24, 2008, the Jiamusi Intermediate People’s Court in Heilongjiang sentenced Yang to five years’ imprisonment for inciting subversion. Prosecutors accused Yang of writing essays critical of the Communist Party and alleged that the petition received heavy foreign media coverage that harmed China’s image abroad. Prosecutors also accused Yang of accepting 10,000 yuan (US$1,430) from a “hostile” foreign group.

Lu Gengsong

Background: Freelance writer who has written about corrupt local officials who seize land in deals with property developers.

Sentence and Alleged Criminal Activity: On February 5, 2008, the Hangzhou Intermediate People’s Court affirmed Lu’s four-year sentence. Alleged “subversive” activities included publishing on foreign Web sites essays that questioned the legitimacy of the Party-led government and called on activists, intellectuals, and religious activists to join together in opposition. The court made no attempt to determine the actual threat posed by the essays, none of which specifically called for violence.

Officials also relied on vague charges of disturbing public order, inciting a disturbance, possessing state secrets, or inciting splittism, to punish free expression. Officials in Hubei province sentenced petitioner Wang Guilan to 15 months’ reeducation
through labor for disturbing social order after she spoke with a foreign reporter during the Olympics. In June 2008, officials in Sichuan province detained and later sentenced Liu Shaokun, a middle school teacher, to one year of reeducation through labor after he posted photos of collapsed schools online and criticized their construction in a media interview. In another earthquake-related case, Sichuan officials arrested Huang Qi in July after he posted an article on his Web site detailing parents’ demands for compensation and an investigation into the collapse of schools that took their children’s lives. Officials charged Huang, founder of the rights advocacy Web site 64tianwang.com, with illegally possessing state secrets. In another state secrets case, officials released Hong Kong journalist Ching Cheong in February 2008, after he served almost two years of a five-year sentence. Ching was convicted of passing state secrets to a Taiwan foundation in a case that critics said lacked transparency and relied on weak evidence. Officials in Chengdu city, Sichuan province, detained freelance writer and journalist Chen Daojun in May 2008 on charges of inciting splittism, a crime under Article 103 of the Criminal Law, after he published an article on a foreign Web site calling for a halt in construction of a chemical plant, citing environmental concerns.

In its 2007 Annual Report, the Commission noted that Chinese officials’ application of Article 25 of the Public Security Administration Punishment Law, which prohibits spreading rumors to disturb public order, threatened the free flow of information. Officials continued to apply this provision broadly to detain citizens for sharing information following emergencies or for organizing protests over the Internet. After a train collision in Shandong province, officials sentenced one citizen to five days of administrative detention for posting another person’s Internet message, which contained what turned out to be inaccurate claims about the collision, even though few people viewed the post. Following a May 2008 protest against a chemical plant in Chengdu, officials put three activists under administrative detention pursuant to Article 25 for using the Internet to spread rumors and incite an illegal demonstration. In May, a top editor at Southern Metropolitan Daily wrote an editorial criticizing the Chinese public security’s application of “spreading rumors” provisions, saying it had a chilling effect on people’s willingness to share information during public emergencies such as the Sichuan earthquake.

Officials also restricted individuals’ freedom of expression by placing conditions on their release on bail or suspended sentence. Officials in the Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region accused Internet essayist Wang Dejia of “inciting subversion,” and released him on bail in January 2008, only after he agreed to stop posting online essays critical of the Chinese government and speaking with foreign journalists. Officials in Hubei province detained essayist Du Daobin in July for allegedly violating the terms of his suspended sentence by publishing articles overseas, days before his sentence was to expire.
HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION OF CITIZENS TO PREVENT FREE EXPRESSION

Officials continued to harass citizens and warn them not to express opinions, particularly to foreign journalists and dignitaries. Plainclothes officers seized legal activist and law professor Teng Biao outside his home in Beijing in February 2008, placed a sack over his head, and drove him away to be questioned. They warned him to stop writing articles criticizing China’s human rights record and the Olympics or risk losing his university post and going to jail. In May, security personnel warned Zeng Jinyan, rights activist and wife of imprisoned human rights activist Hu Jia, that she would be prevented from leaving her home because “a U.S. delegation wants to meet with you,” referring to U.S. officials who had traveled to Beijing for the U.S.-China Human Rights Dialogue. Officials warned two human rights lawyers, Mo Shaoping and Zhang Xingshui, not to attend a May 27 lunch with Assistant Secretary of State David Kramer, who was taking part in the dialogue. In late June, officials detained or put under house arrest a group of human rights lawyers to prevent them from attending a dinner in Beijing with U.S. Representatives Chris Smith and Frank Wolf.

CHINESE GOVERNMENT ASSERTS THAT RESTRICTIONS ON FREE EXPRESSION ARE BASED IN LAW

Officials continued to justify restrictions on freedom of expression with an appeal to laws, without regard to whether such laws or their application violate international human rights standards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Official Claim</th>
<th>International Human Rights Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internet Censorship: In April 2008, after the International Olympic Committee expressed concern about Internet censorship following the Tibetan protests, a Ministry of Foreign Affairs spokesperson said the Chinese government’s regulation of the Internet is “in line with general international practice” and “the main reason for inaccessibility of foreign websites in China is that they spread information prohibited by Chinese law.”</td>
<td>The government’s Internet regulations prohibit content such as pornography, online gambling, invasions of privacy, and intellectual property violations. Such regulations, however, also allow Chinese officials to censor politically sensitive content through provisions that prohibit information vaguely defined as “harmful to the honor or interests of the nation” or “disrupting the solidarity of peoples.” The result is that the government continues to block access to a number of foreign news Web sites and Web sites promoting human rights and, along with Internet companies in China, frequently removes and censors political content.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Official Claim | International Human Rights Standards—Continued

**Imprisonment of Critics:** In March 2008, Premier Wen Jiabao described as "totally unfounded" the allegation that the government is cracking down on dissidents before the Olympics. He said "China is a country under the rule of law" and that cases such as Hu Jia’s would be "dealt with in accordance with the law."  

**The UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Chinese defense lawyers, and human rights groups have criticized the vagueness of Article 105(2) of the Criminal Law, the criminal provision relied upon in Hu Jia’s case, and Chinese officials’ frequent reliance on this provision and other vague criminal law provisions to punish peaceful expression without showing that the expression had any actual or imminent subversive effect.**

**Travel Restrictions on Foreign Reporters:** In March 2008, a foreign ministry spokesperson defended a travel ban to Tibetan areas following reported protests as a measure intended to ensure the safety of journalists and added "it is legal and responsible for local governments to take some restrictive measures."  

**The travel ban to Tibetan areas appeared much broader than necessary to protect foreign journalists. The borders of the closed-off areas extended far beyond reported protest sites.** The government’s attempts to otherwise censor and manipulate information about the protests on the Internet and in Chinese media strongly suggest that the near total ban on foreign journalists except for a few unsupervised tours was motivated by political rather than safety concerns. Furthermore, officials initially allowed foreign journalists open access to disaster zones following the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake, areas that also posed a threat to the physical safety of the journalists.

**CHINESE CITIZENS CONTINUE TO SEEK FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION**

Citizens continue to seek ways to freely express their ideas and share information over the Internet and in the press. So many Chinese journalists rushed to the disaster areas following the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake that propaganda officials rescinded an earlier prohibition on such travel. Despite restrictions on reporting the controversy surrounding the collapse of shoddily constructed schools, investigative journalists at Southern Weekend and Caijing continued to report the story. Chinese citizens organized demonstrations against a chemical plant in Chengdu in May and against the proposed extension of the maglev train line in Shanghai using text messages. [For more information on these protests, see Section II—Environment.] Dozens of Chinese lawyers, academics, and writers signed an open letter condemning the arrest of human rights activist Hu Jia. In June 2008, Radio Free Asia reported that dozens of rights lawyers and scholars had begun an online free speech forum.
Citizens and some Chinese media and editorialists continue to question government measures that restrict freedom of expression. A January 2008 Southern Metropolitan Daily editorial criticized the regulations calling for state ownership of audio and video hosting Web sites as “restraining the civil right of social expression in the era of the Internet.” At the trial of land rights activist Yang Chunlin, defense lawyers argued that Chinese officials’ application of the inciting subversion provision was likely to result in punishing free speech because of its vagueness and that neither the Supreme People’s Court nor the National People’s Congress Standing Committee had interpreted the law to provide guidance to citizens on the boundaries of free speech. More than 14,000 Chinese citizens signed an open letter released to the public on January 1, 2008, urging the Chinese government to ratify the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights before the 2008 Olympic Games “without reservations.” One of the letter’s recommendations called on the Chinese government to allow freedom of speech and to protect the press and publishing.

ADDENDUM

CHINA COMMITS TO “OPEN GOVERNMENT INFORMATION” (OGI) EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 2008

In a move intended to combat corruption, increase public oversight and participation in government, and allow citizens access to government-held information, the State Council on April 5, 2007, issued the first national Regulations on Open Government Information (OGI Regulation), which took effect May 1, 2008. Implementation begins at a time when the need for greater transparency in the areas of environmental health, land disputes, disease, and food, drug, and product safety has become apparent. The time lag between issue and effective date provided citizens and government departments a one-year preparatory period.

The national regulation may alter relations between citizens and traditionally protective government bureaucracies. But it is not entirely a new development. While the overall impact of the national regulation remains unclear, over 30 provincial and city-level governments throughout China as well as central government agencies and departments have adopted OGI rules in the last several years. Guangzhou, which was the first municipality to do so in 2002, and Shanghai, which issued its regulations in 2004, are but two examples. As implementation of the national OGI Regulation proceeds, a number of issues merit attention, the following among them:

Two Main Features of OGI

Government agencies at all levels have an affirmative obligation to disclose certain information, generally within 20 business days. This includes information that “involves the vital interests of citizens,” with emphasis on information relating to, among other items, environmental protection, public health, food, drug, and product quality, sudden emergencies, and land appropriation and compensation.

Citizens, legal persons, and other organizations (Requesting Parties) may request information and are entitled to receive a reply
within 15 business days and no later than 30 business days. Requesting Parties can challenge a denial of access to information by filing a report with a higher-level or supervisory agency or designated open government information department or by applying for administrative reconsideration or filing an administrative lawsuit.

Areas To Watch During Implementation

No clear presumption of disclosure. Premier Wen Jiabao urged officials to proceed with implementation “insisting that disclosure be the principle, non-disclosure the exception.” Chinese scholars and international experts, however, note that the national OGI Regulation does not set forth a clear presumption of disclosure. On this point it differs from earlier local-level OGI regulations and similar measures in other countries.

Certain provisions may discourage officials from disclosing information. Under the OGI Regulation, officials who withhold information the disclosure of which is required under the Regulation may face both administrative and criminal penalties. At the same time, however, the OGI Regulation stipulates that officials must not disclose information involving “state secrets, commercial secrets, or individual privacy,” and must set up mechanisms to examine the secrecy of information requested. This emphasis on safeguarding secrecy and the breadth and vagueness of the definition of “state secrets” under Chinese law may encourage officials to err on the side of non-disclosure. The regulation also prohibits officials from disclosing information that might “endanger state security, public security, economic security, and social stability.” Agencies and personnel who fail to “establish and perfect” secrecy examination mechanisms or who disclose information later deemed exempt from disclosure under the OGI Regulation may face administrative or criminal punishment.

Requesting Parties may be denied access if the request fails to meet a recognized purpose. An opinion issued by the State Council General Office on April 29, 2008, states that officials may deny requests if the information has no relation to the Requesting Party’s “production, livelihood and scientific and technological research.” This reflects language in Article 13 of the OGI Regulation that says Requesting Parties may request information “based on the special needs of such matters as their own production, livelihood and scientific and technological research.” This introduction of an apparent purpose test differs from earlier local-level OGI regulations and international practice. Furthermore, another provision in the OGI Regulation which sets forth the information to be included in a request, does not instruct the Requesting Party to indicate the purpose of the request.

Requesting Parties lack an independent review channel to enforce the OGI. Some Chinese scholars have noted that the OGI Regulation’s relief provisions constrain citizens from using the courts to challenge decisions that deny requests for information. Because China’s courts are subordinate to the National People’s Congress Standing Committee and the Communist Party, “it can be anticipated that enforcement of emerging information rights in China, even with the adoption of the State Council OGI Regulations, will
continue to face high hurdles within the existing court system." While it is still too early to tell, one scholar notes that it may be possible, however, to achieve some independent review of non-political cases through creation of tribunals or commissions designed to handle OGI cases.

Sufficiency of funding, preparedness, and public awareness. For many departments, OGI implementation may amount to an unfunded mandate. Many agencies face resource constraints or rely on funding sources predisposed to favor non-disclosure. Local governments may not favor information disclosure that could negatively impact local business. Local environmental protection bureaus, for example, which are funded by local governments, may not receive funding adequate to implement OGI effectively. Already, a number of localities failed to meet a March 2008 deadline to make catalogues and guides intended to assist parties in requesting information available to the public. This resulted in part from inadequate funding and technical expertise. While the government has focused on training officials, it has been less active in raising public awareness.

Access to information may not apply to media, whether foreign or domestic. The national OGI Regulation applies to "citizens, legal persons, and other organizations." This suggests its applicability to foreigners remains open to interpretation during implementation. It also remains unclear whether journalists in general may request access to information under the national regulation. Some Chinese experts argue that the regulation clearly applies to news organizations, which have the status of "legal persons or other organizations," and journalists, who have the status of "citizens," although foreign journalists may not be covered because they are not citizens. Some local-level OGI regulations in existence prior to the national regulation made clear its applicability to foreigners. The Guangzhou regulation, for example, provides that foreigners, stateless persons, and foreign organizations have the same rights and obligations to request information, limited to the extent that the requesting party's country or region of origin imposes restrictions on government information access to Chinese citizens. It remains to be seen whether the national OGI Regulation will be implemented so as to trump local OGI rules that are broader in application or whether the national regulation will be interpreted in a similarly broad fashion.
Religious repression and persecution as detailed by the Commission in all previous Annual Reports persisted during this reporting year and intensified in the run-up to and during the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games. In the past year, religious adherents remained subject to tight controls over their religious activities, and some citizens met with harassment, detention, imprisonment, and other abuses because of their religious or spiritual practices. The government sounded alarms against foreign “infiltration” in the name of religion, and took measures to hinder citizens’ freedom to engage with foreign co-religionists. Moderate gains in using the legal system to challenge official abuses were offset by the continuation of repressive policies and official harassment of some religious believers.

The Chinese government and Communist Party continued to deny Chinese citizens the ability to fully exercise their rights to freedom of religion. The Chinese government subjects religion to a strict regulatory framework that represses many forms of religious and spiritual activities protected under international human rights law, including in treaties China has signed or ratified. Although some Chinese citizens are able to practice their faith within government confines, where some, but not all, Chinese citizens are allowed to do so, and where members of China’s five state-sanctioned religious communities also face tight controls over their religious activities, the Chinese government has failed in its obligation to guarantee citizens freedom of religion.

The government and Party remain hostile toward religion. The government and Party articulate a limited degree of tolerance for religion as a means of mobilizing support for their authority, and not as a commitment to promoting religious freedom. In the past year, President and Party General Secretary Hu Jintao called for recognizing a “positive role” for religious communities within Chinese society, but officials also continued to affirm the government and Party’s policy of control over religion. In 2008, Ye Xiaowen, Director of the State Administration for Religious Affairs, stated, “We should not expand religions, but strive to let existing religions do more for the motherland’s reunification, national unity, economic development and social stability.”

The Chinese government’s legal framework for religion reflects rule by law rather than rule of law. Legal protections for religious activities are limited in scope, condition many activities on government oversight or approval, and apply only to state-sanctioned religious communities. Vague language and inconsistent implementation further hinder the effectiveness of these limited protections. After passing the Regulation on Religious Affairs (RRA) in 2004, the central government issued a series of supplementary regulations between 2005 and 2007 that elaborate on controls stipulated within the RRA. [For more information, see box titled Timeline: Regulation of Religion below.] The central government did not publicize any additional regulations on religion in late 2007.
or in 2008, prior to the publication of the Commission’s 2008 Annual Report. Based on Commission staff monitoring, the pace of issuing comprehensive regulations on religion at the provincial level slowed in the past year.\textsuperscript{12}

**Timeline: Regulation of Religion**

In 2004, the State Council issued the Regulation on Religious Affairs (RRA), marking the first national-level comprehensive regulation on religion. Since then, the government has not issued one consolidated set of implementing provisions, as some observers anticipated, but rather expanded upon specific articles within the RRA by issuing legal measures (\textit{banfa}) regarding these articles. In addition, the State Administration for Religious Affairs continues to publicize a book of interpretations of the RRA that elaborates on each article of the regulation.\textsuperscript{13} The list below provides a brief chronology of the central government’s legislative activity in the area of religion since the RRA’s promulgation.\textsuperscript{14}

- Measures Regarding Chinese Muslims Registering to Go Abroad on Pilgrimages (Trial Measures), undated (estimated date 2006).

Some local governments have also reported amending or issuing new comprehensive regulations on religious affairs. Provincial-level areas reporting on such developments include:\textsuperscript{15}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Date of issue or amendment)</td>
<td>(Date of issue or amendment)</td>
<td>(Date of issue or amendment)</td>
<td>(Date of issue or amendment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Date of issue or</td>
<td>(Date of issue or</td>
<td>(Date of issue or</td>
<td>(Date of issue or—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amendment)</td>
<td>amendment)</td>
<td>amendment)</td>
<td>Continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi Province</td>
<td>Beijing Municipality</td>
<td>Jiangxi Province</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chongqing Municipality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious Affairs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hunan Province</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious Affairs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liaoning Province</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious Affairs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sichuan Province</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulation on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious Affairs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tibet Autonomous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Region Implementing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measures for the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Regulation on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious Affairs”.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESTRICTIONS ON CHILDREN’S FREEDOM OF RELIGION**

Children continued in the past year to face restrictions on their right to practice religion. Although a Ministry of Foreign Affairs official said in 2005 that no laws restrict minors from holding religious beliefs and that parents may give their children a religious education, recent legislation has not articulated a guarantee of these rights. In addition, regulations from some provinces penalize acts such as “instigating” minors to believe in religion or accepting them into a religion. In practice, children in some areas of China have been able to participate in religious activities at registered and unregistered venues, but in other areas, they have been restricted from participating in religious services or receiving a religious education. [For information on recently reported restric-
CONTROLS OVER RELIGIOUS PUBLICATIONS

The Chinese government forbids private publishing of religious materials and restricts the production of religious publications to state-licensed enterprises. Controls over the publication of religious materials and restrictions on their sale have led to a reported shortage of Bibles and other publications. Authorities continue to detain or imprison religious adherents who publish or distribute religious materials without permission, in some cases charging people with the crime of “illegal operation of a business.” People detained in the past year because of activities or alleged activities receiving, preparing, or distributing religious texts include Dong Yutao, Shi Weihan, Ha Jingbo, and Jiang Ruoling. Wang Zaiqing and Zhou Heng, imprisoned and detained, respectively, on similar grounds in 2006 and 2007, were released in the past year. [See box titled Religious Prisoners below for additional information.]

Authorities also have continued campaigns to restrict “illegal” religious publications. In January 2008, authorities in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) announced “illegal” religious and political publications would be the focal point of a censorship campaign in the region. The announcement followed reports in earlier years of broad censorship of various religious and spiritual materials. In 2005, authorities reported confiscating 4.62 million items of Falun Gong and “other cult organization propaganda material” nationwide. Authorities in the Tibet Autonomous Region confiscated 54 items described as “Dalai Lama splittist group reactionary publications.” In August 2007, authorities in the XUAR capital of Urumqi reported destroying over 25,000 “illegal” religious books.

CHINA’S RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES

The government recognizes only Buddhism, Catholicism, Daoism, Islam, and Protestantism for limited state protections and exerts control over the internal affairs of these groups. It uses a variety of methods within and outside its legal system to penalize citizens who practice religion outside of approved parameters. At the same time, variations in government implementation of religious policy have enabled a number of unregistered and unrecognized religious communities to operate in China.

Buddhism

In recent years authorities have reported closing or demolishing unregistered Buddhist and Daoist temples, including temples that incorporate practices the government deems as feudal superstitions. In a 2008 interview, Ye Xiaowen, Director of the State Administration for Religious Affairs, admonished against “building temples and Buddha statues indiscriminately.” In the past year, local authorities also reported suspending the operations of registered temples for failure to adhere to the national Regulation on Religious Affairs and confiscating “illegal” Buddhist compact discs.
Buddhist leaders and practitioners continue to face sanctions for expressing their opinions outside government-approved parameters. In late 2007, authorities prevented Buddhist monk Shengguan from attending a human rights conference in another province. Authorities had dismissed Shengguan from his temple directorship in 2006 for leading a religious ceremony commemorating victims of the Tiananmen crackdown and for challenging corruption among government officials and the Buddhist Association.33

Tibetan Buddhism

State repression of Tibetan Buddhism has reached its highest level since the Commission began to report on religious freedom for Tibetan Buddhists in 2002.34 Chinese government and Party policy toward Tibetan Buddhists’ practice of their religion played a central role in stoking frustration that resulted in the cascade of Tibetan protests that began on March 10, 2008. Chinese government interference with the norms of Tibetan Buddhism and unrelenting antagonism toward the Dalai Lama, one of the religion’s foremost teachers, serve to deepen division and distrust between Tibetan Buddhists and the government and Communist Party. The government seeks to use legal measures to remold Tibetan Buddhism to suit the state. Authorities in one Tibetan autonomous prefecture have announced unprecedented measures that seek to punish monks, nuns, religious teachers, and monastic officials accused of involvement in political protests in the prefecture. [For more information, see Section V—Tibet.]

Catholicism

The state-controlled Chinese Catholic church continues to deny its members the freedom to pursue full communion and free communications with the Holy See and other Catholic institutions outside of China. In the past year, the Commission observed ongoing harassment and detention of Catholics in China, especially unregistered bishops and priests; further restrictions on access to pilgrimage sites; continuing negotiations and disputes over the return of confiscated church property; and ongoing tensions with the Holy See, despite a shift toward re-accommodating discreet Holy See involvement in the appointment of bishops for the state-controlled church.

HARASSMENT, DETENTION, AND OTHER ABUSES

Catholics who choose not to join the state-controlled church, as well as registered church members or leaders who run afoul of the state-controlled church’s policies, remain subject to harassment, detention, and other abuses. The Commission noted an increase in harassment and detention of unregistered Catholics in 2005, after the Regulation on Religious Affairs entered into force.35 The government targets unregistered bishops in particular. The bishops, approximately 40 in total, are reported to remain in detention, confinement in their homes, in hiding, or under strict surveillance by the government.36 In the past year, authorities continued their pattern of detention and harassment of Jia Zhiguo, the unregistered bishop of Zhengding diocese in Hebei province. [For more information, see box titled Religious Prisoners below.] The condition of
some unregistered bishops, such as Su Zhimin, who was detained in 1997, remains unknown. In the run-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, authorities reportedly restricted the activities of other unregistered bishops and priests and placed some under confinement. A court in Hebei province sentenced unregistered priest Wang Zhong to three years' imprisonment in November 2007 after he organized a ceremony in July to consecrate a new church registered with the government. Reports indicate that other priests, such as Lu Genjun, remain in custody from detentions in past years.

ACCESS TO RELIGIOUS SITES AND RETURN OF RELIGIOUS PROPERTY

In the past year, authorities continued to restrict Catholics' access to sites of religious significance. Authorities in Shanghai implemented measures to prevent Catholic pilgrims from visiting the Marian Shrine of Sheshan in May 2008. Authorities also detained some unregistered leaders to prevent them from visiting the shrine. The restrictions accompany longstanding limits on Catholics' freedom to visit the Marian Shrine of Donglu, in Hebei province, following a crackdown on pilgrimages there in the mid-1990s.

The return of church property confiscated in previous decades remained a contentious issue. In the past year, authorities returned church property to the registered diocese of Shanghai, but officials in Shanxi province refused to return property to Catholics there, some of whom met with physical attack while protesting.

BISHOP APPOINTMENT AND CHINA-HOLY SEE RELATIONS

The state-controlled Catholic Patriotic Association (CPA) exercises influence over the selection of bishops for the registered church in China, including through coercion of bishops to officiate ordinations, but in recent years the CPA has tolerated discreet involvement by the Holy See in the selection of some bishops. The CPA directed the ordination of a total of five bishops in 2007 all of whom had Holy See approval, after breaking with the practice of selecting Holy See-approved bishops for some appointments in 2006.

In late 2007, authorities again took steps to block Catholics' access to an open letter sent to them by Pope Benedict XVI and subjected local Catholic leaders to political reeducation for their distribution of the text. The letter, originally released in June 2007, had urged reconciliation between registered and unregistered Catholic communities in China.

The Chinese government does not maintain diplomatic relations with the Vatican. Chinese officials visited Vatican City in May 2008 during a performance there by Chinese musicians, but the visit did not result in concrete steps toward establishing relations. In August, overseas media reported that in an interview with Italian television, Beijing Bishop Li Shan "said relations with the Vatican are improving and that [Li] would welcome a papal visit to China." Travel to the region, by both registered and unregistered bishops, has been a sensitive issue. While organizers of the October 2008 12th General Assembly of the Synod of Bishops did not invite mainland bishops to attend this year, mainland bishops invited to the event in 2005 were denied permission to par-
ticipate by the CPA. In recent years authorities have punished unregistered bishops for their travel to the region.

Daoism

In recent years authorities have reported closing or demolishing unregistered Buddhist and Daoist temples, including temples that incorporate practices the government deems as feudal superstitions. Daoist leaders remain subject to state control and scrutiny over internal doctrine. In 2008, the national Daoist Association of China implemented measures for confirming Daoist personnel that require them to support the leadership of the Communist Party and subject personnel to penalties for engaging in activities deemed to involve “feudal superstition” or “cults.” The government co-opts Daoist communities to support Party propaganda campaigns. In 2008, the head of the national Daoist Association declared Daoists’ opposition to the “splittist” policies of the Dalai Lama and said that Daoists call on “hoodwinked” Tibetans to “repent” their ways.

Folk Beliefs

Local governments in China have continued to take steps that provide some recognition for folk beliefs, but that also subject such practices to formal government scrutiny. In 2007, Hunan province passed a set of provisional measures marking China’s first provincial-level legislation on venues for folk beliefs. The measures provide a degree of legal status to some venues for folk belief activities, which may signify a broader trend in accommodating some folk belief practices, but also give authorities the discretion to deny state sanction to those venues deemed to support cults or superstitions. Although the State Administration for Religious Affairs maintains an office that carries out research and formulates policy positions on folk beliefs and religious communities outside the five recognized groups, neither this national office nor the adoption of the Hunan measures indicate that government officials have officially expanded the definition of protected forms of religious expression to fully encompass folk belief practices. [See box titled Regulation of Folk Beliefs below.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation of Folk Beliefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Hunan Province Provisional Measures for the Management of Venues for Folk Belief Activities (Provisional Measures), issued by the Hunan province Religious Affairs Bureau (RAB) in August 2007, mark China’s first comprehensive provincial-level legal measures dedicated solely to activities related to folk beliefs. The Provisional Measures articulate some protection for venues for folk belief activities, but also subject such sites to requirements that are stricter than those imposed on general venues for religious activities. Key features of the Provisional Measures include:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regulation of Folk Beliefs—Continued

- **Defining Venues for Folk Belief Activities.** The measures define venues for folk belief activities to mean temples with “the characteristics of primitiveness, localism, diversity, historical tradition, and primordial religions.” They also extend the definition to temples for ethnic minority beliefs. They exclude the “religious activity venues” of China’s five recognized religions, as well as Confucian temples and ancestral halls. Venues for folk belief activities are forbidden from carrying out such “feudal superstitious” activities as rites to expel illness and exorcise demons [qubing gangui], “spreading rumors to deceive people,” performing trance dances [tiaoshen fangyin], and other “illegal” activities.

- **Registering Venues.** The Provisional Measures provide for the registration of existing folk belief activity venues, but do not establish a mechanism to allow for the construction and subsequent registration of new sites. The measures state that “in principle,” no new venues for folk belief activities may be built, and “in general,” no venues that have been destroyed may be rebuilt. The measures allow for the rebuilding of venues of “historical stature” and “great influence” upon consent of the provincial RAB. The requirements are stricter than those provided for in the national Regulation on Religious Affairs and related measures on registering religious venues, as well as those in provincial regulations.

- **Registering Communities.** Unlike regulations that apply to Buddhists, Catholics, Daoists, Muslims, and Protestants, the Provisional Measures do not provide a framework for organizing and registering communities of people who practice folk beliefs.

- **Government and Party Control.** Although all national and local regulations on religion establish active state control over religious organizations and venues, the Provisional Measures are more explicit in providing for direct state control. The measures state that members of a venue’s management committee must endorse the leadership of the Communist Party, as well as submit to the administrative management of the government.

---

Islam

Authorities increased repression of Islam in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) in the past year, while the government and Party continued to strictly control the practice of Islam in other parts of the country. The Commission observed broad measures implemented in the XUAR to increase monitoring and control over religious communities and leaders; steps to restrict pilgrimages and the observance of religious holidays and customs; and continued measures to restrict children’s freedom of religion. Throughout China, Muslims remained subject to state-sanctioned interpretations of their faith and to tight state control over their pilgrimage activities.

**INCREASED REPRESSION IN XINJIANG**

Authorities increased repression in the XUAR amid preparations for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, protests in Uyghur and Tibetan areas of China, and government reports of terrorist
and criminal activity in the region. During the year, local governments throughout the XUAR reported on measures to tighten control over religion, including measures to increase surveillance of mosques, religious leaders, and practitioners; gather information on practitioners’ religious activities; curb “illegal” scripture readings; and increase accountability among implementing officials. Authorities connected control of religious affairs with measures to promote “social stability” and continued longstanding campaigns to link Islam to “extremism” and the threat of terrorism. [See box titled Religious Prisoners below for information on religion-related detentions from the past year and Section IV—Xinjiang—box titled Increased Repression in Xinjiang During the Olympics for more information.] In September 2008, XUAR chair Nur Bekri called for strengthening controls over religion and for increasing political training of religious leaders. Amid preparations in the XUAR for the Olympics, overseas media reported in June that authorities in Aqsu district razed a privately built mosque for refusing to post pro-Olympics posters.

Local authorities and educational institutions in the XUAR continued in 2007 and 2008 to impose restrictions on the observance of the holiday of Ramadan, including restrictions on state employees’ observance of the holiday and prohibitions on closing restaurants during periods of fasting. Overseas media reported on the detention of two Muslim restaurant managers for failing to abide by instructions to keep restaurants open. Authorities intensified limits on the observance of Ramadan with measures to curb broader religious and cultural practices. Some local governments reported on measures to prevent women from wearing head coverings. In March, women in Hoten district who demonstrated against various human rights abuses in the region protested admonishments against such apparel issued during a government campaign to promote stability.

The XUAR government continues to maintain the harshest legal restrictions on children’s right to practice religion. Regionwide legal measures forbid parents and guardians from allowing minors to engage in religious activity. In August 2008, authorities reportedly forced the return of Uyghur children studying religion in another province and detained them in the XUAR for engaging in “illegal religious activities.” Local governments continued to implement restrictions on children’s freedom of religion, taking steps including monitoring students’ eating habits during Ramadan and strengthening education in atheism, as part of broader controls over religion implemented in the past year. Overseas sources have reported that some local governments have enforced restrictions on mosque entry by minors, as well as other populations.

RESTRICTIONS ON THE FREEDOM TO MAKE OVERSEAS PILGRIMAGES

XUAR authorities continued in the past year to support measures to prevent Muslims from making pilgrimages outside of state channels, following the confiscation of Muslims’ passports in summer 2007 to restrict private pilgrimages. Officials also reportedly imposed extra restrictions on Uyghurs’ participation in state-sanc-
tioned pilgrimages. According to overseas media, authorities reportedly gave prison sentences to five Uyghur clerics for arranging pilgrimages without government permission.

The central government continued to maintain limits on all Muslims' pilgrimage activities, after intensifying state controls over the hajj in 2006. While the government permitted more than 10,000 Muslims to make the pilgrimage to Mecca under official auspices in 2007, pilgrims had to abide by state controls over the trip. Among various controls, participants have been subject to “patriotic education” prior to departure and to restrictions on their activities within Mecca in a stated effort to guard against contact with “East Turkistan forces” and other “enemy forces.”

CONTINUING CONTROLS OVER INTERNAL AFFAIRS AND DOCTRINE

The government continued to tightly control the internal affairs of Muslim communities. The state-controlled Islamic Association of China aligns Muslim practice to government and Party goals by directing the confirmation and ongoing political indoctrination of religious leaders, publication of religious texts, and content of sermons. In the past year, authorities called for continued measures to control religious doctrine. In a 2008 interview, Ye Xiaowen, head of the State Administration for Religious Affairs, justified state interference in the interpretation of Islamic doctrine on the grounds of “public interests.” According to a 2008 report from the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, a Communist Party official who took part in leading “study classes” for Muslim personnel in the region called for “creatively interpreting and improving” religious doctrine.

Protestantism

Members of China’s state-controlled Protestant church remain subject to controls over their internal affairs and doctrine, while members of unregistered church communities and members of registered churches who run afoul of state policy remain subject to arbitrary harassment, detention, and imprisonment, as well as closure of churches and confiscation of church property. In the past year, the Commission noted increased repression of unregistered church leaders and members in the run-up to the Olympics, including an increase in the number of reported detentions; increased reports of repercussions for Chinese Protestants who interact with foreign co-religionists or foreign visitors; and ongoing efforts to control Protestant doctrine and co-opt church members to meet government and Communist Party goals.

Protestantism

Unregistered Protestant groups and registered churches that run afoul of Communist Party policy remain vulnerable to government crackdowns, as evidenced by reports of disruptions of church services and hundreds of detentions of Protestants in the past year. At the same time, variations in implementation of government policy have enabled some unregistered house churches to meet openly. Unregistered groups include those estimated to be in over 300 networks of house churches. China Aid Association (CAA), a U.S.-based organization that monitors freedom of religion for Chinese Protestants, marked a rise in the reported number of Protestants
detained in 2007, up to 693 people compared to 650 in 2006, with reported total detentions near or above 100 people in Beijing, Henan province, and Shandong province. Unregistered church members who followed practices the government deemed “cults” were among groups vulnerable to detention. [An extrajudicial security apparatus called the 6–10 Office monitors and leads the suppression of groups that the government deems to be “cult organizations,” including groups that self-identify as Christian. See Falun Gong—Background: Anti-“Cult” Institutions—6–10 Office in this section for more information.] The CAA also noted an increase in the number of people subjected to abuse while in detention, including “beating, torture and psychological abuse.” Detentions were accompanied by damage to property, including two reported church demolitions in 2007 in Heilongjiang province and one in Hubei province. During raids on house churches, authorities confiscated property including Bibles and other religious materials. In January 2008, authorities beat house church members in Yunnan province who asked for the return of confiscated property.

In 2008 the CAA described a “significant deterioration” in conditions for house church Protestants in the run-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, including “significant measures taken against key unregistered churches in Beijing,” and a campaign against house churches in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region. It reported in August that some house church leaders were forced to sign an agreement restricting their religious activities in the period surrounding the Olympics. Harassment of at least one prominent leader of unregistered Protestants, Chinese House Church Alliance president Zhang Mingxuan, persisted until the conclusion of the Paralympic Games in mid-September. While many reported detentions have not been long-term or resulted in formal legal charges, authorities also continued in the past year to pursue formal criminal charges against some Protestant house church leaders and members, and also sentenced some people to re-education through labor. [See box titled Religious Prisoners below.]

House church members made limited gains in using the legal system to challenge official abuses. In November 2007, house church members in Shandong province secured the return of their property after filing suit against the local public security bureau, following public security officers’ confiscation of Bibles, computers, and other goods during a raid earlier in the year. In September 2008, a house church in Chengdu filed suit against a county-level religious affairs bureau (RAB), reportedly the first case of its kind, for shutting down church services earlier in the year. The Chengdu RAB reportedly later issued a decision condemning the county bureau’s actions.

FREEDOM TO INTERACT WITH FOREIGN CO-RELIGIONISTS AND FOREIGN VISITORS

In late 2007 and 2008, authorities targeted and detained Chinese Protestants with ties to foreign co-religionists and targeted foreign Protestants for penalties or expulsion from China. [For additional information, see box titled Religious Prisoners below.] The actions came as officials warned foreign groups throughout 2007 to abide
by Chinese restrictions on religion and pledged harsh measures to “strike hard” against communities including “hostile” religious groups in the run-up to the Olympics and the 17th Party Congress.98

Authorities also took steps in the past year to limit religious activists’ and rights defenders’ interaction with visiting overseas government delegations. Beijing officials detained Hua Huiqi and his brother in August as the two planned to visit a church that was scheduled to host U.S. President George W. Bush. Hua, who was also harassed by authorities earlier in the summer, escaped detention and reportedly remains in hiding.99 Officials harassed or detained rights defense lawyers, including those active in religion cases, to prevent them from meeting with Members of the U.S. Congress in late June.100 While Chinese House Church Alliance president Zhang Mingxuan met with the delegation, authorities placed him under surveillance after the event. Authorities later forcibly moved Zhang from Beijing until permitting him to return in September. Zhang was able to briefly resume house church services afterward, but Zhang and his wife were subsequently detained in October and his sons beaten. In June Beijing public security officers detained Zhang for two days for attempting to meet with a European Union representative.101 Authorities placed under surveillance a number of Beijing activists, including religious rights activists, during the U.S.-China Human Rights Dialogue in late May.102

CONTROLS OVER DOCTRINE

China’s state-controlled Protestant church continued to interfere in internal church doctrine and to co-opt registered religious communities to meet Party goals. The state-controlled Three-Self Patriotic Movement (TSPM), which leads the registered Protestant church in China, suppresses denominational differences among Protestants and imposes a Communist Party-defined theology, called “theological construction,” on registered seminaries that, according to one TSPM official, will “weaken those aspects within Christian faith that do not conform with the socialist society.”103 In 2008, Ye Xiaowen, Director of the State Administration for Religious Affairs, said the government should support theological studies by the Protestant church aimed at “resist[ing] foreigners making use of religion to engage in infiltration.”104 In an October 2007 interview, Cao Shengjie, head of the state-controlled China Christian Council, expressed concern about “social problems” that she said stemmed from a lack of properly trained preachers and resulting “misinterpretations” of doctrine.105

Other Religious Communities

In the past year, the Chinese government did not make progress in removing its framework of recognizing only select religious communities for limited state protections, nor did it formally approve any additional communities. Chinese government regulations permit foreign religious communities, including communities not recognized as domestic religions by the government, to hold services for expatriates, but Chinese citizens are not allowed to participate.106 Variations in implementation have enabled some Chinese
citizens affiliated with non-recognized religious communities to gather for worship, including a report in 2006 that Chinese members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints met for services in Beijing. In addition, while the central government does not recognize the Orthodox Church, some local governments permit the church to operate, in a limited number of cases recognizing the church within regulations on religion. In 2008, authorities allowed Chinese Orthodox Christians in Beijing to hold Easter celebrations at a local church.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religious Prisoners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorities continue to detain, formally arrest, and in some cases imprison Chinese citizens because of their religious activities or for protesting Chinese policies on religion. Known cases from the past year and new developments in previously reported cases include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adil Qarim, an imam at a mosque in Kucha county, Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR), whom authorities detained during a security roundup in the aftermath of a reported series of bomb attacks in the county on August 10. An individual accused of involvement in the August 10 incident had attended the mosque. Adil Qarim denied having any links to the attacks. His current whereabouts are unknown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Alimjan Himit (Alimujiang Yimiti), a house church leader in the XUAR detained on January 12, 2008, and charged with subverting state power and endangering national security. Alimjan Himit had previously worked as the branch manager of a foreign-owned company shut down for “engaging in illegal religious infiltration activities.” A court in Kashgar tried the case on May 27, 2008, and returned it to the procuratorate due to “insufficient evidence,” but authorities have kept Alimjan Himit in detention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ha Jingbo and Jiang Ruoling, two middle school teachers from Dongfeng county in Jilin province, whom authorities detained in June 2008 for distributing educational leaflets about Falun Gong. After taking the two women to the Dayang Public Security Bureau, male officers severely beat them in an attempt to coerce confessions. The women are currently held in Dongfeng County Detention Center on unknown charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jia Zhigu, the unregistered bishop of Zhengding diocese, Hebei province, who was imprisoned for approximately 20 years and since 2004 has been detained multiple times, often over religious holidays. Authorities detained Jia in August 2007 because he removed a sign authorities placed on his church, identifying it as affiliated with the state-controlled Catholic Patriotic Association. Authorities released him from detention on December 14, 2007, but placed him under confinement in his home. Authorities detained him again on August 24, 2008, and released him into residential surveillance on September 18.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Religious Prisoners—Continued

- Mutellip (Mutallip) Hajim, a jade merchant and father of eight, detained by XuAR authorities in January 2008 in apparent retribution for his activities helping underground Muslim schools, as well as for supporting the families of prisoners and for violating population planning requirements. Mutellip Hajim reportedly died in detention after being subjected to torture, and his corpse was returned to his family on March 3, 2008, with orders not to publicize his death.

- Phurbu Tsering, a Tibetan Buddhist trulku (a teacher that Tibetan Buddhists believe is a reincarnation) who founded and headed a Tibetan Buddhist nunnery in Ganzi Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Sichuan province, and whom public security officials detained on May 18 or 19, 2008. A few days earlier security forces detained more than 50 of the nuns he taught after they staged a political demonstration. The nuns were angry because patriotic education teams had attempted to force them to denounce the Dalai Lama and their teacher, Phurbu Tsering.


- Tagpa Rigsang, a 26-year-old Tibetan Buddhist trulku from a Qinghai province monastery who was studying at Sera Monastery in Lhasa, and one of approximately 16 monks detained on March 10, 2008, for staging a political protest near Lhasa’s Jokhang Temple. On March 24, the Lhasa procuratorate approved the formal arrest of 13 of the monks, including Tagpa Rigsang, on charges of “illegal assembly.”

- Wang Zaiqing, a house church pastor first detained on April 28, 2006, in Huainan city, Anhui province, for printing and distributing Bibles and other religious materials without government authorization. Authorities charged Wang with “illegal operation of a business,” a crime under Article 225 of the Criminal Law. On October 9, 2006, the Tianjin’an District People’s Court in Huainan sentenced him to two years’ imprisonment. Wang is presumed to have been released from prison at the expiration of his sentence on April 27, 2008.

- Yang Xiyao, a 68-year-old resident of Yanshan county in Hebei province, whom authorities detained on May 20, 2008, after raiding his home and confiscating Falun Gong publications. Yang served 6 years of a 10-year prison sentence in Baoding Prison from 2000 to 2006 for professing belief in Falun Gong. Officials released him in 2006 to receive medical treatment for heart palpitations and injuries reportedly caused by torture. Yang is once again in Baoding Prison. It is unclear whether he is continuing to serve his existing sentence, or if officials extended his sentence as a result of new criminal charges.
Religious Prisoners—Continued

- Zhang Jianlin and Zhang Li, Catholic priests affiliated with an unregistered church in Hebei province whom authorities detained in May 2008 as they intended to travel to the Marian Shrine of Sheshan in Shanghai. As of July 2008, overseas organizations reported that the two remained in detention.
- Zhou Heng, a house church leader and bookstore manager in the XUAR detained on August 3, 2007, while he was picking up a shipment of books reported to be Bibles donated by overseas churches for free distribution in China. Zhou was charged with “illegal operation of a business.” Procuratorate authorities returned the case to the public security bureau in November due to “insufficient evidence” but continued to hold Zhou Heng in custody until dropping the charges against him and releasing him on February 19, 2008.

SOCIAL WELFARE ACTIVITIES BY RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES

The Chinese government permits, and in some cases, sponsors, the social welfare activities of recognized religious communities where such activities do not conflict with Party goals.\textsuperscript{111} State-sanctioned religious groups took part in relief efforts for victims of the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake,\textsuperscript{112} but authorities reportedly detained some members of non-registered religious communities to prevent them from providing aid.\textsuperscript{113} In 2008, the government permitted a Taiwan-based Buddhist civil society organization to establish an office on the mainland, the first time authorities have allowed a group headed by a non-resident legal representative to operate in this capacity.\textsuperscript{114}

Falun Gong

On June 10, 1999, former President Jiang Zemin and Politburo member Luo Gan established an extrajudicial security apparatus called the “6–10 Office.”\textsuperscript{115} This entity was charged with the mission of enforcing a ban on Falun Gong and carrying out a crackdown against its practitioners, which commenced on July 22, 1999, when the government formally outlawed the movement.\textsuperscript{116} Falun Gong practitioners describe it as a “traditional Chinese spiritual discipline that is Buddhist in nature,” which consists of “moral teachings, a meditation, and four gentle exercises that resemble tai-chi and are known in Chinese culture as ‘qigong.’”\textsuperscript{117} Tens of millions of Chinese citizens practiced Falun Gong in the 1990s and adherents to the spiritual movement inside of China are estimated to still number in the hundreds of thousands despite the government’s ongoing crackdown.\textsuperscript{118}

The central government intensified its nine-year campaign of persecution against Falun Gong practitioners in the months leading up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games. Chinese security forces continued to detain and imprison Falun Gong practitioners and subjected some who refused to disavow the practice to torture and other forms of abuse in reeducation through labor (RTL) camps and other detention facilities.\textsuperscript{119} In September 2007, Zhou Yongkang, then-Minister of Public Security and current member of
the Politburo Standing Committee, ordered that all police and public security forces “strike hard on overseas and domestic hostile forces, ethnic splittists, religious extremists, violent terrorists, and the Falun Gong cult” to safeguard “social stability” for the 17th Party Congress and the Olympics.\textsuperscript{120} Official accounts of the crackdown were publicly available on Web sites for all 31 of China’s provincial-level jurisdictions in 2007–2008.\textsuperscript{121}

Since the government outlawed Falun Gong in July 1999, it has detained thousands—most likely hundreds of thousands—of practitioners.\textsuperscript{122} Chinese government Web sites regularly report detentions of Falun Gong “criminal suspects” and some provincial and local authorities offer rewards as high as 5,000 yuan (US$732) to informants who report Falun Gong “escaped criminals.”\textsuperscript{123} In July, Chinese state media reported the arrest of 25 Falun Gong practitioners and the destruction of 7 Falun Gong publishing operations in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region.\textsuperscript{124} In 2007, Yingshang county government in Anhui province revealed that it had detained 13 “Falun Gong and other cult criminals,” held another in “public security detention,” and “reeducated and reprimanded” more than 1,600.\textsuperscript{125} During the same period, Miyi county in Sichuan province recorded detentions of 62 practitioners as part of its “strike hard” campaign and claimed to have “transformed” 14 of them.\textsuperscript{126} Relying on reports from practitioners and their families in China, sources outside of China, not all of whom are themselves Falun Gong practitioners, estimate that Chinese authorities detained “at least 8,037” practitioners between December 2007 and the end of June 2008 in a nationwide pre-Olympics crackdown.\textsuperscript{127} International observers believe that Falun Gong practitioners constitute a large percentage—some say as many as half—of the total number of Chinese imprisoned in RTL camps.\textsuperscript{128} Falun Gong sources report that at least 200,000 practitioners are being held in RTL and other forms of detention.\textsuperscript{129} As of April 2008, Falun Gong sources in the United States had documented over 3,000 deaths of practitioners as a result of government persecution as well as over 63,000 cases of torture since 1999.\textsuperscript{130} From 2000 to 2005, Falun Gong practitioners accounted for 66 percent of all cases of alleged torture by Chinese authorities reported to the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture.\textsuperscript{131}

As this Commission reported in 2006, Chinese government persecution of Falun Gong practitioners contravenes the standards in Article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which China has signed but not ratified.\textsuperscript{132} The Chinese government asserts its anti-Falun Gong campaign is necessary to protect public safety, order, and morals in accordance with Article 36 of the Constitution.\textsuperscript{133} The UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, however, has rejected this argument.\textsuperscript{134}

BACKGROUND: ANTI-“CULT” INSTITUTIONS

6–10 Office

Publicly available government documents detail the central role of the 6–10 Office in the persecution of Falun Gong. Since its inception, the 6–10 Office has also expanded its targets to include other religious and qigong groups that the central government deems “harmful.”\textsuperscript{135} According to Nanjing City Public Security provisions
published in June 2008, the 6–10 Office is at the forefront of “organizing and leading the struggle against Falun Gong.” Its responsibilities include “directing investigations into significant cases,” “digging deep to uncover covert plots and organizers,” “gathering intelligence,” and “organizing and coordinating the prevention, control, and punishment of Falun Gong and other harmful qigong organizations by municipal public security forces.” A notice posted on a Yunnan provincial government Web site in March 2008 declares that the government must “sternly guard against” Falun Gong, calling it a “cultic, anti-Communist Party, anti-socialist organization.” It warns government workers that “if [you] hear of Falun Gong reactionary propaganda immediately notify your unit leader and the public security ‘610’ Office.”

An April 2008 notice posted on the Gutian county government Web site in Fujian province describes the central government’s “basic policy” outlawing the practice of Falun Gong and outlines five primary tasks to implement: (1) “explicitly order the dissemination of information regarding the ban [on Falun Gong],” (2) “carry out comprehensive administration [of the policy],” (3) “fully utilize all legal weapons, sternly punish the criminal activities of cult ring leaders and key members,” (4) “do a good job at transformation through reeducation for the great majority of practitioners,” and (5) “prevent external cults from seeping into the area, reduce the conditions that allow cults to propagate.”

Several reports mention “three zeroes” that security officials should aim to achieve. An official report from the Communist Party Political-Legal Committee of Wuling district in the city of Changde in Hunan province urges cadres to “resolutely achieve the ‘three zeroes goal’ in 6–10 management work,” which is defined as “no petitions in Beijing, zero incidents of local assemblies and protests, zero incidents of interference with television broadcasts.” The same report also stresses the need to carry out four tasks to this end: (1) “strengthen the prevention, control, and management [of Falun Gong] and conscientiously keep an unflinching eye on Falun Gong practitioners,” (2) “strengthen the use of transformation through reeducation as a line of attack against their fortifications, use all your might to transform obstinate Falun Gong elements,” (3) “strengthen strikes against and punishment of [Falun Gong], give the ‘Falun Gong’ underground gang a forceful scare,” and (4) “strengthen anti-cult cautionary education, reinforce the people’s ability to recognize, prevent, and oppose cults.”

Aggressive surveillance is a key aspect of the 6–10 Office’s work. The Wuling Party Political-Legal Committee describes having implemented a set of three “responsibility measures” to ensure that “more than 600 Falun Gong practitioners” are closely monitored by the district police, neighborhood committee, and their own relatives. The Committee also instructs security officials to organize an “inspect and control” system whereby local police are to conduct home “visits” of Falun Gong practitioners three times per day. In order to monitor more “die-hard” practitioners, public security forces are to form an “inspection and control small group” to carry out “24-hour surveillance.” A county report from Jiangxi province also stresses the need to “dispatch inspection and control personnel” during “important periods of time” in order to ascertain
a practitioner’s “movement 24 hours a day,” and report “unusual situations” in a timely manner to the 6–10 Office. In addition to surveillance, the 6–10 Office is also required to develop broad “intelligence channels” that allow them to “know whenever the enemy moves.”

6–10 Offices throughout China maintain extrajudicial “transformation through reeducation” facilities that are used specifically to detain Falun Gong practitioners who have completed terms in reeducation through labor (RTL) camps but whom authorities refuse to release. The term “transformation through reeducation” (jiaoyu zhuanhua) describes a process of ideological reprogramming whereby practitioners are subjected to various methods of physical and psychological coercion until they recant their belief in Falun Gong. In 2002, local officials in Hunan joined with the 6–10 Office to establish a “transformation through reeducation camp” for Falun Gong practitioners where “management methods” such as solitary confinement are employed. Four years after opening, the camp claimed a “transformation rate” of 70 percent for the 77 detainees in custody. In reporting on a transformation camp in Weifang city in 2000, Pulitzer Prize winner Ian Johnson writes that it was “at these unofficial prisons that the killings [of Falun Gong practitioners] occurred.”

Chinese government sources contain many references to the 6–10 Office calling for the “punishment” (chengzhi) of Falun Gong practitioners. In Hunan’s Changde city, Wuling district officials boast of having “cracked” 31 Falun Gong cases that produced 33 “public security detentions,” 19 “reeducation through labor sentences,” 29 “criminal detentions,” 20 “arrests,” as well as the “destruction of 12 underground nests” between 2002 and 2006. A city government Web site in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region lauded a security official for his role in “striking against” and “disposing of” over 1,000 cases involving “core members” of Falun Gong and the Disciples sect. A report to the 9th CCP Representative Assembly in Guandu District of Kunming City in Yunnan province acknowledges the capture of “26 Falun Gong criminal suspects” in 2005. Eleven of these “suspects” were formally arrested and six were sentenced to RTL camps. Officials from a township in Anhui province posted a report stating that after several years of “strikes against and cleansing” (daji qingli) of Falun Gong, the majority of local practitioners had “realized their errors and mended their ways.”

Gao Zhisheng, a lawyer who has defended various Chinese activists, exposed numerous forms of torture and violence employed by the 6–10 Office against Falun Gong practitioners. Gao describes the 6–10 Office as a “Gestapo-like organization” with “powers that no civilized state in the world would even consider trying to obtain.” He further notes that “of all the true accounts of incredible violence that I have heard, of all the records of the government’s inhuman torture of its own people, what has shaken me most is the routine practice on the part of the 6–10 Office and the police of assaulting women’s genitals.” Gao went missing in September 2007 following the public release of a letter he sent to the U.S. Congress and remains in detention at an undisclosed location.
Anti-Cult Associations

Working in concert with the 6–10 Office to undermine Chinese citizens' right to believe in and practice Falun Gong and other banned religious sects is a national network of "anti-cult associations" (fanxiejiao xiehui). Local anti-cult associations can be found at the provincial, county, municipal, and neighborhood level. Such associations have emerged as a prominent information channel for the government's campaign against Falun Gong, as they widely disseminate anti-Falun Gong propaganda by holding study sessions and other community activities to raise "anti-cult awareness." The Beijing-based China Anti-Cult Association was founded in November 2000 and claims to be a "non-profit, social welfare organization" that was "voluntarily formed" and "registered according to the law." The government's hand, however, can be clearly discerned in the publications and activities of anti-cult associations. An anti-cult association in Guizhou province admitted in one report that it was founded "under the leadership of the Party and government." Anti-cult association publications often expose connections with the 6–10 Office. A May 2007 report from Changchun revealed that the Jilin Provincial Anti-Cult Association partnered with provincial and municipal 6–10 Offices to "jointly organize and launch" anti-cult activities at 87 middle schools throughout the provincial capital.

DIRECTIVES AND MEASURES RELATED TO FALUN GONG AND THE OLYMPICS

In April 2008, the central government 6–10 Office issued an internal directive to local governments nationwide mandating propaganda activities to prevent Falun Gong from "interfering with or harming" the Olympics. References to the directive appear on official Web sites in every province and at every level of government. Most official reports focus on demonstrating that local authorities have stepped up security and fulfilled the requirement to "educate" target audiences on the directive's content. Local authorities distributed the directive widely in an effort to raise public awareness. References can be found on various Web sites ranging from public entities with indirect relations with the state (state-run enterprises, public schools, universities, parks, TV stations, meteorological bureaus, etc.) to commercial and social entities with no obvious ties to the state. Anti-cult associations also actively circulated and promoted the 6–10 Office's Olympic directive.

Olympic and municipal officials in Shanghai and Beijing also issued directives pertaining to Falun Gong in the lead-up to the 2008 Olympic Games. The Shanghai Public Security Bureau sent a warning to Falun Gong practitioners and other dissidents in April 2008 demanding that they remain in the city during the Olympics and report to the public security office at least once a week until the end of October. The notice threatened to detain or punish anyone who violates the order. In November 2007, Beijing Olympic organizers reminded visitors to the games that possession of Falun Gong writings is strictly forbidden and that no exceptions would be made for international visitors. The Beijing Public Security Bureau issued a public notice offering a reward of up to 500,000 yuan (US$73,100) for informants who report Falun Gong
Gong plans to “sabotage” the Olympics. From January to June 2008, public security agents reportedly arrested at least 208 practitioners from all 18 districts and counties in Beijing municipality. Falun Gong sources have documented the names and other information for 141 of the 208 practitioners who were detained in Beijing, 30 of whom are now reportedly being held in reeducation through labor camps with sentences as long as two-and-a-half years.

Chinese security officials made statements prior to the Olympics that sought to link Falun Gong with terrorist threats, but produced no evidence to substantiate these claims. Tian Yixiang, the head of the Military Affairs Department of the Beijing Olympics Protection Group, listed Falun Gong among the groups that might “use various means, even extreme violence, to interfere with or harm the smooth execution of the Olympic Games.” Li Wei, Chairman of the Center for Counterterrorism Studies at the quasi-official China Institute of Contemporary International Relations, categorized Falun Gong as among the top five terrorist threats to the 2008 Olympic Games.

DOMESTIC INSTITUTIONAL SOURCES OF ANTI-FALUN GONG ACTIVITY

The PRC Constitution stipulates that the state “protects the legitimate rights and interests of Chinese nationals residing abroad and protects the lawful rights and interests of returned Chinese and of the family members of Chinese nationals residing abroad.” The primary government institution to which the Constitution assigns this role is the State Council—the executive body at the pinnacle of state power and administration. Within the State Council, the office responsible for implementing this mandate is the State Council’s Overseas Chinese Affairs Office (OCAO).

In 2001, then OCAO director, Guo Dongpo, urged cadres to “wake up and see that the struggle with the ‘Falun Gong’ cult is a serious political struggle.” Guo called for marshalling OCAO resources to “unite all powers that can be united . . . make them understand and support the Chinese government’s position and policy of handling the ‘Falun Gong’ problem according to the law.” Guo also called for “striking against the overseas forces of the ‘Falun Gong’ cult, stop them from spreading, and eliminate their bad influence.” An official report on the January 2007 OCAO directors’ meeting, in which OCAO provincial and municipal leaders gathered with the national leadership in Beijing, stated that the “OCAO also coordinates the launching of anti ‘Falun Gong’ struggles overseas by relevant departments.”

A 2005 OCAO report urges overseas Chinese and returned overseas Chinese to “firmly establish the concept of ‘greater overseas Chinese affairs,’” and to “aggressively expand domestic Chinese and overseas Chinese friendship ties.” Specifically, overseas Chinese should “aggressively expand the struggle with Taiwanese independence forces, the Falun Gong cult, ethnic separatism and other enemy forces in order to contribute to the defense of state security.” A similar provincial report published on the OCAO Web site devotes a section to “resolutely implementing and executing the Party line, the Party’s guiding principles, and the Party’s policies.” Within this section, OCAO cadres are called to “attach a high
degree of importance to launching struggles to oppose the ‘Falun Gong’ cult and to the work of ‘safeguarding stability.’” 183 In an OCAO online research journal, a cadre from the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) discusses the formation of an “Overseas Chinese Work Corps.” The cadre writes that within the XUAR Overseas Chinese Work Corps system, “more than 30,000 overseas Chinese” operate under the “correct leadership of the Party Work Corps,” and are charged with “resolutely implementing and executing each and every policy task in the Party’s and nation’s overseas Chinese work.” One such policy task is defined as “launching a resolute struggle against enemy forces, ethnic separatists, Taiwanese independence forces, and the Falun Gong cult organization.” 184

In 2006, Chen Yujie, the Director of the OCAO, “expressed his admiration” to a visiting delegation of overseas Chinese and Chinese-Americans from Chicago for their “positive contributions” in the “struggle against ‘Falun Gong’ and other enemy forces.” 185 Reports of similar appeals to take action against Falun Gong have appeared in Europe, with the China Anti-Cult Association taking a leading role in spreading anti-Falun Gong propaganda there. 186 In September 2008, the OCAO Web site reported that the Chinese Ambassador to Argentina attended an award ceremony in which a local Chinese man was honored for “organizing members of the China Peaceful Unification Promotion Association of Argentina to aggressively struggle against ‘Falun Gong’ elements and Tibetan independence” during the Olympic torch relay. 187

In July 2008, the OCAO held a meeting in Beijing to discuss their “integrated preparations and deployment during the Olympic period.” A high-ranking official used this occasion to stress to OCAO cadres that “inviting overseas Chinese to attend the opening and closing ceremonies is a heavy task for our office. We must adopt strict organizational measures, thorough security services, and good security defense.” Immediately thereafter, the official reminded his audience to “strengthen network security protections and the security of internal office secrets” because “the activities of Falun Gong elements grow wilder by the day.” 188
ETHNIC MINORITY RIGHTS

Ethnic minority citizens of China do not enjoy the “right to administer their internal affairs” as guaranteed to them in law.¹ In 2008, Tibetans and Uyghurs in China demonstrated against government policy toward their communities, underscoring the failures of the government to provide meaningful autonomy in designated ethnic minority regions and to safeguard the rights of ethnic minorities throughout China.² Although the Chinese government protects some aspects of ethnic minority rights and is more tolerant of ethnic minority communities that do not overtly challenge state policies, shortcomings in both the substance and the implementation of Chinese ethnic minority policies prevent ethnic minority citizens from enjoying their rights in line with domestic Chinese law and international legal standards.³

Authorities continued in 2008 to repress citizen activism by ethnic minorities in China. [For more information on government responses to protests in Tibetan and Uyghur areas, see Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants, Section II—Freedom of Expression, Section IV—Xinjiang, and Section V—Tibet. For information on ethnic Koreans, see Section II—North Korean Refugees in China.] In the past year, authorities in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (IMAR) punished ethnic minority rights advocates as well as citizens perceived to have links with ethnic rights organizations, intensifying a trend noted by the Commission in its 2007 Annual Report.⁴ In July, authorities in the IMAR detained businessman Burildguun for alleged ties to an overseas Mongolian political group.⁵ In March, authorities detained writer Naranbilig for 20 days in connection with his plans to attend the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and with his broader activities advocating for the rights of ethnic Mongols. The same month, authorities also detained activist Tsebegjab for his alleged ties to overseas Mongolian activists. Authorities later placed both Naranbilig and Tsebegjab under confinement in their homes.⁶ In January, authorities at the Beijing airport detained Jiranbayarriin Soyolt, a native of the IMAR and citizen of Mongolia who had been active in promoting ethnic minority rights in the IMAR. Chinese officials released him in June and returned him to Mongolia.⁷ Some of the activists had drawn attention to Chinese government practices infringing on the rights of ethnic Mongols. Longstanding government policies in the IMAR have disrupted traditional pastoralist livelihoods, forced resettlement and assimilation, and reduced the use of the Mongolian language.⁸ IMAR authorities have taken steps in recent years to spur the use of Mongolian, including through legislation implemented in 2005,⁹ but officials found in 2007 that “serious problems” remained in promoting the language.¹⁰

The government reported taking steps in the past year to improve economic and social conditions for ethnic minorities. It remains unclear, however, whether the new measures have been effectively implemented and include safeguards to protect ethnic minority rights and to solicit input from local communities. As the Commission noted in past reports, development efforts have brought mixed results for ethnic minority communities.¹¹ In 2008
Premier Wen Jiabao announced more support for rural ethnic minority regions, but also tied economic improvement to the resettlement of villages. Officials reported in the past year continuing efforts to promote compulsory education in ethnic minority areas and taking steps to cultivate more ethnic minority cadres. The Guizhou provincial government continued efforts in 2008 to apply intellectual property protection to traditional knowledge used by ethnic minority communities. The 2008 detentions of Burildguun, Naranbilig, Tsebegjab, and Jiranbayariin Soyolt underscore the repercussions ethnic Mongols have faced for advocating ethnic minority rights and challenging Chinese policy in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (IMAR). Bookstore owner Hada continues to serve a 15-year sentence for his activities promoting ethnic minority rights and democracy. A brief chronology of his case follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Hada founds the Southern Mongolian Democratic Alliance to promote self-determination and democracy in Inner Mongolia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Authorities detain Hada on December 11 after he organizes peaceful protests for ethnic rights in the IMAR capital of Hohhot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>The Hohhot Intermediate People’s Court sentences Hada on November 11 to 15 years’ imprisonment for “splitism” and “espionage.” Fellow activist Tegexi receives a 10-year sentence at the same trial for “splitism” and is released in early December 2002.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>The Inner Mongolia High People’s Court rejects Hada’s appeal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Authorities detain Hada’s wife Xinna and son Uiles while the two attend the trial of ethnic Mongol physician Naguunbilig and his spouse Daguulaa. Authorities reportedly beat Uiles for over 20 minutes while holding him in custody. Authorities release Xinna after 3 hours in custody, but order Uiles to spend 13 days in detention at the Hohhot City Detention Center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Hada remains in the Inner Mongolia No. 4 Prison in Chifeng, where he is reported to be in poor health, has been denied proper medical treatment, and has been subjected to routine physical abuse. He is due for release from prison on December 10, 2010.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
China’s population planning policies in both their nature and implementation constitute human rights violations according to international standards. During 2008, the central government ruled out change to the policy for at least a decade. Population planning policies limit most women in urban areas to bearing one child, while permitting slightly more than half of women in rural areas to bear a second child if their first child is female. In the past year, the National Population and Family Planning Commission (NPFPC) retired some of its more strident slogans (e.g., “one more baby means one more tomb”) in an effort to soften the public presentation of its policies, but no corresponding steps were taken to end or change the coercive nature of these policies. Central and local authorities continued to strictly control the reproductive lives of Chinese women through an all-encompassing system of family planning regulations in which the state is directly involved in the reproductive decisions of its citizens. Local officials and state-run work units monitor women’s reproductive cycles in order to prevent unauthorized births. The government requires married couples to obtain a birth permit before they can lawfully bear a child and forces them to use contraception at other times. Violators of the policy are routinely punished with exorbitant fines, and in some cases, subjected to forced sterilization, forced abortion, arbitrary detention, and torture.

Although implementation tends to vary across localities, the government’s population planning laws and regulations contravene international human rights standards by limiting the number of children that women may bear and by coercing compliance with population targets through heavy fines. For example, the Population and Family Planning Law, which became effective in 2002, is not consistent with the standards set by the 1995 Beijing Declaration and the 1994 Programme of Action of the Cairo International Conference on Population and Development. Controls imposed on Chinese women and their families, and additional abuses engendered by the system, from forced abortion to discriminatory policies against “out-of-plan” children, also violate standards in the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights. As a state party to these treaties, China is bound to uphold their terms.

“SOCIAL COMPENSATION FEES”

The NPFPC issued a directive in September 2007 calling for “social compensation fees” to be levied at higher levels according to income in order to discourage affluent Chinese from having more children than the law allows. It also warned urban residents that violations of the population planning regulations would now result in negative marks taken against their financial credit records. “Social compensation fees” (shehui baoyang fei) are penalties or fines that local governments assess against couples who give birth to an unapproved child. For certain couples, these fines pose a dilemma
between undergoing an unwanted abortion and incurring devastating financial costs. Often with court approval, family planning officials are allowed to take “forcible” action against families who are not willing or able to pay the fines. These “forcible” actions include the confiscation of family belongings and the destruction of the violators’ homes.10

Provincial governments have also introduced new punitive measures—including the threat of job loss or demotion, denial of promotion, expulsion from the Party, and destruction of personal property—as a supplement to standard fines for all violators, regardless of their economic status.11 Hunan, Shaanxi, and Guangdong were among the first provinces to immediately target “elite” segments of the population with new penalties.12 Less than a month after the NPFPC directive was issued, Hunan adopted a new penalty standard equal to two to six times the violator’s income for the previous year for each “illegal conception.” For each child conceived after the first “unauthorized birth,” a fine equal to three times the violator’s income is imposed, which is in addition to the standard penalty. For children conceived out of wedlock, violators face a fine of six to eight times their income from the previous year.13

Following suit in 2008, the Beijing Population and Family Planning Commission began drafting a proposal to penalize more affluent and socially prominent violators of the policy by placing their names on a financial blacklist and by banning them from receiving civic awards or honors.14 Other provinces are widely publicizing “unlawful” births in an effort to shame violators into compliance. Henan and Zhejiang provinces, for example, have adopted measures to “expose celebrities and high-income people who violate the family planning policy” and thereby tarnish their reputations.15 In January 2008, the Hubei Provincial Party Committee and government issued a three-year ban on government employment and called for revocation of Party membership for violators of the population planning policies.16 In 2007, Hubei expelled 500 Party cadres and dismissed 395 government officials, including 3 provincial lawmakers and 4 members of the local Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference (CPPCC), for having “unauthorized” children.17 At least one county in Hubei has also begun to deny retirement benefits to teachers who violate birth quotas.18 Hunan disqualified 31 candidates for the local People’s Congresses and CPPCC in November 2007, while Liaoning province barred 21 lawmakers from parliamentary duties in 2008.19 One former CPPCC member and owner of a cement company in Hubei was fined 765,500 yuan (US$105,000) for fathering a second child without the government’s permission.20 In 2007, Hubei punished 93,000 violators of population regulations and collected a total of 230 million yuan (US$33.5 million) in “social compensation fees.”21 Local authorities often use legal action and coercive measures to collect money from poor citizens who cannot afford to pay the fees.22

IMPLEMENTATION

The use of coercive measures in the enforcement of population planning policies remains commonplace despite provisions for the punishment of abuses perpetrated by officials outlined in the Popu-
lation and Family Planning Law.23 The same law requires that local family planning bureaus conduct regular pregnancy tests on married women and administer unspecified “follow-up” services.24 The population planning regulations of at least 18 of China’s 31 provincial-level jurisdictions permit officials to take steps to ensure that birth quotas are not exceeded; these steps include forced abortion.25 In some cases, local officials coerce abortions even in the third trimester.26 “Termination of pregnancy” is explicitly required if a pregnancy does not conform with provincial population planning regulations in Anhui, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Hubei, Hunan, Jilin, Liaoning, and Ningxia provinces. In 10 other provinces—Fujian, Guizhou, Guangdong, Gansu, Jiangxi, Qinghai, Sichuan, Shanxi, Shaanxi, and Yunnan—population planning officials are authorized to take “remedial measures” to deal with “unlawful” births.27

In April 2008, population planning officials in the town of Zhubao in Shandong province “detained and beat” the sister of a woman who had illegally conceived a second child, in an attempt to compel the pregnant woman to undergo an abortion.28 Chen Guangcheng, a legal advocate and rights defender from nearby Linyi city, was sentenced to more than four years in prison in 2006 for exposing widespread abuses by local family planning officials. In April 2008, Chen filed a lawsuit alleging that Linyi officials had “trumped up charges” against him in “retaliation” for his efforts to expose their misdeeds. Chen also wrote a detailed letter to the president of the Supreme People’s Court and the procurator-general at the Supreme People’s Procuratorate to protest his imprisonment and petition for release.29 In 2007 and 2008, prison authorities prevented Chen from communicating with his family, refused a request for medical parole, and accused him of having “illicit relations with a foreign country.”30 Chen’s wife, Yuan Weijing, confirmed that cases of forced abortion and other abuses have resurfaced in Shandong in 2008. She remains under constant police surveillance because of her husband’s prior advocacy.31 In March 2008, family planning officials in Zhengzhou city, the capital of Henan province, forcibly detained a 23-year-old unmarried woman who was seven months pregnant. Officials reportedly tied her to a bed, induced labor, and killed the newborn upon delivery.32 Regulations in most provinces forbid a single woman to have a child and residency permit regulations often deny registry to children born out of wedlock.33 “Out-of-plan” children in China, those whose birth violated population planning regulations, are frequently denied access to education and face hurdles to finding legitimate employment.34

Recent reports indicate many localities continue to use forced sterilization to enforce population planning rules. One report describes lessons learned by Gansu provincial family planning officials from a recent visit to Shanxi province. It emphasizes the importance of “firmly grasping the long-term implementation of effective contraception, especially persevering to the end with the sterilization of households with two female children.”35 In spring 2008, in a reported effort to meet local targets for sterilization, authorities in Tongwei county in Gansu province allegedly forcibly sterilized and detained for two months a Tibetan woman who had
abided by local population planning requirements. Most ethnic minorities in rural areas, such as Tibetans, are officially permitted to have more than one child under population planning regulations. In some localities, officials impose restrictions nevertheless. According to overseas Uyghur rights observers, Chinese authorities have carried out forced sterilizations and abortions against Uyghur women. In the aforementioned case of forced sterilization of a Tibetan woman in Gansu province, local officials were reportedly motivated by the promise of promotion and a monetary reward equal to three months’ pay for performing a set number of sterilization procedures within their locality.

The linking of job promotion with an official’s ability to meet or exceed targets occurs in many provinces and provides a powerful structural incentive for officials to employ coercive measures in order to meet population goals. In a July 2006 speech, a Tongwei county official highlighted the county’s failure to reach sterilization quotas and admonished local family planning workers to “continue to keep the sterilization of households with two girls . . . as your focus.” The official urged his subordinates to do the following:

From the beginning to the end, each village and town must give the highest priority to the tubal ligation of women who have given birth to two girls, especially within those villages where these women have not yet had their tubes tied. We must demonstrate dogged determination and break the normal procedures. We should solder this assignment to the bodies of every cadre. Set the time and set the assignment. On multiple levels and using different channels, we should obtain information on spouses who are attempting to flee the county. By hook or crook, we must carry out contraceptive measures and every village must meet at least one of its target assignments.

The Tongwei official’s reference to demonstrating “dogged determination” and breaking the “normal procedures” signals official tolerance of abuses perpetuated by family planning cadres against violators of population planning regulations. As noted in the Commission’s last report, for example, large-scale protests erupted in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region in 2007 after local officials carried out forced abortions, sterilizations, and the looting of homes to punish violators of the policy.

Local governments often offer monetary incentives and other benefits to informants who report violations of population planning regulations. The Tongwei county government named 2008 the “year of fundamental construction” for population planning and unveiled a “peaceful life project” of various social welfare initiatives for sterilized rural women with two female children. In September 2007, the Tongwei County Population Bureau began to give monetary incentives to informants who report unsterilized households with two female children and to women who voluntarily undergo tubal ligation. According to the announcement, informants are guaranteed “strict secrecy” and a “one-time payment of 3,000 yuan (US$438).” Women who voluntarily take the initiative to arrange for a sterilization procedure with the local government are promised the same reward given to informants as well as a “social secu-
"sity deposit" of 1,000 yuan (US$146) and an additional one-time reward of 10,000 yuan (US$1,459). At least three localities in Henan province have also adopted monetary incentives for compliance with population planning regulations, providing a "one-time reward of 3,000–5,000 yuan [US$438 to US$729] for [couples who abandon] plans to have a second child." The utilization of positive incentives for compliance with birth quotas and sterilization policies in Henan and Gansu provinces reflects an emerging national pattern, but thus far incentives for compliance have only been implemented in addition to, rather than in place of, longstanding coercive measures. In November 2007, the central government issued a directive to encourage this "benefit-oriented mechanism" in population planning, which offers financial rewards in the areas of housing, healthcare, education, and poverty alleviation to compliant couples in rural areas. Examples of these benefits include government-provided insurance for compliant families and education subsidies for girls who are their families' only child. Some provinces have also eased restrictions to allow younger couples who come from single-child families to give birth to two children. The National Population and Family Planning Commission's (NPFPC) original directive indicated that couples from one-child families in 27 provinces would enjoy this exemption, but in 2007, a NPFPC spokesman claimed that the exemption applied to all such couples nationwide with the sole exception of Henan province. Like other population policies, implementation is likely uneven across provinces.

DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL CRISSES

The government's aim in relaxing birth quotas for couples from one-child families is to address a rising demographic crisis caused by three decades of restrictive population planning, but experts believe these efforts can only mitigate, not solve, trends that are already set in motion. China now faces two emerging demographic trends caused by population planning that could start to undermine its economic growth within the next decade: (1) a "graying" society in which the elderly population increases disproportionately to the working age population and creates pressure on young adults who must support a larger number of elderly dependents with no assistance from siblings, and (2) an artificially low fertility rate that will reduce the number of potential workers.

Another demographic challenge that China presently confronts is a severely skewed sex ratio. In 2000, the most recent year for which national census data is available, the male-to-female sex ratio for the infant-to-four year old age group was reportedly 120.8 males for every 100 females. This is far above the global norm of roughly 105 males for every 100 females. At least five provinces—Jiangsu, Guangdong, Hainan, Anhui, and Henan—reported ratios over 130 in 2005. In 2007, the central government estimated that China has 37 million more males than females. By 2020, the Chinese government estimates that there will be at least 30 million men of marriageable age that may be unable to find a spouse. Such a situation could fuel petty crime, prostitution, human trafficking, drug abuse, and HIV/STD transmission. Some political scientists argue that large numbers of "surplus males"
could create social conditions that the Chinese government may choose to address by expanding military enlistment.\(^{57}\)

In response to strict birth limits imposed by the government, Chinese couples often engage in sex-selective abortion to ensure that they have a son, especially rural couples whose first child is a girl.\(^{58}\) For this reason, China’s skewed sex ratio is largely attributable to its population planning policies and a traditional cultural preference for sons. Comparing China’s skewed sex ratio with global averages, one economist estimates that more than 12 million girls were unaccounted for by the 2000 census, many of whom may have been aborted upon discovery of the sex of the fetus.\(^{59}\) A UN expert based in Beijing estimates that by 2014 the number of “missing women” in China will reach between 40 to 60 million.\(^ {60}\)

In 2006, the National People’s Congress Standing Committee considered, but did not pass, a proposed amendment to the Criminal Law that would have criminalized sex-selective abortion.\(^{61}\) While at least one provincial government has passed regulations imposing fines on women who undergo sex-selective abortions and on the health organizations that perform them,\(^ {62}\) the central government has taken no other action at the national level.

In July 2008, Chinese authorities admitted that the country now has more than 100 million people with no siblings, which critics charge has deleterious effects on the social development of Chinese youth who are treated like “little emperors” within their homes.\(^ {63}\) Many Chinese blame the population policies for social problems as diverse as rising crime among young men, obesity and selfishness among urban youth, and the growing prevalence of divorce among young couples from single-child families.\(^ {64}\)

**SIGNS OF DISAGREEMENT AMONG OFFICIALS**

Population planning has been largely off-limits as a topic for public debate, but some officials began to speak out on the issue over the past year.\(^ {65}\) In March 2007, 29 delegates to the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference called for eliminating the one-child policy entirely because of the developmental and social problems that it caused China’s youth.\(^ {66}\) In February 2008, Zhao Baige, Vice-Minister of the National Population and Family Planning Commission (NPFPC), told reporters that the government was considering changing the population planning policy “incrementally.”\(^ {67}\) Shortly thereafter, a deputy to the National People’s Congress called for replacing the current policy with a new formula that encourages all couples to have one child, allows them to have two, prohibits them from having three, and rewards them for having none.\(^ {68}\) Zhang Weiqing, the Minister of the NPFPC, moved quickly to quell the discussion by issuing an emphatic statement that China would “by no means waver” in its population planning policies for “at least the next decade.”\(^ {69}\) The emergence of different views from Chinese official circles suggests the existence of previously unobserved debates within the Party regarding the future of the population planning policy. Restrictions on the public expression of dissent by ordinary citizens continue to obscure outsiders’ ability to discern trends in the relative support and opposition to such regulations among the general Chinese populace. The Com-
mission will continue to monitor and investigate these trends as greater information becomes available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sichuan Earthquake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On May 12, 2008, a powerful earthquake struck Sichuan province leading to the death of more than 80,000 people. Among the dead were thousands of children who lost their lives when school buildings collapsed. Many parents were left to face an uncertain future without the support system traditionally provided by one’s offspring. This natural disaster exposed the deep resentment that many Chinese citizens harbor toward the nation’s population planning policies as manifested in the emotional protests against the shoddy construction of public schools and local authorities who failed to rapidly rescue trapped schoolchildren.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The Sichuan Population and Family Planning Commission estimates that at least 7,000 children from one-child families were killed and more than 16,000 were injured. More precise statistics are still being compiled.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In May 2008, the government announced that parents who lost their only children in the earthquake would be permitted to have another child if they applied for a certificate from the Chengdu Population and Family Planning Commission.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In June 2008, the National Population and Family Planning Commission sent a team of medical personnel to the earthquake zone to perform operations to reverse sterilization procedures for parents who lost their only child and want to have another.73 In-vitro fertilization was also offered to eligible couples.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Chinese government continues to enforce the household registration (hukou) system to limit the rights of Chinese citizens to choose their permanent place of residence. Since the enforcement of the Regulations on Household Registration in 1958, the division between rural and urban hukous has prevented rural residents who migrate to cities from accessing healthcare, education, ownership of property, legal compensation, and other social welfare programs. Consequently, the hukou system has become a foundation of discrimination and violation of the right to equality for Chinese citizens who hope to change their residence. Security preparations for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games resulted in heightened scrutiny of the hukou status of migrants throughout China. In January, Beijing officials ordered public security bureaus to intensify inspections of migrants without a Beijing hukou to ensure security during the Olympics. In July, authorities in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region launched a house-to-house search campaign reportedly targeting the migrant population and other groups on the eve of the Olympics. Some migrants reportedly believe that the government's intensified checks are aimed at preventing protests and incidents that Chinese authorities think could mar the government's and Communist Party's image.

The government's restriction on residence is inconsistent with the right to freedom of residence and the right to equality as defined by international human rights standards. Therefore, some have pursued legal action to challenge the system. A lawyer, Cheng Hai, filed a lawsuit against Beijing Public Security Bureau on February 25, 2008, requesting that the Beijing Changping People's Court revoke his temporary resident permit registration at the Changping district police station. Cheng said Beijing Public Security Bureau's requirement of a temporary permit conflicts with more than 10 superior laws, including a citizen's right to equal treatment stipulated in the Constitution.

Since the economic reform period in the late 1970s, former farmers and laid-off state-owned business employees without urban hukou began relocating to cities in search of higher earnings, becoming the so-called “floating population.” To accommodate the surplus of rural labor and the labor demand in urban areas, national and local authorities implemented reforms to enhance the mobility of rural residents. However, recent reforms only allow migrants to change hukou if they meet criteria that generally favor senior Communist Party officials, as well as the wealthy and educated. Those without a stable job, a stable place of residence, or family connections to urban hukou holders still face obstacles to obtaining city hukou.

RECENT HUKOU REFORMS

[Addendum: Recent Hukou Reforms is a representative, non-comprehensive survey of local Chinese government hukou reforms enacted from 2005 through August 2008.]

Generally, these reforms require that rural migrants have (1) a “stable job or source of income” and (2) lived in a “stable place of
residence for a specified period of time” as conditions for obtaining local hukou. Some also require a college education. Most of the reforms still exclude the vast majority of Chinese migrants who often work as manual laborers and live in temporary accommodations.

Most recently, Jiangsu province loosened its hukou application requirements, allowing migrants with special skills and contributions as well as their family members to relocate, even if they do not own local property.13 Yunnan province issued an opinion on September 3, 2007, replacing the two-tier agriculture and non-agriculture system with one unified resident permit. The opinion states that individuals with a legal permanent residence, long-term employment contract, and stable source of income are eligible to apply for a hukou.14 Shenzhen city began a new residency card system on August 1, 2008,15 abolishing the city’s temporary resident card system in place since 1984.16 The measures stipulate that all citizens between 16 and 60 years old can register for a residency permit if they have been working in Shenzhen for more than 30 days without permanent residency status. Individuals over 60 are permitted to apply if they own property, invest in, or work for local enterprise, or bring technical expertise to the city. New permit holders will be entitled to a range of free public services. Children of permit holders will have access to local schools.17

REMAINING CHALLENGES

Since 1984, the central government has sanctioned a locally based migrant registration system.18 Nevertheless, uneven implementation of hukou reform at the local level has dulled the impact of national calls for change. Some recent instances highlight remaining challenges.

• In January 2008, a high school girl in Beijing attempted suicide after learning that she was unable to register for the college entrance examination without a Beijing hukou, prompting public outcry over the slow pace of hukou reform.19
• In April 2008, Zhuhai city, Guangdong province, suspended its hukou application process due to increased fiscal pressure of providing services to hukou holders, raising doubts over migrant integration with limited resources.20
Addendum: Recent Hukou Reforms

This table provides a representative sample of local Chinese government hukou reforms enacted between 2005 and August 2008. The first two pages of the table provide examples of hukou reforms at the provincial level; the remaining pages provide examples at the level of municipality, autonomous region, special economic zones and non-provincial-level city. Reforms are categorized according to two sets of key features. The first set includes three common eligibility requirements (income, residence and education or skill level). The second set includes two main policies discussed in the main body of this section (the elimination of agricultural/non-agricultural distinction, and the provision of benefits to new hukou holders). An "X" under "income," "residence" or "education or skill" indicates that the hukou reform in question demands that hukou applicants meet eligibility requirements in these areas respectively. An "X" under "Eliminate Agricultural/Non-Agricultural Distinction" indicates that the reform includes provisions that address the elimination of the agricultural/non-agricultural distinction. An "X" under "Benefits" indicates that the reform includes the provision of benefits to new hukou holders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Eligibility Requirements</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Description and Past Reforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>5/3/2006</td>
<td>Reform Reported in Xinhui²¹²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The provisions abolish the agricultural/non-agricultural classification system. In order to apply for a hukou, individuals must possess a lawful permanent residence and be stably employed. Urban public employment agencies at all levels should provide career guidance, job recommendations, and legal advice free of charge to migrant workers from rural areas seeking employment. The 2006 reforms build on reforms instituted in 2002²² at the county and small city levels to grant a hukou to individuals with a fixed place of residence, a stable source of income, or those holding advanced degrees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²¹² Reform Reported in Xinhui refers to a report published in the Xinhua News Agency.
Addendum: Recent Hukou Reforms—Continued

This table provides a representative sample of local Chinese government hukou reforms enacted between 2005 and August 2008. The first two pages of the table provide examples of hukou reforms at the provincial level; the remaining pages provide examples at the level of municipality, autonomous region, special economic zones and non-provincial-level city. Reforms are categorized according to two sets of key features. The first set includes three common eligibility requirements (income, residence and education or skill level). The second set includes two main policies discussed in the main body of this section (the elimination of agricultural/non-agricultural distinction, and the provision of benefits to new hukou holders). An "X" under "income," "residence" or "education or skill" indicates that the hukou reform in question demands that hukou applicants meet eligibility requirements in these areas respectively. An "X" under "Eliminate Agricultural/Non-Agricultural Distinction" indicates that the reform includes provisions that address the elimination of the agricultural/non-agricultural distinction. An "X" under "Benefits" indicates that the reform includes the provision of benefits to new hukou holders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Eligibility Requirements</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Description and Past Reforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liaoning</td>
<td>4/20/2007 (Reported)</td>
<td>Reform Reported in Liaoning Provincial Population and Family Planning Commission News23</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The provisions abolish the agricultural/non-agricultural classification system. The provisions only require that an individual have a legal, permanent residence in a city to be eligible for a hukou.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yunnan</td>
<td>1/1/2008 (Effective)</td>
<td>Yunnan Government Opinion24</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipality</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Eligibility Requirements</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Description and Past Reforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>4/19/2007</td>
<td>Reform Reported in Sina25</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The new measures relax restrictions for a hukou registration in Beijing. Children are permitted to adopt the household registration of their father. Age restrictions for hukou are eliminated. Previous regulations provided migrant workers with medical insurance and gave their children equal access to schooling. Earlier city regulations directed county-level governments to grant a hukou to individuals with a fixed place of residence or a stable source of income. Under past reforms,26 citizens who meet professional, educational, or investment requirements are eligible to apply for a hukou.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chongqing</td>
<td>10/19/2007</td>
<td>Reform Reported in Xinhua27</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The five-year plan aims to abolish Chongqing's agricultural/non-agricultural registration system, replacing it with a single “Chongqing Residency Permit” scheme. It also provides government support for job skills training, migrant education, sanitation, housing, and social security. Past reforms28 mandated that nine districts pilot the hukou reform. Individuals with purchased property (30 sq/m per person), a college level of education, or a stable income can apply for a hukou.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Region</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Eligibility Requirements</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Description and Past Reforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region</td>
<td>4/6/2005 (Issued)</td>
<td>Guangxi Government Circular[29]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The circular abolishes the agricultural/non-agricultural classification system, replacing它 with a unified “residency permit system.” Individuals who have their own residences are eligible to apply. Science and technology workers are eligible for a hukou. Migrant workers with a stable labor contract or a long-term lease can also establish a hukou.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Economic Zone</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Eligibility Requirements</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhuhai</td>
<td>4/9/2008 (Suspended)</td>
<td>Reform Reported in Xinhua[^10]</td>
<td>Income: X</td>
<td>Residence: X</td>
<td>Education or Skill: X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Economic Zone</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Key Reform Features</td>
<td>Description and Past Reforms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenzhen</td>
<td>8/1/2008</td>
<td>Shenzhen City Temporary Measures on Resident Permits</td>
<td>Eligibility Requirements</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Effective)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>Education or Skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Provincial-Level City</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Eligibility Requirements</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Description and Past Reforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhengzhou, Henan</td>
<td>11/2/2005 (Reported)</td>
<td>Reform Reported in China Daily³²</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>In November 2001, the city provided a hukou to people who had relatives living in Zhengzhou. However, increased pressure on transportation, education, and healthcare as well as a rise in crime forced the city to cancel the measure three years later.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xi'an, Shaanxi</td>
<td>2/27/2006 (Effective)</td>
<td>Xi'an City Temporary Provisions³³</td>
<td>X X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The provisions abolish the agricultural/non-agricultural classification system, replacing it with a “residency permit system.” Scientists, engineers, and PhD recipients are encouraged to apply for a hukou. Individuals with a stable income and permanent housing are eligible to apply. The government announced plans to implement the management system in three districts and expand it citywide within three years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Provincial-Level City</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Eligibility Requirements</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Description and Past Reforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chengdu, Sichuan</td>
<td>10/20/2006 (Effective)</td>
<td>Chengdu City Public Security Bureau Regulations³⁴</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The opinion abolishes the agricultural/non-agricultural system. Individuals who have purchased property or investors who have committed over 2 million yuan to an industry are eligible to apply for a hukou. The opinion stipulates that individuals who hold a bachelor’s degree or higher may also establish a hukou in Chengdu. Individuals who have lived in the city temporarily for three years, have a legal permanent residence, and a working contract are eligible to apply for a hukou.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qingdao, Shandong</td>
<td>8/1/2007 (Effective)</td>
<td>Qingdao City Government Circular³⁵</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The circular abolishes the agricultural/non-agricultural classification system. Individuals with a PhD or who possess technical skills may apply for a Qingdao hukou, as may individuals who pay 10,000 yuan in taxes for one year. Individuals with a residence and a steady source of income also are eligible to apply for a hukou.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIBERTY OF MOVEMENT

INTRODUCTION

The Chinese government continues to enforce restrictions on citizens’ liberty of movement within the country, in violation of international human rights standards. Chinese citizens who are mainland residents must obtain travel permits from their local government to leave the mainland, including to enter into the special administrative regions (SAR) of Hong Kong and Macau. SAR residents are required to have a “Home Return Permit” (HRP) to visit the mainland. The Chinese government for two decades has denied the issuance of HRPs to 12 pro-democracy members of the Legislative Council of Hong Kong because of their support for protesters at Tiananmen Square in 1989, criticism of the Chinese government and Communist Party, or other reasons. Officials also arbitrarily confiscate HRPs to deny entry of citizens deemed to act outside permitted limits. On July 1, Norman Choy, a reporter covering the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games for the Hong Kong-based pro-democracy Chinese-language newspaper Apple Daily, was denied entry at the Beijing airport. Authorities confiscated Choy’s HRP and repatriated him, citing the national security law.

RESTRICTIONS ON RELIGIOUS CITIZENS AND ACTIVISTS

The Chinese government controls or punishes religious adherents, activists, or rights defenders deemed to act outside approved parameters by restricting their liberty of movement. The authorities use methods such as extralegal house arrest (see Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants—Arbitrary Detention—Arbitrary House Arrest and Control for a more detailed analysis of extralegal house arrest), detention, and surveillance. Recent cases include:

- Zeng Jinyan, blogger and spouse of imprisoned human rights activist Hu Jia, has been placed under house arrest and heightened surveillance with limited Internet connectivity since Hu’s detention on December 27, 2007. During the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, the authorities forced Zeng and her infant daughter to leave Beijing for Dalian, and confined them in a hotel for 16 days with limited communications with family.
- The Uyghur community in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region has reported restrictions on air travel within the country in the run-up to and during the 2008 Olympic Games.
- During the U.S.-China Human Rights Dialogue in late May, authorities placed under surveillance a number of Beijing activists, including a member of the China Democracy Party, religious rights activists, and veterans of the 1989 Tiananmen democracy protests.
- During an official visit by Members of the U.S. Congress in late June, eight Beijing-based human rights lawyers were placed under house arrest apparently to prevent them from meeting.
- In April, authorities in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region placed Mongolian rights activist and journalist Naranbilig...
under house arrest after detaining him for 20 days in March
and April.\textsuperscript{11}

- Yuan Weijing, spouse of imprisoned legal advocate and
  rights defender Chen Guangcheng, has been under house ar-
  rest since August 2005.\textsuperscript{12}

[See Section II—Freedom of Religion and Ethnic Minority Rights
for more information.]

**FREE ENTRY/EXIT FROM CHINA**

The Chinese government continues to restrict citizens’ right to
entry into and exit from the country, contravening international
human rights standards.\textsuperscript{13} In the past year, authorities arbitrarily
issued, confiscated, revoked, or denied the application for passports
to activists deemed to pose a “possible threat to state security or
national interests,”\textsuperscript{14} which is inconsistent with Article 2 of the
Passport Law.\textsuperscript{15}

During the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, a number of
dissidents including Wang Dan,\textsuperscript{16} Yang Jianli,\textsuperscript{17} and Zhou Jian,\textsuperscript{18}
were barred from entry into Hong Kong. Chinese authorities have
refused to renew Wang’s passport since 2003 and Yang has a valid
passport.\textsuperscript{19} Tsering Woeser, a well-known Tibetan writer, filed a
lawsuit against the Chinese government in July for denying her a
passport for over three years.\textsuperscript{20}

The Chaoyang People’s Court in Beijing on May 14, 2008, upheld
an administrative decision that barred Yuan Weijing, the spouse of
jailed blind activist and barefoot lawyer Chen Guangcheng, from
leaving the country in August 2007 to receive an award on her hus-
band's behalf in the Philippines.\textsuperscript{21} Teng Biao, a prominent human
rights lawyer, told reporters in March 2008 that the authorities
had seized his passport. Around the same time, the police warned
him of potential detention unless he stopped talking to foreign
media and writing about human rights abuses.\textsuperscript{22} Authorities in the
Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region authorities continued to sup-
port measures to prevent Muslims from making pilgrimages out-
side of state channels, following the confiscation of Muslims’ pass-
ports in summer 2007 to restrict private pilgrimages.\textsuperscript{23}

[See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants,
Freedom of Expression, Freedom of Religion, and Section V—Tibet
for more information.]
INTRODUCTION

The Commission's 2007 Annual Report noted that discrimination against women in China remained widespread, equal access to justice has been slow to develop, and that Chinese women, especially migrant, impoverished, and ethnic minority women, tended to be unaware of their legal options when their rights are violated, in spite of considerable efforts by Chinese officials and women's organizations to build protections for women into the law. The Commission notes that the past year marked the first time that Chinese courts mandated criminal punishment in a sexual harassment case and issued a civil protection order in a divorce case involving domestic violence.

GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

State protections against sexual harassment remain limited. The number of sexual harassment complaints, however, increased since the Law on the Protection of Women's Rights and Interests (LPWRI) was amended in 2005. The LPWRI prohibits sexual harassment and domestic violence and requires state government assistance to women to assert their rights in court.

At least one court case from the past year issued criminal penalties for sexual harassment. In June 2008, the Gaoxin People's Court in Chengdu, citing the Criminal Law rather than the LPWRI, sentenced a human resources manager at a high-tech firm to five months' criminal detention, which marks the first time someone has been criminally punished for sexual harassment in China.

While the Chengdu case is an important development, significant obstacles remain for plaintiffs in winning sexual harassment cases. Before the Chengdu case, almost all plaintiffs who lost their cases did so for "lack of evidence." In addition, courts in China often view sexual harassment as a moral issue and therefore defendants receive lenient legal punishment that involves issuing an apology and paying limited compensation. Victims fear retaliation for reporting cases of sexual harassment, especially since companies and government agencies in China are not required to have a sexual harassment policy and companies are not held responsible for the sexual harassment of their staff.

Domestic violence remains a significant problem in China, with 29.7 to 35.5 percent of Chinese families reportedly experiencing some form of violence, and women making up 90 percent of the victims. Some local officials have taken positive steps to enhance legal protections for domestic violence victims. In July 2008, the Chong'an People's Court in Wuxi city, Jiangsu province, announced a pilot project that designated a panel of judges, including a representative from the local women's federation, to handle all domestic violence-related divorce cases. In August 2008, that same court issued the first protective order to a domestic violence victim in a civil proceeding.

To overcome victims' difficulty in obtaining adequate evidence of their abuse, judicial agencies and women's federations in at least
21 provinces have established domestic violence injury appraisal centers. The number of shelters for domestic violence victims has also increased.

GENDER DISPARITIES

Political Participation and Decisionmaking

While the government has supported women’s right to vote and run in village committee elections, few women hold positions with decisionmaking power in the upper echelons of the Communist Party or government. Women make up just 20 percent of the Party and hold some 40 percent of government posts. Less than 8 percent of the Central Communist Party Committee (CCPC) is comprised of women; only one woman is a member of the CCPC’s Politburo, and no women sit on the Politburo Standing Committee. During the past year women headed 2 of the country’s 28 ministries, and one woman is the governor of a province.

Health

The government announced an action plan to boost women’s health by providing basic healthcare services to women in urban and rural areas, as part of a package of initiatives known as “Healthy China 2020.” Maternity deaths in rural areas in 2006 were almost double the number in urban areas, with the disparity even greater between eastern provinces and other areas. The government has pledged by 2015 to improve healthcare services so that all women can give birth in hospitals and maternal and infant mortality rates are cut.

Access to Rural Land Allocation and Compensation

Women continue to experience gender-based discrimination when attempting to access benefits associated with their village hukous (household registration), including their right to land and property. In many of these cases, village rules contravene national laws and regulations, yet they are still enforced by village officials. Women who are especially vulnerable to discrimination include “married-out women,” widowed women, but also women who come from a “two-daughter household,” and women who remarry after divorce or who marry a divorced man. “Married-out women” are women who have either married men from other villages, but whose hukous remain in their birthplace, whose hukous are transferred from one place back to their birthplace, or whose hukous are transferred to their husbands’ village. For more information on cases that were resolved, both judicially and extrajudicially, in the woman’s favor, see box titled “Results for Women: Two Hukou Cases” below.
Results for Women: Two Hukou Cases

Heilongjiang Province
A Heilongjiang province village leader told a woman who had married someone with a Sichuan hukou (household registration) that their son could only have a local village hukou if she signed an agreement to never seek land in the village. After the woman sought their assistance, the county women's federation, along with other local officials, worked with the village committee to reach a solution. The women's federation pointed out to village members that such action violated the PRC Law on Land Contracts in Rural Areas and the Law on the Protection of Women's Rights and Interests (LPWRI). Finally, the village committee and village representatives agreed to give the woman's son local hukou status and consideration for land allocation.21

Henan Province
A village in Dengzhou city, Henan province, issued rules stipulating that women who were not married and did not reside in the village would have to verify their single status in order to receive land compensation. After two female migrant workers from the village filed a suit, the Dengzhou People's Court ruled that the village's rules were void and that the group must provide the two women with 750 yuan (US$110) each for land compensation within five days. If the group did not do so, they would have to pay double the amount in accordance with Article 232 of the PRC Civil Procedure Law.22
The Chinese government faces lingering challenges in its efforts to eliminate human trafficking, despite making significant strides to combat the problem. The Commission’s 2007 Annual Report noted that the Chinese government has taken steps to increase public awareness, expand the availability of social services, and improve international cooperation. The government needs to do more, however, to detect and protect victims, including victims trafficked for labor exploitation and Chinese citizens trafficked abroad. The lack of a comprehensive anti-trafficking policy to combat all forms of trafficking continues to hamper China’s effort to combat trafficking.

The government has not fulfilled its international obligations to combat trafficking and it obstructs the independent operation of non-governmental and international organizations that offer assistance on trafficking issues. At the same time, recent statements from central government officials, as well as the State Council’s release of the National Plan of Action on Combating Trafficking in Women and Children (2008–2012), indicate high-level support for—and more focus on—proactive ways to address trafficking.

SCOPE OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING IN CHINA

China is a country of origin, transit, and destination for human trafficking. Domestic trafficking for sexual exploitation, forced labor, and forced marriage comprise the majority of trafficking cases. Women and children, who make up 90 percent of these cases, are often trafficked from poorer or more remote areas to more prosperous locations, such as provinces along China’s east coast. The Ministry of Public Security estimates that 10,000 women and children are abducted and sold each year, and the International Labour Organization (ILO) estimates that 10,000 to 20,000 people are trafficked annually.

Chinese citizens are trafficked to other countries in Asia and other parts of the world for commercial sexual exploitation or exploitative labor. Foreign victims are trafficked into China from Burma, North Korea, Mongolia, Vietnam, and Russia. Many of these victims are women trafficked for commercial sexual exploitation, forced marriage, or forced labor.
Root Causes of Human Trafficking in China

- **Economic Disparity and Migration**: Economic development, the liberalization of some *hukou* (household registration) requirements, and increasing inequality among localities create incentives for people to migrate for work and marriage, but these opportunities also leave men, women, and children vulnerable to trafficking. There are an estimated 170 million migrant workers in China, with official data indicating that 60 percent of labor migration among and within provinces occurs through irregular channels. Of women who migrate, an estimated 30 percent do so for marriage. Some of these women end up being “bought” and “sold” as wives by men who want to bypass the high costs of doweries for marriage in rural areas.

- **Gender Imbalance Linked to Population Planning Policies and the Preference for Sons**: Population planning policies and a preference for sons exacerbate imbalanced sex ratios in China, which contributes to the trafficking of women and children for forced or abusive marriages and false adoptions.

- **Population Planning Policies and the Preference for Sons**: Since the early 1980s, the government’s population planning policy has limited most women in urban areas to bearing one child, while permitting many women in rural China, among other exceptions, to bear a second child if their first child is female. Officials have enforced compliance with the policy through a system marked by pervasive propaganda, mandatory monitoring of women’s reproductive cycles, mandatory contraception, mandatory birth permits, coercive fines for failure to comply, and in some cases, forced sterilization and abortion. A preference for sons is especially strong in certain areas and is tied to conceptions of gender inequality and traditional gender roles.

- **Impact on Marriage**: Men seeking to marry, especially in areas with severely unbalanced sex ratios, may try to “purchase” a wife. It is unclear what percentage of the women in this situation has been trafficked. However, this practice provides incentives for traffickers to abduct and “sell” women. It is also exacerbated by population planning policies. While experts consider a normal male-female birth ratio to be between 103 and 107:100, ratios in China stand at roughly 118 male births to 100 female births, with higher rates in some parts of the country and for second births. Some experts believe the gender imbalance contributes to the trafficking of women into China as brides from neighboring countries such as Mongolia, North Korea, Russia, and countries in Southeast Asia.

- **Impact on Adoption**: Individuals or families who cannot have a child or son of their own due to biological reasons, population planning policies, the Adoption Law, or other reasons may sometimes attempt to “purchase” a child. When force, fraud, or coercion is involved, these become child trafficking cases. In some cases, traffickers presented the child as their own so that the buyers did not know the child has been trafficked.

---

CHINA’S NATIONAL PLAN OF ACTION

The State Council issued the National Plan of Action on Combating Trafficking in Women and Children (2008–2012) on December 13, 2007. This first and long-awaited national plan formalizes...
cooperation among agencies and establishes a national information and reporting system.\textsuperscript{18} The plan sets specific targets and outlines measures for the prevention of trafficking, prosecution of traffickers, protection of victims, and strengthening of international cooperation. The plan designates the Ministry of Public Security as the lead agency in implementing the plan, and calls for coordination among 28 agencies. The plan, with a focus on women and children, neglects male adults, who are often targeted for forced labor.\textsuperscript{19} Several localities, including Guizhou, Hainan, and Fujian provinces, and Hanzhong city, Shaanxi province, have issued their own plans to implement the National Plan.\textsuperscript{20} Various government agencies have also hosted training workshops on implementing the plan, often in collaboration with international organizations.\textsuperscript{21} It is unclear, however, if there are funds allocated to support implementation by local and provincial governments.\textsuperscript{22}

\section*{International cooperation}

The release of its national plan fulfills an obligation made by the Chinese government to the Coordinated Mekong Ministerial Initiative Against Trafficking (COMMIT), and coincided with China's hosting of the COMMIT Second Inter-Ministerial Meeting on December 12–14, 2007.\textsuperscript{23} COMMIT is a regional government initiative, supported by the United Nations Inter-Agency Project on Human Trafficking (UNIAP), to foster cooperation between countries in the Greater Mekong Sub-region, including China, Thailand, Cambodia, Vietnam, Laos, and Burma.\textsuperscript{24} The joint declaration signed at the meeting reaffirmed cooperation between the countries and pledged for the first time to include “civil society groups” in future anti-trafficking efforts.\textsuperscript{25} It is unclear, however, to what extent civil society groups will be included in future anti-trafficking efforts in China.

The Chinese government has not signed the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress, and Punish Trafficking In Persons, Especially Women and Children (the TIP Protocol), which supplements the United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime.\textsuperscript{26} The TIP Protocol contains the first legally binding global definition of trafficking and obligates state parties to criminalize trafficking-related offenses mentioned in the protocol.\textsuperscript{27} The Chinese government has been “considering” the signing and ratification of the TIP Protocol for the past few years, and one of the work items for the State Council's National Working Committee on Children and Women in 2007 was to research the feasibility of ratifying the protocol.\textsuperscript{28} At an August 2007 conference in Yunnan province, participants noted that even though there is limited overlap between the TIP Protocol's definition and China's definition of trafficking, China's laws and regulations already include more than 95 percent of the protocol's contents. Experts stated that the time was ripe for China to sign the TIP Protocol, and to consider how to align the two definitions so that China can more easily engage in international cooperation.\textsuperscript{29} UNIAP and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs hosted an international seminar on the TIP Protocol in October 2008.\textsuperscript{30}

The Chinese government has ratified earlier UN conventions that relate to human trafficking, including the Convention to
Eliminate All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, the Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, which legally bind the government to prohibit, prevent, and eliminate the trafficking of women and children. The Chinese government’s forcible return of refugees to North Korea, however, contravenes its obligations under the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol. The government classifies all North Koreans who enter China without documentation as illegal economic migrants and forcibly repatriates them to North Korea, even though they meet the definition of refugees under international law. The practice leaves trafficked North Korean refugees in China without legal alternatives besides repatriation to North Korea, where they face retribution or hardship. Trafficking of North Korean women remains pervasive. Women comprise two-thirds of the tens of thousands of North Korean refugees hiding in China. Although many North Korean women initially enter China voluntarily, it is estimated that up to 70 to 80 percent of these undocumented women become victims of trafficking. Traffickers sell them into forced marriage, commercial sexual exploitation, or exploitative labor. [For more information, see Section II—North Korean Refugees in China.]

PREVENTION AND PROTECTION

The Chinese government has made noticeable trafficking prevention efforts by raising public awareness and providing training for officials on certain forms of trafficking. They are often tied to other awareness-raising programs, including those aimed at keeping children in school, and programs providing vocational training, awareness of legal rights, gender equality training, and poverty alleviation assistance. In addition, the Chinese government, in cooperation with international organizations and the All China Women’s Federation (ACWF), has conducted training for law enforcement and border officials on identifying and assisting victims. While China has made efforts since 2001 to offer victim services, these services remain limited in scope and funding. Law enforcement officials previously had returned trafficked victims who escaped to those who trafficked them, and local officials issued marriage licenses despite evidence that a bride had been trafficked into forced marriage. The government provides some funds for the protection of Chinese victims who are trafficked internally. The Ministry of Public Security, the Ministry of Civil Affairs, and the ACWF have opened shelters and rehabilitation centers. Victim care remains insufficient, however, as existing shelters tend to be temporary, not exclusively for trafficking victims, and provide little or no care to returned victims. Chinese authorities reportedly punish returned Chinese citizens who were trafficked abroad, for acts committed as a direct result of being trafficked, including violation of immigration controls. As for, or in terms of, victim repatriation and protection, while the Chinese government has created programs to increase cross-border collaboration, these efforts remain inadequate to address victims’ needs.
The Chinese government punishes traffickers who engage in the crimes of trafficking in women and children. It investigates and prosecutes trafficking crimes, especially domestic cases, and those involving the abduction of women for forced marriage or commercial sexual exploitation. Article 240 of the Criminal Law allows punishment up to death for the crime of human trafficking.

Public security officials launched a nationwide campaign focused on the problem of forced labor and involuntary servitude following incidents of trafficking for forced labor in brick kilns in Shanxi and Henan provinces in 2007. The problem persisted in 2008, however, as illustrated by cases of trafficking for forced labor in Heilongjiang province and for child labor in Guangdong factories in 2008. Authorities have taken limited actions against trafficking-related corruption. Officials were reportedly convicted of commercial sexual exploitation and “issuing visas to facilitate trafficking” in 2004 and 2005. Official reports state that no government officials have been involved in trafficking cases handled by the Ministry of Public Security (MPS) up to 2006.

Public security officials resolved more than 27,280 trafficking cases, rescued more than 54,121 victims, and arrested more than 25,000 traffickers from 2001 to 2005. Data suggests that the MPS resolves between 80 to 90 percent of the cases it registers annually. The MPS referred 3,144 out of 5,043 individuals, or 62.3 percent, for prosecution in 2004. In 2000, the courts sentenced more than 11,000 out of 19,000 individuals, or about 58 percent of those arrested, to punishment that included the death penalty. Between 2006 and March 2007, officials rescued at least 371 victims and arrested 415 traffickers.

Chinese regulatory documents and official statistics do not reflect China’s current trafficking situation. This disconnect has important implications for China’s anti-trafficking work, including prosecution efforts, protection of victims, and funding. Observers note that MPS data on trafficking are sometimes conflated with smuggling figures and reflect a continued lack of understanding by officials on the issue of trafficking. It is also unclear to what extent the rights of criminal defendants were upheld.

The Chinese government in recent years has announced a decrease in the number of trafficking cases registered by the MPS, a decrease in the number of trafficking cases adjudicated by the courts, and a reduction in the number of cross-border cases. Although the MPS stated that trafficking-related crimes in parts of China have been effectively contained based on the decreasing number of trafficking cases, the decrease is in fact due to fewer cases of abduction and selling of women and children. The MPS has also confirmed an increasing number of forced labor, commercial sexual exploitation, illegal adoption, gang-related, and cross-border trafficking cases in recent years.

There have been legislative proposals in recent years calling for the revision of Articles 241 and 244 of the Criminal Law to increase punishment for those who “purchase” trafficked women and children and those who directly force others to work by restricting their personal freedom. Party officials, scholars, and media arti-
cles have called for the revision of the Criminal Law, replacing the “trafficking of women and children” with the broader “trafficking of persons.”

TRANSPARENCY

Key information regarding the government’s anti-trafficking efforts is not readily available. The U.S. Department of State has noted that “Chinese government data is difficult to verify,” and government funding for anti-trafficking efforts and conviction data is not easily obtainable. The lack of key information makes it difficult for the public and other individuals to assess the government’s efforts in combating trafficking.

In an effort to increase public oversight and participation in government, and allow citizens access to government-held information, the State Council issued the first national Regulations on Open Government Information, which became effective on May 1, 2008. These regulations may allow individuals to request trafficking figures from the Ministry of Public Security (MPS) and local public security bureaus, but officials may use exceptions in the regulations to refuse the release of this information. Local government proposals to increase budget transparency may also provide accessible information to the public on the amount of government funding available for anti-trafficking efforts.
INTRODUCTION

In the year leading up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, Chinese central and local authorities stepped up efforts to locate and forcibly repatriate North Korean refugees hiding in China in violation of their commitments to these refugees under international law. The Chinese government intensified border surveillance, called on the North Korean government to tighten border security, and carried out periodic crackdowns against refugees and Chinese citizens who harbor them. The government routinely fines and imprisons Chinese citizens who provide material assistance or refuge to North Koreans.

BORDER CRACKDOWN: INSPECTIONS, SURVEILLANCE, AND FINES

In April 2008, Chinese public security agents conducted daily inspections of the homes of Chinese citizens of Korean descent living in villages and towns near the border. One resident reported that penalties for harboring refugees now include imprisonment and fines ranging from 8,000 to 10,000 yuan (US$1,150–1,445). A U.S.-based NGO that works along the border estimates on the basis of eyewitness reports that 30 percent of refugees have been caught and repatriated as a result of the recent house inspections. Recent interviews conducted with residents of the Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture (YKAP) in Jilin province found that local authorities were repatriating “several hundred” refugees per month. Chinese border agents have installed electronic sensors along the river to detect incoming refugees while reports of executions of outgoing and repatriated refugees by North Korean security agents have risen in 2008. In 2007, North Korea began construction of a 10-kilometer wire-mesh fence near the Chinese city of Dandong to deter would-be refugees, not far from where a fence was erected by Chinese authorities in late 2006. One Christian activist working along the border indicated that North Korea may have raised the salaries of border guards and installed senior guards along the border in an apparent effort to stop them from accepting bribes from refugees. At least one refugee account supports this claim by attesting to a recent tripling of the rate required to bribe border guards from 500 yuan (US$72) to 1,500 yuan (US$216).

The intensified crackdown against North Korean refugees by Chinese authorities has reportedly extended to harassment of religious communities along the border. The central government reportedly has ordered provincial religious affairs bureaus to investigate religious communities for signs of involvement with foreign co-religionists. In Yanbian, this campaign has resulted in the shutting down of churches found to have ties to South Koreans or other foreign nationals. Shelters for refugees set up to look like commercial lodging have also been raided and closed. To further persuade Chinese citizens to shun refugees, the government provides financial incentives to informants who disclose the locations of refugees. The YKAP government ordered in spring 2008 that the local departments of public security and religious affairs raise the incentive pay given to informants by 16-fold from 500 yuan to at least...
8,000 yuan (US$1,171), which is more than half the average annual income in China.\textsuperscript{12} The State Department reports that Chinese authorities continue to detain humanitarian activists who attempt to transport North Korean asylum seekers to third countries, and in many cases, charge them with human smuggling.\textsuperscript{13} Multiple checkpoints were set up in 2008 along the road from the border crossing at Tumen to Longjing and security agents have blocked the “underground railroads” that refugees use to travel from the border region to seek shelter at embassies in Beijing.\textsuperscript{14} Not only are Chinese authorities taking measures to prevent citizens from helping refugees who have crossed the border into China, but they reportedly are now placing restrictions on citizens who attempt to provide food to malnourished relatives and associates in North Korea. Chinese authorities have reportedly imposed strict limits on the quantity of food (200 kg) that Chinese citizens can transport to North Korea when they visit relatives or do business there.\textsuperscript{15}

**UNLAWFUL REPATRIATION**

In the past year, China’s unlawful repatriation of North Korean refugees continued.\textsuperscript{16} Plainclothes Chinese security agents carried out a massive raid in the city of Shenyang in Liaoning province on March 17, leading to the detention of around 40 North Korean refugees. Chinese authorities also detained four North Korean refugees on March 5 at a local restaurant in Shenyang and two others attempting to cross the Tumen River along the border.\textsuperscript{17} Researchers have found that the constant fear of arrest and deportation in China coupled with the experience of persecution and hunger in North Korea cause enormous psychological hardship for North Korean refugees. A recent large-scale survey concluded that many North Korean refugees “suffer severe psychological stress akin to post-traumatic stress disorder.” When asked which factors most fuel their anxiety, 67 percent of refugees answered “arrest.”\textsuperscript{18} Repatriated refugees routinely face the threat of arbitrary imprisonment, torture, and capital punishment upon return to North Korea.\textsuperscript{19}

As reported by the U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom, North Korean refugees face a dual threat of arrest by Chinese security agents and abduction by North Korean agents operating clandestinely on the Chinese side of the border.\textsuperscript{20} According to three former North Korean agents who defected to South Korea, North Korean authorities have instructed public security agents to infiltrate ethnic Korean churches in China and to capture refugees by posing as religious leaders or converts. These former agents also described how repatriated refugees are “brutally interrogated” by the counterintelligence department of the National Security Agency (bowibu), North Korea’s political police.\textsuperscript{21} Interrogations aim to determine if refugees had contact with South Korean churches or other Christian groups in China. Belief in Christianity is targeted as a political offense in North Korea, punishable by execution or an extended stay in a prison labor camp.\textsuperscript{22}
TRAFFICKING AND DENIAL OF EDUCATION

Female refugees must elude human traffickers in addition to Chinese and North Korean security agents. Lacking legal status or economic opportunities, North Korean women who cross the border are frequently picked up by traffickers and sold into marriage with Chinese nationals. In some cases, traffickers arrange for women to cross the border on the pretense that food and legitimate work awaits, but upon arrival in China, they are forced into prostitution or underground labor markets. Although the central government has taken some minor steps to address the trafficking problem along its borders with Vietnam and Burma, it continues to ignore North Korean trafficking victims and refuses to provide them with legal alternatives to repatriation. [See Section II—Human Trafficking.]

Another problem that stems from China’s unlawful repatriation policy is the denial of education and other public goods for the children of North Korean women married to Chinese citizens. Chinese law guarantees that all children born in China to at least one parent of Chinese nationality are afforded citizenship. It also decrees that all children who are six years old shall enroll in school and receive nine years of compulsory and free education, regardless of sex, nationality, or race. Chinese citizens married to women from North Korea cannot exercise this right on behalf of their children because the child must be added to the father’s household registration (hukou) in order to enroll for school. Some local authorities along the border reportedly refuse to perform hukou registration for the children without seeing documentation that the mother is either a citizen, has been repatriated, or has run away. This extralegal requirement imposed exclusively on the children of one Chinese and one North Korean parent by local authorities contravenes Chinese law and violates China’s commitments under international law.

REEMERGENCE OF FAMINE CONDITIONS

North Koreans who enter China do so for diverse reasons, which include fleeing from political oppression in some cases. Chief among these reasons is the pursuit of the basic necessities to survive, as North Korea suffers from chronic food shortages. Recent reports suggest that widespread hunger has reemerged as the food supply in North Korea has rapidly deteriorated to a level that could cause numerous hunger-related deaths if left unchecked. It is important to note that hunger and poverty as motivating factors for refugees are intrinsically linked to the prevailing political system in North Korea. Central authorities control food availability, and food distribution is carried out in accordance with the recipient’s perceived loyalty and utility to the ruling party. The fact that food deprivation is mandated by the North Korean political system, along with its treatment of repatriated refugees as criminals and traitors, undercuts China’s assertion that North Koreans who cross the border are “illegal economic migrants” and obligates China to provide North Koreans with unfettered access to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) for adjudication of their refugee status and swift resettlement. In 2008, however,
China not only continued to refuse to recognize the refugee status of North Koreans, it also pressured the UNHCR to deny assistance to North Korean refugees who reached Beijing in the lead-up to or during the Olympics.
Minister of Health Chen Zhu acknowledged for the first time in January 2008 that all persons had the right to basic healthcare regardless of age, gender, occupation, economic status, or place of residence. Chen also acknowledged that the allocation of funds had been “skewed” to favor large urban hospitals.\(^1\) Statistics for 2007 show that 16.7 percent of medical workers provide care in rural areas where 60 percent of China’s population lives.\(^2\)

Access to healthcare continues to be a significant challenge for the Chinese government. The government’s policy of fiscal decentralization and requiring hospitals to generate their own revenue has led to a drop in government funding of healthcare and a focus on generating sales profits by over-prescribing drugs.\(^3\)

Demographic changes in the last two decades, including an aging population and mass migration from rural to urban areas, have heightened strain on the healthcare system.\(^4\) Healthcare costs have soared and an increasing number of people cannot access medical care.\(^5\)

A survey conducted by China’s National Bureau of Statistics, released in January 2008, revealed that medical costs are the Chinese people’s top concern.\(^6\) Quality of care varies significantly among regions and income groups. Urban-rural gaps remain in health indicators such as life expectancy and maternal and infant mortality rates.\(^7\) Individuals in Guizhou province, for example, live on average 13 years less than persons living in Shanghai.\(^8\) Health insurance coverage varies widely between rural and urban areas.\(^9\) Participation in China’s Rural Cooperative Medical System (RCMS) does not guarantee affordable or quality healthcare because a low reimbursement rate, a lack of coverage for preventative or outpatient care, and inadequate medical resources present additional hurdles to adequate healthcare.\(^10\)

**HEALTHCARE REFORM**

China’s central government allocated 83.2 billion yuan (US$11.7 billion) in 2008 to “reform and develop” the health sector, with a particular emphasis on modernizing facilities at the urban community and village level.\(^11\) The 2008 funding level represented an increase from the 66.5 billion yuan allocated in 2007. The boost in expenditure followed the 2007 government announcement of plans to release a new national medical reform plan, and comes at a time of rising healthcare costs and a shortage of affordable healthcare.\(^12\) The government has not posted the draft plan for public comment, but held a meeting in April to hear opinions from selected individuals.\(^13\)

According to Vice Health Minister Gao Qiang, “the aim [of the plan] is to provide safe, effective, convenient, and low-cost public health and basic medical service to both rural and urban citizens.”\(^14\)

Goals mentioned in the reform plan include:

- Enroll all rural residents in the rural cooperative medical system by the end of 2008.
• Enroll all urban residents in the basic health insurance scheme by the end of 2010.
• Continue to improve medical services at the county, township, and village levels.
• Control drug prices and ensure their supply.
• Expand free immunization programs.

RURAL HEALTHCARE

The Chinese central government has announced plans to increase public spending on healthcare in rural and remote areas, with particular attention to China’s western and interior areas.

Rural Cooperative Medical System (RCMS) coverage increased by the end of 2007 to 730 million individuals, or 86 percent of the rural population, an increase of 35 percent over February 2007. Central and local governments planned to increase their 2008 RCMS contributions from 40 yuan to 80 yuan (US$11.52) per participant in an effort to attract more participants. Under the scheme, individuals will likely increase their contribution from 10 to as much as 20 yuan. The central government allocated 10.1 billion yuan for RCMS in 2007, an increase of 5.8 billion yuan from 2006.

URBAN HEALTHCARE

The Chinese government mandates employers to provide Basic Health Insurance (BHI). The government announced a plan to expand coverage to all urban residents on a trial basis in 2007. The plan is to enroll all urban residents in BHI by 2010. The plan would emphasize coverage of major illnesses for persons known to have greater need along with greater difficulty accessing healthcare services, including minors and the elderly.

The government established pilot BHI programs in 88 cities in 2007 and is implementing nearly triple that number in 2008 with the aim of expanding the total coverage area to 317 cities by the end of 2008. The official goal is to cover another 30 million non-working urban residents by the end of 2008. The Chinese government reports that 223 million of 500 million urban residents (44.6 percent) received BHI coverage in 2007, including 40.68 million non-working urban residents, an overall increase of 63 million from 2006. The average annual premium is 236 yuan for adults and 97 yuan for children.

A recent survey reportedly found that between October and December 2007, the number of patients who refused medical treatment out of fear of high costs decreased by 10 percent. The Chinese government reportedly provides financial assistance to those living in poverty.

HIV/AIDS

Chinese leaders’ concerns about uncontrolled citizen activism and foreign-affiliated non-governmental organizations (NGOs) limit the effectiveness of central government policies to combat the spread of HIV/AIDS. Official figures estimate that in 2007 there were 700,000 people in China with HIV, including 85,000 with AIDS, an increase over 2005 of 50,000 people with HIV.
Discrimination and social stigma against people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWH) remain rampant. For example, 55 percent of private sector survey respondents “strongly believed” PLWH should be segregated. A lack of trust between some local officials and PLWH and their advocates hinders cooperative efforts to reduce stigma. This is especially true in Henan province, the focal point of media attention surrounding unsanitary blood collection centers in the 1990s that were reportedly fueled by official complicity. While Henan officials have made free treatment available to PLWH, some officials remain hesitant and even hostile to working with NGOs.

The Chinese government continues to place restrictions on travel for persons who have or are suspected of having HIV/AIDS. Chinese citizens who live abroad for more than a year or work in the international transportation sector are required to take an HIV test. Foreigners planning to live in China for more than a year must also take an HIV test and present the results to a local public security bureau along with the rest of their application for a residency permit. The government has pledged to remove legal prohibitions preventing HIV carriers from entering China in 2009.

In spite of cooperative partnerships with international organizations and the private sector, the Chinese government continues to harass HIV/AIDS-related organizations, Web sites, and activists that it deems to be a threat. In the past year, officials cited legal measures and pressured third parties, such as Internet service providers, to block access to Web sites and restrict the rights of activists. Some examples include:

- In May 2008, the local public security bureau’s Internet surveillance division reportedly ordered the closure of the Web site of AIDS Museum run by HIV/AIDS activist Chang Kun because it contained information about “firearms and ammunition.” Shaanxi province officials shut down another of Chang’s Web sites, AIDS Wikipedia, from February 20 to March 12, 2008, reportedly because of an article about farmland confiscation on the site.
- Beijing Public Security Bureau’s Internet surveillance division asked Aizhixing Center in March 2008 to remove “illegal information,” specifically sensitive information about HIV/AIDS. The “illegal information” was an Aizhixing statement on human rights activist and HIV/AIDS advocate Hu Jia’s disappearance two years ago. Officials subsequently blocked access to the Web site for a period of time, and put Wan Yanhai, founder of Aizhixing, under 24-hour surveillance for four days.
- Officials ordered the cancellation of a conference scheduled for late July and early August 2007 in Guangzhou on the legal rights of those affected by HIV/AIDS. The conference would have brought together 50 Chinese and international HIV/AIDS activists and experts. Authorities reportedly thought the subject matter and the involvement of foreigners was “too sensitive.” [For more information, see Section III—Civil Society.]

Hepatitis B

China has approximately 120 million Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) carriers and some 300,000 people die annually from Hepatitis B-re-
lated diseases. Discrimination against HBV carriers remains widespread. Recent laws and regulations explicitly forbid employment discrimination against persons with infectious diseases, including HBV, and mandate a fine for violating employers. The Employment Promotion Law, which went into effect on January 1, 2008, prohibits employers from refusing to hire applicants on the grounds that they carry infectious diseases and allows workers to file a lawsuit against employers. The Regulations on Employment Services and Employment Management, which also went into effect on January 1, state that employers cannot reject applicants due to their HBV status or force employees or applicants to take an HBV test. Violating employers can be fined up to 1,000 yuan and sued.

HBV activists praised the Regulations on Employment Services and Employment Management. These new initiatives build on policy since 2004 that forbid discrimination against persons with infectious diseases. Legal prohibitions remain, however, that forbid HBV carriers from working in certain sectors such as the food industry.

HBV carriers, often working with legal advocacy groups, have brought employment discrimination lawsuits. Laws such as the Employment Promotion Law, which prohibits discrimination in employment, have played a role in the court's decision in at least one case. Many of these cases have resulted in court-ordered settlements or have brought about changes in policy and public awareness. In October 2007, China held its first national conference on HBV discrimination in Zhengzhou city, Henan province, which brought together over 50 civil rights activists and people living with HBV. [For more information on legal advocacy efforts and the results of several HBV discrimination cases in the past year, see box below.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-HBV Discrimination Cases and Advocacy Efforts in the Past Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>November 2007:</strong> A Foshan-based subsidiary of a Taiwanese company dropped its plan to test all its employees for Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) after Yirenping, a legal advocacy and support group, made the company's HBV testing plan public. Yirenping distributed fliers explaining that the mandatory testing was illegal and encouraged the company's employees “to protect their rights.” Local officials sent health inspectors to the company on the designated testing day, and issued a circular mandating punishment for any company that forced its employees to take an HBV test. The subsidiary agreed to forgo mandatory HBV testing in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>January 2008:</strong> In a court-mediated settlement, the Dongguan Municipal People’s Court ordered the Vtech Corporation to pay 24,000 yuan (US$3,494) to a job applicant denied employment on the basis of his HBV status. The applicant, a university graduate, applied for a job in 2006 and passed the company's recruitment exams, but was refused an offer of a position after his medical test showed he was HBV positive. This was the first HBV discrimination case heard in a Guangdong province court.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Anti-HBV Discrimination Cases and Advocacy Efforts in the Past Year—Continued

April 2008: The Shanghai Intermediate People’s Court mediated a settlement in favor of a job applicant whose employment offer was withdrawn due to his HBV status. The applicant had sued the Shanghai-based subsidiary of a Taiwanese company in February 2007 for 12,800 yuan (US$1,863) in potential earning losses and 50,000 yuan (US$7,277) in emotional damages. In October 2007, the Nanhui District Court awarded the applicant 5,000 yuan in compensation. Rejecting the award, the applicant appealed to the Shanghai Intermediate People’s Court, which heard the case in December. The Shanghai Health Bureau mandated that HBV testing no longer be routine for job applicants, adding that applicants can only be tested per their own request or if the employer can prove that the job is legally prohibited for HBV carriers.50

While there have been successful anti-HBV discrimination cases, the Chinese government continues to control HBV-related rights activism. In November 2007, Beijing authorities closed the largest online forum for HBV carriers, Gandan Xiangzhao (“In the Hepatitis B Camp”), citing the forum’s failure to apply for and set up a record with the Beijing Communication Administration (BCA).51 Web sites that provide medical treatment and health information services are required to seek approval from the Beijing Health Bureau and then submit an application with the BCA.52 An official reportedly told Lu Jun, the forum’s operator, that the forum was blocked because of the Olympics. People could access the site for a brief period after Lu changed the host to an overseas server but authorities blocked it again in May 2008.53

MENTAL HEALTH

Beijing’s Regulation on Mental Health, which took effect in March 2007, requires that reviews of involuntary admissions be completed “within three months.”54 In its 2007 Annual Report, the Commission noted that the three-month provision would enable security officials to remove individuals from the streets of Beijing to mental health facilities for the duration of the 2008 Olympic Games, or longer, and still be within the letter of the law.55 Chinese leaders expanded state supervision of mental health patients in Beijing and other cities during the Olympics. Patients staying in open-style wards were allowed to leave only at certain times under supervision.56

Other recent developments signal some improvement for the rights of persons with mental illness. In June 2008, a Shanghai labor dispute arbitration committee ordered IBM to pay 57,000 yuan in compensation for firing an employee after he was diagnosed with depression. The committee also ordered IBM to reactivate its contract with the employee.57 A Ministry of Health (MOH) circular released in April 2008 stipulates that hospitals must obtain approval from MOH before conducting neurosurgical operations to treat mental disorders or starting clinical research. In addition, each operation should be approved by the hospital’s ethical committee, with hospitals and doctors subject to punishment if they violate the circular.58
ENVIRONMENT

During the past year, the central government and Communist Party leadership have paid increasing attention to environmental protection. For example, the State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA) was upgraded to ministerial status in March. The number of staff of the newly renamed Ministry of Environmental Protection’s (MEP) office in Beijing increased from 250 to 300, and three departments were added in July to monitor pollution, control total emissions, and conduct educational outreach. Although it is not yet clear if the status upgrade will lead to heightened decisionmaking and enforcement power for the historically weak and resource-challenged environmental protection agency, the MEP’s “bark” is getting louder. In mid-September, the MEP warned the leaders of the 21 provincial-level governments that they would be held personally responsible for failing to clean up China’s major rivers and lakes.

Creating an incentive structure at the local level that encourages environmental protection has been a challenge for the central government. The central government stipulated last year, however, that 60 percent of all local officials’ career prospects will be tied to their environmental protection efforts on a five-year basis. Local officials who fail to meet their targets will become ineligible to receive promotions. MEP and other agencies also released a 35-billion-yuan five-year plan in 2008 to improve the enforcement capacity of environmental bureaus, including upgrades in existing monitoring and emergency response systems.

China’s environmental crisis has emerged in recent years as one of the country’s most rapidly growing causes of citizen activism. Last year, the Commission noted that participation in environmental protests has risen in recent years, particularly among urban middle-class residents; this trend continued in 2008. Official responses to environment-related activism included suppression of citizen protests, as well as limited steps to increase public access to information. Urban middle-class residents showed an increased willingness to protest injustice and malfeasance, as demonstrated by the protests against a chemical plant in Xiamen, the Shanghai maglev train extension, and a Chengdu chemical plant. [For more information on the Xiamen, Shanghai and Chengdu protests, see box below.]

GOVERNMENT TRANSPARENCY AND THE “GREEN OLYMPICS”

The Beijing Organizing Committee for the Games of the XXIX Olympiad pledged to make preparations for the 2008 Olympic Games transparent; however, observers voiced concerns over the difficulty in accessing information on pollutants and charting Beijing’s progress toward achieving its bid commitments. Beijing promised in its Olympic bid to achieve objectives in the city’s environmental master plan three years ahead of schedule, with the completion of 20 major projects by 2007. Beijing’s bid also promised that air quality would meet World Health Organization (WHO) standards and that the city’s drinking water, which it said met WHO standards, would continue to be protected. While Beijing fulfilled many of its commitments, Chinese academics and other ex-
perts questioned the completeness and accuracy of the government’s air pollution data.13 For example, one analyst contends that the Beijing Environmental Protection Bureau (EPB) dropped monitoring sites in locations with poor air quality in order to boost its overall air quality figures.14

It remains to be seen whether the Chinese government’s efforts to meet the environmental targets for the Olympics will lead to long-term improvements in environmental protection. Amid criticism that Beijing’s air pollution data did not include ozone and PM_{2.5} (i.e., particulate matter that is 2.5 micrometers or smaller in diameter), the Beijing EPB announced in August 2008 that it may begin monitoring the two pollutants next year.15

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND PROTESTS RELATED TO THE ENVIRONMENT

This past year, the government took limited steps to increase public access to environmental information. In May 2008, the Measures on Open Environmental Information (Measures) became effective, along with national open government information (OGI) regulations.16 The Measures standardize the disclosure of environmental information by government agencies and enterprises, and provide the public with the right to request government information.17 The Measures also encourage enterprises to voluntarily disclose information and require EPBs to compile lists of enterprises whose pollution discharge exceeds standards.18 Citizens have already begun making information requests to EPBs, but how responsive officials will be remains to be seen.19 Incentives for local governments to attract investment could hinder EPBs from receiving the funding they need to implement the Measures. The Measures also prohibit EPBs from disclosing information that involves state secrets, an exception that gives the government broad latitude to withhold information from the public.20 In terms of implementation, the ministry appears understaffed, with only three staff responsible for open government information.21

Public protests over environmental degradation have increased in recent years. An official noted in 2006 that there were more than 51,000 disputes relating to environmental pollution in 2005, and that mass protests involving pollution issues had risen 29 percent per year in recent years.22 Urban middle-class residents have shown an increased willingness to protest environmental injustice and malfeasance.23 These protests have largely not involved environmental non-governmental organizations (NGOs), but rather groups formed ad hoc through blogs, text messaging, and Internet chat rooms.24 In contrast with protests in urban areas, rural protests are more likely to end in violent clashes with public security officials.25 The public has succeeded in several protests, such as the protest against the Xiamen plant, to halt construction of a project. In cases where citizen activists succeeded, local or higher-level officials also opposed the project.26 In most cases, participants initially sought other ways to resolve their grievances, such as through petitions or requests for more information from the government.27 When these methods failed to elicit a response, participants took to the streets to protest.
Environmental Protests

Hazardous Chemical Plant Protest in Xiamen

Officials in the southeastern port city of Xiamen, Fujian province, planned to build and operate a 300-acre 10.8-billion-yuan (then US$1.4 billion) hazardous chemical (paraxylene or “PX”) plant. In March 2007, central government officials criticized the project’s safety. Officials in Xiamen did not publicize these concerns, however, and made sure local media touted the project’s economic benefits. A local resident who became aware of the concerns used his blog to organize opposition to the PX plant, telling readers the plant would hurt the local property market and tourism industry. Word spread quickly over the Internet. In a city of less than three million people, individuals sent out approximately one million text messages in May 2007 objecting to the plant’s construction. Real estate prices in the Haicang district began to fall as public concern increased.

On May 29, Xiamen leaders briefed the Fujian provincial party committee about the project’s status and public concern surrounding the project. On May 30, a Xiamen official announced that construction on the project would be halted. Demonstrations, nonetheless, still occurred on June 1 and 2 that involved thousands of people “taking a stroll,” demanding that government stop the project completely rather than simply suspend it.

The State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA) announced on June 7, 2007, that an expert committee would carry out environmental impact assessments (EIA) for key regions and industries to ensure that development in these areas took environmental factors into consideration. Acting on SEPA’s recommendation, the Xiamen government announced on the same day that the project’s construction would depend on a planning EIA of Haicang district. In response to the experience in Xiamen and other places, SEPA announced in March 2008 that the draft Planning EIA Regulation would be reviewed and released possibly at the end of 2008. The planning EIA, in contrast to a project EIA, would consider the environmental impact of major projects on a larger area.

The Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, charged with performing the EIA, published its report on December 5, which concluded that the Haicang district was “too small . . . for the diffusion of atmospheric pollution.” An abridged version was posted for public comment and citizens were allowed to send their comments via Internet, mail, and telephone over the next 10 days. Two government-organized public forums were held on December 13 and 14. Nearly 90 percent of the 107 public citizens and 14 out of 15 of the local people’s congress and CPPCC members who attended the forums voiced their disapproval of the PX plant project. The citizens were randomly selected during a live drawing on TV from a pool of 624 people who had signed up to participate. Critics were allowed to observe the selection process. An online poll on the Xiamen government’s Web site on whether the plant should be built was disabled after the first day due to “technical difficulties.” A Xiamen official noted that the poll was disabled because the technical setup allowed people to vote more than once. Voting from the first day indicated that over 90 percent of the 58,000 votes were against the plant’s location in Xiamen.
### Environmental Protests—Continued

A Xiamen deputy secretary-general noted that the transparency during the selection process was a first for Xiamen, and that public participation would probably continue in the future for important projects but not for lesser ones. An environmentalist noted that: “This is the first time public opinion was properly expressed through official channels and had an impact on government policies.”

Fujian provincial and Xiamen municipal governments agreed at the end of 2007 to relocate the plant to Fujian’s Gulei Peninsula, near Zhangzhou city, pending approval from the central government and the project’s investor. Xiamen Mayor Liu Cigui confirmed in March 2008 that a relocation of the plant is “likely.”

Shortly thereafter, rumors circulated that the PX plant would be moved to Gulei Peninsula, and local environmental activists started passing out fliers documenting risks associated with the plant. These developments reportedly led to decreases in real estate prices and an increase in citizen concern over their health and livelihoods, since many in the area depended on fishing for their income. From February 29 to March 3, 2008, initially peaceful protests involving thousands of people took place in several fishing towns, but at times the protests turned violent as protesters clashed with public security officials. Several people were injured and public security officers took approximately 15 people into custody. No official announcement has been made regarding the plant’s status at the time of writing. A Guangzhou Daily writer noted, however, that “any victory has its cost, and this triumph by Xiamen residents merely transfers the cost of victory to the Gulei Peninsula, to Zhangpu county farmers who lack a strong public voice.”

### Shanghai Residents Protest Maglev Extension

Suburban Shanghai residents publicly objected to the proposed extension of Shanghai’s high-speed magnetic levitation (maglev) train. The Shanghai government planned to extend the line by 20 miles through Shanghai. During the first half of 2007, homeowners close to the proposed route demonstrated, hung banners, and signed petitions protesting the plan, expressing concern about electromagnetic radiation, noise pollution, and the adverse effect of the rail line on their home property values. Protesters earned a temporary reprieve in May 2007 when the local government announced that the project would be suspended. A Shanghai People’s Congress official was reported as saying that the public’s concern about radiation was one of the reasons the project had been stopped. In December 2007, the government posted a new route proposal on an obscure Web site. In January 2008, thousands of residents gathered in Shanghai’s People’s Square, many carrying signs and chanting slogans against the maglev extension.
Environmental Protests—Continued

Like protesters in Xiamen, the loosely organized Shanghai residents preferred to call their gathering a “collective walk” rather than a protest. Their grievances included concerns about not only radiation, noise, and threatened property values, but also the lack of public consultation regarding the project proposal. The project appears to be on hold at the moment. It was not included on Shanghai’s list of projects for 2008, and its ultimate fate remains unclear.

Protest in Chengdu: Taking a “Stroll”

About 200 people “strolled” the streets of Chengdu, the capital of Sichuan province, on May 4, 2008, to protest the operation of a nearby ethylene plant and crude oil refinery. The peaceful two-hour protest arose out of concern that the factories would pollute Chengdu’s air and water and would affect the health of residents. Construction had not yet started on one plant, while the other plant had already been built. Some individuals noted that the plants would bring jobs and development to the area, and would boost ethylene-related production. Others expressed concern that the project had not passed proper environmental procedures, such as an environmental impact assessment and a public hearing. The building of the crude oil refinery was approved by the National Development and Reform Commission on April 21, 2008. In the aftermath of the earthquake that hit Sichuan on May 12, officials have reportedly decided to review the project after factories in the area experienced chemical leaks.

Protesters organized through Web sites, blogs, e-mails, and cell phone text messaging. They called the event a “stroll” to avoid having to apply for a permit, which officials rarely grant. Dozens of public security officials accompanied the protesters, photographing and videorecording the protest. Following the protest, officials detained one organizer for using the Internet to start rumors and incite a disturbance and two more people for participating in an illegal demonstration. They warned others for disseminating harmful information on the Internet. Authorities detained Chen Daojun on May 9 for suspicion of inciting “splittism,” a crime under Article 103 of the Criminal Law, after he published an article on a foreign Web site calling for a halt in construction of the chemical plant, citing environmental concerns. Officials brought other individuals into police custody for questioning and beat at least one person. They also deleted some of the protesters’ online articles.

ENVIRONMENTAL TOLL OF THE SICHUAN EARTHQUAKE

Although it is too early to assess the full environmental consequences of the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake, some of the environmental effects and challenges were apparent shortly after the earthquake hit. According to the World Resources Institute, the most pressing concerns are disposal of debris from buildings destroyed by the earthquake, ecosystem and habitat loss, water contamination, and destruction of arable land.

Moreover, numerous chemical factories, as well as nuclear facilities and research sites, are located in the quake region. As of late May, experts reportedly identified 50 buried radioactive “sources,”
apparently primarily from materials used in hospitals, factories, and laboratories. While 35 of the 50 “sources” had been moved to safe areas, the remaining 15 were inaccessible. Although Chinese officials assured the public that the nuclear facilities in the quake region were all safe, and a global network of sensors supported by the United Nations detected no radioactive leaks in the quake region, some nuclear scientists expressed doubts, because Beijing was silent with respect to details about specific facilities. More than 100 chemical plants are located in the quake zone, and according to the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) approximately 75 percent of the plants stopped production because of damage after the earthquake. The environmental and health consequences of several accidental leaks and spills reported as of late May remain unclear. Chinese officials and others have also voiced concerns about weakened and cracked dams in the quake region. Furthermore, the MEP reported that the environmental monitoring system in the quake region was severely damaged, further complicating the task of protecting the local environment.

In late June, the MEP reported that the drinking water and air in Sichuan and other areas affected by the quake had been tested and found to be safe. In light of the earthquake, the National Development and Reform Commission is rethinking a plan to expand Sichuan’s nuclear industry, which had included construction of a nuclear power station 200 kilometers from the epicenter of the earthquake.
In bidding for the 2008 Summer Olympic Games, China explicitly tied its hosting of the event with human rights. Hours before the International Olympic Committee (IOC) announced in July 2001 that it would award the Olympics to China, Beijing Mayor and Beijing Organizing Committee for the Games of the XXIX Olympiad President Liu Qi told IOC members that the Olympics “will help promote our economic and social progress and will also benefit the further development of our human rights cause.”\(^1\) As winner of the bid, Chinese officials agreed to be bound by Olympic documents that included commitments relating to press freedom for foreign journalists, the environment in Beijing, and protection of Olympic intellectual property.\(^2\) [See Section II—Environment—Government Transparency and the “Green Olympics” for more information on the environmental commitments.] In 2002, Chinese officials issued an Olympic Action Plan, which though not binding, made broad claims about how China would prepare for the Olympics, including that it would be “open in every aspect to the rest of the country and the whole world.”\(^3\)

**DETERIORATION IN HUMAN RIGHTS BEFORE AND DURING OLYMPICS**

The Chinese government and Communist Party’s determination to host a successful Olympics and ensure a “positive” image before the event led to an overall deterioration in freedom of expression, freedom of religion, and other human rights, particularly this past year. Restrictions on domestic media increased in order to create a “positive” public opinion environment for the Olympics.\(^4\) Officials detained and harassed vocal critics of the government and Party, targeting individuals who had tied their criticism with China’s hosting of the Olympics, made critical comments to foreign reporters or foreign officials, or sought to defend groups out of favor with the government.\(^5\) [See Section II—Freedom of Expression.]

In the period just before the Olympics, officials sought to ensure that persons they deemed to be potential “troublemakers” left Beijing, remained in their homes, or were kept under closer watch. In July 2008, Radio Free Asia reported that security officials had ordered activists Qi Zhongyong and Jiang Qisheng, and legal scholar Zhang Zuhua, to leave Beijing for the Olympics, tightened surveillance of rights defense lawyers Li Fangping and Zheng Enchong, former China Democracy Party member Zha Jianguo, and Yuan Weijing, wife of imprisoned legal advocate and rights defender Chen Guandeng, and kept Jia Jianying, wife of imprisoned democracy activist He Depu, confined to her home.\(^6\) Beijing public security officials detained thousands of petitioners, while local and provincial officials reportedly sent personnel to the capital to repatriate, sometimes forcefully, residents who had come to Beijing to petition the government.\(^7\) Shanghai public security officials reportedly barred dissidents from leaving Shanghai and banned them from speaking to foreign reporters.\(^8\) In September 2006, Beijing officials dismissed allegations that the city was proposing to expel one million migrant workers during the Olympics, but migrant workers reported in July 2008 that authorities were ordering them...
to leave Beijing. In February 2008, Beijing officials said that no one had been forcibly relocated due to construction of Olympic venues, but residents and non-governmental organizations reported forced relocations, allegations of embezzled compensation, and lack of notice and public participation in the relocation process.

Officials targeted religious practitioners and ethnic minorities. To prevent any disruption of the Olympic torch relay as it passed through parts of the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region in June 2008, officials reportedly detained thousands of citizens and required Muslim religious officials to receive “political education” on “protecting” the Olympics. Unregistered religious communities reported increased harassment and abuse in the run-up to the Olympics. Officials expelled Pastor Zhang Mingxuan, president of the Chinese House Church Alliance, from Beijing in July, then detained him for 23 days and barred him from returning to Beijing until after the Paralympics ended in September. Security officials also implemented a widespread campaign to round up and intimidate Falun Gong practitioners nationwide. [See Directives and Measures Related to Falun Gong and the Olympics in this section.]

China announced in July 2008 that it would set up protest zones for the Olympics but Beijing’s Public Security Bureau reported on August 18 that of the 77 applications received, 74 had been withdrawn and none had been approved. Officials reportedly harassed or detained several citizens who had applied to protest. After two women in their late seventies applied to protest the government’s alleged failure to compensate them for demolishing their homes, officials sentenced them to one year of reeducation through labor for disturbing public order. Human Rights in China reported in late August that officials later rescinded the decision.

COMMITMENT TO FOREIGN JOURNALISTS

Chinese officials failed to fully implement temporary regulations granting foreign journalists greater freedoms before and during the Olympics. Officials had issued the regulations, effective from January 2007 to October 2008, promising there would be “no restrictions” on foreign journalists reporting on the Olympics. In its 2007 Annual Report, the Commission recommended that Members urge Chinese officials to live up to this commitment, and noted at the time that fulfillment had been “incomplete at best.” Over the past year, foreign journalists reported occasions where access improved, most notably just after the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake, but overall, harassment appeared to worsen. Officials barred foreign journalists from covering the Tibetan protests that began in March 2008, and also prevented them from covering protests by grieving parents after the earthquake.

In July 2008, the Foreign Correspondents Club of China (FCCC) stated that the Chinese government “has not yet lived up to its Olympic promise.” As of September 11, FCCC had reported 176 incidents of “reporting interference” against foreign journalists in 2008, (including 60 cases during the Olympic period that began with the opening of the Olympic media center on July 25), more than the total reported for all of 2007. FCCC and Human Rights
Watch also noted intimidation of journalists’ sources and Chinese colleagues. As the Olympics approached, authorities took other measures to limit the activities of foreign journalists, including tightening control over the selection of Chinese citizens who work for foreign journalists, proposing limits on live coverage from Tiananmen Square and the Forbidden City, and blocking access to Web sites in press facilities for foreign journalists at Olympic venues.

On October 17, 2008, a Chinese foreign ministry spokesperson announced the State Council’s issuance of the Regulations of the People’s Republic of China on News Covering Activities of the Permanent Offices of Foreign News Agencies and Foreign Journalists, which make permanent freedoms introduced under the temporary Olympic regulations. Prior to the Olympic regulations, rules from 1990 required foreign reporters to obtain the approval of a local foreign affairs office before reporting outside of Beijing, a process that sometimes took days. Like the Olympic regulations, the new regulations allow journalists to travel to much of China for reporting without prior approval and require that they only obtain the consent of the individual or organization to be interviewed. The spokesperson noted, however, that government approval would still be required for travel to the Tibet Autonomous Region and other areas closed to foreign reporters. The new regulations do not affect the status of domestic journalists, who continue to be subject to the same restrictions as in the past, with no sign that officials are considering any measures to grant them greater freedom.

INCREASED REPRESSION IN XINJIANG DURING THE OLYMPICS

Officials in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) reiterated a pledge in August 2008 to use harsh security measures to crack down against the government-designated “three forces” of terrorism, separatism, and extremism. On August 13, Wang Lequan, XUAR Communist Party Chair, described the battle against the “three forces” as a “life or death struggle” and pledged to “strike hard” against their activities. XUAR Party Committee Standing Committee member Zhu Hailun reiterated the call to “strike hard” at an August 18 meeting. The announcements followed the release of limited information on terrorist and criminal activity in the region and came amid a series of measures that increased repression in the XUAR. The measures build off of earlier campaigns to tighten repression in the region, including efforts to tighten control as the Olympic torch passed through the region in June. Reported measures implemented in the run-up to and during the Olympics include:

- **Wide-scale Detentions.** Authorities have carried out wide-scale detentions as part of security campaigns in cities throughout the XUAR, according to a report from the Uyghur Human Rights Project. Reported measures include “security sweeps” resulting in mass detentions in the Kashgar area and Kucha county, including blanket detentions in Kucha of young people who have been abroad; the detention of non-resident Uyghurs in Korla city; the forced return of Uyghur children studying religion in another province and their detention in
the XUAR for engaging in “illegal religious activities”; and the detention of family members or associates of people suspected to be involved in terrorist activity.

• Restrictions on Uyghurs’ Domestic and International Travel. Authorities reportedly continued to hold Uyghurs’ passports over the summer, building off of a campaign in 2007 to confiscate Muslims’ passports and prevent them from making overseas pilgrimages, according to reports from overseas media. Authorities also coupled restrictions on overseas travel with reported measures to limit Uyghurs’ travel within China.

• Controls Over Religion. XUAR officials have enforced a series of measures that ratchet up control over religious practice in the region, according to reports from Chinese and overseas sources. Authorities in Yengisheher county in Kashgar district issued accountability measures on August 5 to hold local officials responsible for high-level surveillance of religious activity in the region. Also in August, authorities in Peyziwat county, Kashgar district, called for “enhancing management” of groups including religious figures as part of broader government and Party measures of “prevention” and “attack.” The previous month, authorities in Mongghulküre county, Ili Kazakh Autonomous Prefecture, called for strengthening management of religious affairs; inspecting all mosques and venues for religious activity; curbing “illegal” recitations of scripture and non-government-approved pilgrimages; and “penetrating” groups of religious believers to understand their ways of thinking. Authorities in Lop county, Hoten district, have been forcing women to remove head coverings in a stated effort to promote “women for the new era.” Authorities have also continued to enforce measures to restrict observance of the Muslim holiday of Ramadan, which, in 2008, took place in September.31

• Controls Over Free Expression. Authorities in the XUAR ordered some Uyghur Web sites to shut down their bulletin board services (BBS) during the Olympics, according to Radio Free Asia. In a review of Uyghur Web sites carried out during the Olympics, Commission staff found that BBS on the Web sites Diyarim, Orkhun, and Alkuui had been suspended. The BBS Web page on Diyarim contained the message, “[L]et’s protect stability with full strength and create a peaceful environment for the Olympic Games[!] Please visit other Diyarim pages[.]” The message on the BBS Web page on Orkhun stated, “Based on the requirements of the work units concerned, the Orkhun Uyghur history Web site has been closed until August 25 because of the Olympic Games.”

• Inspections of Households in Ghulja. Authorities in the predominantly ethnic minority city of Ghulja searched homes in the area in July in a campaign described by a Chinese official as aimed at rooting out “illegal activities” and finding residents living without proper documentation, according to Radio Free Asia.
DIRECTIVES AND MEASURES RELATED TO FALUN GONG AND THE OLYMPICS

In April 2008, the central government 6–10 Office issued an internal directive to local governments nationwide mandating propaganda activities to prevent Falun Gong from “interfering with or harming” the Olympics. References to the directive appear on official Web sites in every province and at every level of government. Most official reports focus on demonstrating that local authorities have stepped up security and fulfilled the requirement to “educate” target audiences on the directive’s content. Local authorities distributed the directive widely in an effort to raise public awareness. References can be found on various Web sites ranging from public entities with indirect relations with the state (state-run enterprises, public schools, universities, parks, TV stations, meteorological bureaus, etc.) to commercial and social entities with no obvious ties to the state. Anti-Cult Associations also actively circulated and promoted the 6–10 Office’s Olympic directive.

Olympic and municipal officials in Shanghai and Beijing also issued directives pertaining to Falun Gong in the lead-up to the Olympics. The Shanghai Public Security Bureau sent a warning to Falun Gong practitioners and other dissidents in April 2008 demanding that they remain in the city during the Olympics and report to the public security office at least once a week until the end of October. The notice threatened to detain or punish anyone who violates the order. In November 2007, Beijing Olympic organizers reminded visitors to the games that possession of Falun Gong writings is strictly forbidden and that no exceptions would be made for international visitors. The Beijing Public Security Bureau issued a public notice offering a reward of up to 500,000 yuan (US$73,100) for informants who report Falun Gong plans to “sabotage” the Olympics. From January to June 2008, public security agents reportedly arrested at least 208 practitioners from all 18 districts and counties in Beijing municipality. Falun Gong sources have documented the names and other information for 141 of the 208 practitioners who were detained in Beijing, 30 of whom are now reportedly being held in reeducation through labor camps with sentences as long as two-and-a-half years.

Chinese security officials made statements prior to the Olympics that sought to link Falun Gong with terrorist threats, but produced no evidence to substantiate these claims. Tian Yixiang, head of the Military Affairs Department of the Beijing Olympics Protection Group, listed Falun Gong among the groups that might “use various means, even extreme violence, to interfere with or harm the smooth execution of the Olympic Games.” Li Wei, Chairman of the Center for Counterterrorism Studies at the quasi-official China Institute of Contemporary International Relations, categorized Falun Gong as among the top five terrorist threats to the Games. [See Section VI—Developments in Hong Kong for coverage of protest and dissent in Hong Kong during the 2008 Olympic Games.]
III. Development of the Rule of Law

CIVIL SOCIETY

INTRODUCTION

The Chinese government has strengthened control over civil society and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), especially in the run-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games. Although the government has acknowledged the contributions of civil society organizations (CSOs), especially in the aftermath of the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake, legal constraints and heightened surveillance continue to limit civil society activities in China.

LEGAL CONSTRAINTS

Political and economic reforms since the late 1970s have created more space for citizen participation in society. Chinese citizens, often unsatisfied with government response to rising social problems, have learned to pursue justice through self-help and self-organizing.

There were 387,000 registered civil society organizations (CSOs) in China, including 3,259 legal aid organizations by the end of 2007, up from 354,000 in 2006 and 154,000 in 2000. To obtain NGO status, organizations must have a sponsor organization, i.e., a government or a Communist Party organization, to support the initial registration, and apply to a government department for review and approval. Although the government controls registered organizations to some degree, some NGOs are still able to operate with certain independence.

The constraints on NGO registration are inconsistent with the right to freedom of association as defined by Article 22 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), of which China is a signatory. They also lead many organizations to operate without formal legal status. Some grassroots NGOs have had to register as commercial entities and have been unable to solicit funding or receive donations.

The majority of NGOs in China, regardless of their registration status, cannot engage in fundraising activities because charity-related laws only allow a small number of government-approved foundations to collect and distribute donations. This restriction has posed significant challenges in the aftermath of the May 2008 Sichuan earthquake when unprecedented donations overwhelmed the government. The small number of government-approved foundations and the government’s limited capacity to manage funds have obstructed relief operations and resulted in public outcry for charity reform.

For years, the Ministry of Civil Affairs has considered legal reforms to regulate the civil society sector, including the management and registration of NGOs as well as their charity activities. In 2008, officials reportedly held consultative meetings to draft amendments to the 1998 Regulations on the Registration and Management of Social Organizations. Nevertheless, the government remains wary that stronger NGOs and civil society will reduce its control over society.
INTOLERANCE OF NGO ACTIVISM

The Chinese government systematically restricts the development of civil society. It has heightened surveillance of NGO advocates since a series of democratic revolutions in other parts of the world in 2005.20 The government’s crackdown on civil society organizations intensified in the lead-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games,21 silencing voices of dissent in the name of national security and social stability.22

The Chinese government and Communist Party ban activities of certain CSOs, such as political parties and religious groups independent of government control, and cracks down on their leaders.23

- Authorities reportedly have harassed non-Communist political party leaders such as Guo Quan, the Acting Chair of the New People’s Party and a former scholar in Nanjing,24 and members of the China Democracy Party including Yue Tianxiang,25 Xie Changfa,26 and Huang Xiaoxin.27
- In July, China Aid Association reported that Beijing police forced Zhang Mingxuan, president of the Chinese House Church Alliance, and his wife to live on the streets after Zhang met with a U.S. Congressional delegation.28 Authorities later detained Zhang and his wife two days before the opening of the Olympics.29
- Officials ordered China Development Brief, a Beijing-based non-profit online publication that reports on civil society news and connects NGOs in China, to discontinue its Chinese edition in July 2007.30 Later in September 2007, officials denied the re-entry of Nick Young, founder of the publication, citing Article 12 of the Immigration Law.31
- In the area of HIV/AIDS, officials curbed the activities of organizations and activists.32
  - The Xincai People’s Court convicted Wang Xiaqiao, an AIDS activist from Henan province, of “extortion” and sentenced her to one year in prison on August 12.33 Wang had been detained since November 27, 2007, when petitioning to the Henan government for her husband, who contracted HIV/AIDS through a blood transfusion.34
  - In May, authorities reportedly ordered the closure of the “AIDS Museum” Web site, www.aidsmuseum.cn, a platform for HIV/AIDS information exchange.35
  - Police reportedly harassed HIV/AIDS activist Wan Yanhai in May during the U.S.-China Human Rights Dialogue. Wan was put under 24-hour police surveillance for four days. Several other human rights activists reportedly had similar experiences during the same time.36
  - Public security officials sentenced human rights activist Hu Jia, who has advocated on behalf of people living with HIV/AIDS, to three years and six months in prison for “inciting subversion of state power” on April 3. [See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants for more detailed information about Hu Jia.37]
  - Officials banned a conference scheduled for late July and early August 2007 in Guangzhou on the legal rights of those infected with HIV. The conference would have
brought together 50 Chinese and international HIV/AIDS activists and experts. One of the conference organizers, the New York-based Asia Catalyst, suggested that authorities canceled the conference because the subject matter and the involvement of foreigners were “too sensitive.”

Leading HIV/AIDS experts and advocates from around the world submitted an open letter dated September 27, 2007, to the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) expressing concern over Chinese government actions against the AIDS work of Chinese NGOs and advocates, including Li Dan. State security officials held Li, founder of the China Orchid AIDS Project and winner of the 2005 Reebok Human Rights Award, in custody in Beijing for 24 hours on July 26, 2007. The China Orchid AIDS Project was the co-organizer of the canceled conference in August.

[See Section II—Worker Rights, Freedom of Religion, Status of Women, and Environment for more information.]

ROLE OF BUSINESS SECTOR

China encourages the business sector to engage in civil society activities and to support NGOs. The Public Welfare Donations Law and the Corporate Income Tax Law encourage public and corporate donations by providing tax benefits. As a result, international and domestic companies made significant contributions to relief efforts in the aftermath of the Sichuan earthquake. In addition to charitable giving, China also sets policy guidelines to encourage corporate social responsibility. Since 2005, President Hu Jintao’s “Harmonious Society” vision had promoted the widespread adoption of corporate social responsibility initiatives in China. While Chinese companies recognize certain human rights, they reflect government positions more explicitly in their human rights policies. Chinese government also compels corporations, including the Internet and media companies, to assist in censorship to restrict freedom of expression.

[See Section II—Freedom of Expression for more information.]
INTRODUCTION

During 2008, China implemented some limited reforms to increase public participation, including greater public involvement in the selection of officials in some localities. However, the Chinese Communist Party’s monopoly on political power remains firmly intact. Reforms have not removed barriers to the formation of competing political parties or an independent judiciary. Thirty years after the launch of the reform era and nearly 60 years after the founding of the People’s Republic of China, the basic structure of China’s government—an authoritarian political system controlled by the top leaders of the Communist Party—remains unchanged.

The Communist Party exercises control over government and society through networks of Party committees, which exist at all levels in government, legislative, judicial, and security organs; major social groups (including unions); enterprises; and the People’s Liberation Army. Party committees formulate all major state policies before the government implements them. Party secretaries who chair Party committees simultaneously hold corresponding government positions, retaining final decisionmaking authority on most issues. The vast majority of government leadership positions remain the exclusive domain of Party members, with a few token non-Communist officials relegated to mostly symbolic positions.

FORMAL GOVERNMENT ELECTIONS: “GRASSROOTS DEMOCRACY”

In the 20 years that have now passed since the Chinese government introduced direct elections at the village level, the gradual expansion of elections to higher levels of government has largely stalled. The direct election of officials by ordinary Chinese citizens remains narrow in scope and strictly confined to the local level. None of the Party or government officials at the municipal, provincial, or national level are directly elected by Chinese citizens. Chinese citizens are formally permitted to directly elect officials for just three types of local governing institutions: villagers committees in rural areas, residents committees in urban areas, and local legislatures—known as People’s Congresses—at the township and county levels. In 2001, the Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party declared that directly electing a township head was unconstitutional.

In light of the restrictions imposed from the center, some townships have experimented with models of indirect elections that provide for a limited degree of public participation and a greater degree of rank-and-file party participation in the selection of township leaders. Elections of villagers committees occur regularly every three years, and in 2008, elections were scheduled to be held for about half of the more than 620,000 villagers committees across China. Since 1995, the Party has experimented with reforms that allow a limited degree of citizen participation in the selection of local Party cadres, but the Party retains tight control over the candidate pool and the selection process. For example, by 2002, a few thousand townships had participated in a model of indirect elections known as “open recommendation and selection” (gongtui gongxuan). This approach to township elections allows any adult
resident in a community to declare his or her candidacy for township head, but direct participation in the process essentially stops there for the general public. The residents committee is responsible for narrowing the pool of candidates to two finalists from whom the local People’s Congress chooses the winner in a caucus. In total, approximately 200–300 people typically participate in the selection process under “open recommendation and selection.”

Following the 17th Party Congress in October 2007, a number of localities have utilized the notion of “inner-party democracy” to promote movement toward a more participatory model of local Party leadership election. The most prominent of these efforts is a new pilot project called “open recommendations, direct elections” (gongtui zhixuan). This method of conducting elections is characterized by the adoption of “three recommendations, two announcements, and one election.” Candidates are first recommended by rank-and-file Party members, the local Party organization, and most importantly, the general public. Second, the residents committee evaluates the qualifications of the recommended candidates and publicly announces the candidates they have approved to run in the “election.” Finally, at the local Party convention, all Party members in attendance—not just Party leaders or representatives—cast ballots to determine the final winner(s).

In 2007–2008, reports of localities introducing the “open recommendations, direct elections” pilot project surfaced from provinces ranging from the relatively affluent (Shanghai, Guangdong) to the generally underdeveloped (Guangxi). In Guizhou province, the Party Committee Secretary for a small township was directly elected by 234 local Party members who attended an election rally in April 2008. The secretary was chosen among two final candidates who were selected from a pool of 35 total candidates through “such procedures as eligibility screening, theory examinations, open recommendation rallies, and focal-point inspections.” In September 2007, direct election of township officials was administered for the first time in seven townships simultaneously in Yunnan province. In January 2008, Ningbo city in Zhejiang province became the first city in the nation in which all of the city’s neighborhoods practice “direct elections” of residents committees. A village in Hunan’s Xiangxi Autonomous Prefecture held that area’s first “no-candidate direct election” for five village leadership positions in May 2008. The Ministry of Civil Affairs defines a “no-candidate” election as one in which no candidates are predetermined prior to election day and any individual villager can nominate himself or herself for consideration.

Some localities have also established mechanisms aimed at soliciting the views of the general public on issues of governance. A village in Anhui province instituted a “villager discussion system” in which the second weekend of every month is designated as a time when villagers meet to “discuss, deliberate, and evaluate” current issues before the community in the presence of leaders from the villagers committee. The county of which this township is a part has also opened a “villager discussion room” in each of its 120 administrative villages where villagers can meet with leaders at times outside of the designated weekend. A similar “villager deliberation system” exists in a small mountain village in Jiangxi in which
elected villager representatives can introduce proposals and make critiques of village affairs and cadre performance.\textsuperscript{17} Since 2004, another county in Jiangxi has gradually expanded an inner-party voting system in which town and village authorities discuss “matters of great concern within the party” and put them to a vote by secret ballot among all Party members and some villager representatives. In 2007, such votes were reportedly held on 1,128 matters throughout the county with 1,017 of the ballot decisions fully adopted and executed by the authorities.\textsuperscript{18}

Although to a lesser extent than many counties and townships, some municipal governments also rolled out new initiatives aimed at broadening civic participation in governance. The Nanjing city government, in particular, took two steps that were largely unprecedented. First, 16 candidates vying for 4 positions in the city government—directors of the labor, drug surveillance, tourism, and government administration bureaus—participated in the country’s first televised debate in April 2008. The candidates each gave a five-minute speech and answered questions. The studio audience of more than 240 people, reportedly from diverse backgrounds, was allowed to comment and vote on the candidates. Three candidates with the most votes for each position were then “recommended” to the Nanjing Party Committee and its Standing Committee for final selection.\textsuperscript{19} Second, Nanjing authorities decided in late 2007 to allow migrant workers to stand for election as deputies to the county and township people’s congresses for the first time.\textsuperscript{20}

\textbf{TAKING A “SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE” TO THE NEXT LEVEL: “SPECIAL POLITICAL ZONES?”}

In recent years, the city of Shenzhen in Guangdong province, a bustling metropolis of 10 million people bordering Hong Kong, has proven to be a dynamic center of political experimentalism. The Shenzhen municipal government has been proactive in promoting the “open recommendation, direct elections” model throughout every district in the city with direct elections occurring in 80 percent of resident committees.\textsuperscript{21} In 2005, Yantian district became the first in the nation to directly elect residents committees that were legally defined as grassroots autonomous mass organizations.\textsuperscript{22} Luohu district has also distinguished itself by conducting so-called “double elections” whereby Party rank-and-file members are allowed to directly elect representatives to the district Party branch as well as its secretary and deputy secretary.\textsuperscript{23}

A number of Shenzhen city officials and academics have called for the transformation of Shenzhen into a “special political zone” that would serve as a democratic laboratory in the same way that it served as a capitalist laboratory in the beginning of the reform era.\textsuperscript{24} In March 2008, the Guangdong Party Secretary rejected that proposal as too radical and instead encouraged Shenzhen to focus on building “socialist democracy”—the Party’s watchword for allowing marginal public participation in politics while preserving its monopoly on power.\textsuperscript{25} Undeterred, the Shenzhen Municipal Party Committee approved a “breakthrough” reform plan three months later, which if implemented, could require that multiple candidates for mayor be presented for a vote before the local People’s Congress, introduce competitive elections for members of that body,
expand its supervisory powers over the executive, and promote judicial independence. Shenzhen’s reform plan did not include a timetable for implementation and it is unclear if central authorities will permit reforms to go as far as the proposal recommends. The Commission will monitor closely and assess the progress that Shenzhen achieves toward this end.

THE 17TH PARTY CONGRESS

A sustained program of significant political reform was absent from the agenda of the 17th Party Congress in October 2007. Instead, the Party focused largely on setting the stage for a likely leadership transition in 2012 and reaffirming the importance of pursuing sustainable economic growth through Party General Secretary Hu Jintao’s “scientific development concept.” “Inner-party democracy,” a recurring idea in the rhetoric of the current Chinese leadership, dominated the statements regarding political reform at the Congress. Hu appeared to open the door for incremental political reforms at the local level when he declared that the Party would “spread the practice in which candidates for leading positions in primary party organizations are recommended both by party members and the public in an open manner and by the party organization at the next higher level, gradually extend direct election of leading members in grass-roots party organizations to more places, and explore various ways to expand inner-party democracy at the primary level.”

Any chance that the Party might allow movement toward true political pluralism or show greater tolerance for organized political dissent was lost by Hu’s call to “firmly uphold the centralized and unified leadership of the party.” Less than a month after the Party Congress, Hu’s message was echoed in the text of a White Paper published by the State Council entitled “China’s Political Party System.” The document praised China’s “multi-party cooperation system” that preserves the Communist Party’s absolute dominance and “replaces confrontation and contention with cooperation and consultation” so as to safeguard “social and political stability and solidarity.” It concludes with a final assessment that the “multi-party cooperation system” is “inevitable, innovative, and superior.” The so-called “multi-party cooperation system” refers to seven nominal political parties that the Communist Party permits to exist in a subordinate role at the margins of the political process. The Communist Party has strongly repressed attempts to organize independent parties outside of these seven, such as the China Democracy Party. Another State Council White Paper, the first ever on the rule of law, was published in February 2008. It also emphasizes that the Communist Party is “always” the “core” leadership, which has “consolidated” its “ruling position” through promoting and adhering to the law. To the extent that the 17th Party Congress appeared to approve of greater political participation at the local level, the available evidence suggests that it aims to make China’s authoritarian system more sustainable rather than creating space for a potential challenger to the Party’s legitimacy.
In his report at the 17th Party Congress in October 2007, President and Party General Secretary Hu Jintao said the Party must “expand the citizens’ orderly participation in political affairs at each level and in every field” and that “in principle, public hearings must be held for the formulation of laws, regulations and policies that bear closely on the interests of the public.” In April 2008, the National People’s Congress Standing Committee (NPCSC) announced that draft laws under its consideration would “in general” all be made public for review. The State Council issued an opinion in May calling on city and county governments to solicit the public’s input in formulating policies that “have a close relationship to interests directly affecting the people.” Local governments continued to pass measures establishing procedures for public participation at hearings. According to a central government news organization in January, more than 70 percent of county-level governments had set up procedures for holding public hearings or panel discussions to solicit input on proposed laws. The official China Daily reported in October a proposal in Gansu province to allow citizens to directly propose legislation. Official media cast these initiatives in a positive light.

At the national level, the NPCSC’s decision to make draft laws public has led to publication of some major laws. Xinhua reported that on the same day NPC officials announced the new policy, a draft of a new food safety law was made public. Chinese law, however, grants the NPCSC the power to draft only certain laws, while the NPC itself has the direct power to enact and amend basic laws such as important criminal or civil legislation. Thus, much anticipated amendments to the PRC Criminal Procedure Law, which officials have been considering revising for several years, would not have to be made public in draft form under the policy introduced in April.

One new regulation that authorities never released in draft form for public comment, according to one observer, is the new open government information (OGI) regulation that took effect in May 2008. The OGI regulation is intended to increase public access to government information, but lacks a clear presumption of disclosure. The regulation’s “state secrets” exception and penalties for failure to “establish and perfect” procedures for making secrecy determinations may encourage officials to err on the side of non-disclosure instead of open government information. Furthermore, on the eve of OGI’s effective date, the central government further broadened officials’ discretion to withhold information when it issued an opinion saying officials could deny requests for information not related to the requesting party’s “production, livelihood and scientific and technological research.” This introduction of an apparent purpose test differs from earlier local-level OGI regulations and international practice. Initial reports from Mainland Chinese and Hong Kong media indicated that some officials were being evasive or uncooperative in handling requests for information.

Local measures providing for public hearings empower local officials to decide which members of the public may attend or offer tes-
Xiong Lei, a former editor at Xinhua, wrote in the July 2008 issue of the China Society for Human Rights Studies’ magazine that this created problems since the government sponsor’s “independence is questionable.” She also said that provisions for public participation had been “sporadically written” into some laws and that “public participation is mostly witnessed in hearings on pricing, legislation and some administrative moves, but is absent in many projects immediately affecting people’s life, like land leasing, neighborhood renovation and city renewal projects.” Local measures also provide little guidance on what weight to give input from hearings. One local measure stipulated that hearing summaries, which are prepared by the government sponsor, should be an “important reference” for policymaking. According to mainland Chinese and Hong Kong media reports, at two public hearings in December 2007 concerning public opposition to the construction of a chemical plant in Xiamen [see Section II—Environment], officials selected citizens randomly during a live drawing on television from a pool of 624 people who had signed up to participate. Officials also allowed observers to monitor the selection process. Following the hearings, officials decided to move the plant, and the China Daily admonished local officials in January 2008, saying that if they had “invited local residents to weigh in on the matter before the plan had been approved, all the troubles might have been avoided.”
COMMERCIAL RULE OF LAW

INTRODUCTION

As a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO), China is bound by commitments outlined under both the WTO agreements and China's accession documents. These commitments require that the Chinese government ensure non-discrimination in the administration of trade-related measures, and prompt publication of all laws, regulations, judicial decisions, and administrative rulings relating to trade. Over the past year, concerns have persisted over China's continued deviation from WTO norms in both law and practice. China's uneven implementation of its WTO commitments pursuant to its obligations as a member of the WTO have led to multiple WTO challenges against China. [See box at the end of this section.]

The new PRC Anti-Monopoly Law, which took effect in August 2008, heralds the potential for structural change that may have a significant impact on the development of commercial rule of law in China. China's new National Strategy for Intellectual Property, on the other hand, is similar to China's past approaches to the enforcement of intellectual property protection, which have been largely unsuccessful. Implementing measures related to land and property rights, and enterprise income tax also had an impact on China's development of the commercial rule of law in the last year. Tightened visa restrictions in the period around the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games impacted operations across commercial sectors significantly.

China has taken steps over the last year to increase opportunities for interested parties to become aware of and engaged in the development of new laws and regulations, and the formulation of amendments to existing laws and regulations. The National People's Congress (NPC) and China's State Council Legislative Affairs Office (SCLAO) separately took steps to establish public notice-and-comment systems for proposed laws and regulations. On April 21, 2008, the NPC announced that in principle the NPC Standing Committee would release the full text of draft laws, on its Web site, for public comment. [See Section III—Institutions of Democratic Governance, Public Participation in Lawmaking.] This announcement helped to formalize a process that the NPC had practiced on select laws over the last year (for instance, on a new draft Food Safety Law in March, and on the latest draft of the proposed new patent law, which the NPC posted on its Web site with an invitation to comment on September 8, 2008; in a similar vein, the Supreme People's Court released a draft interpretation of the PRC Property Law for public comment on June 16, 2008). In addition, the SCLAO committed, as part of ongoing work on transparency under the U.S./China Strategic Economic Dialogue (SED), to publish on its Web site all trade- and economic-related administrative regulations and departmental rules that are proposed for adoption, and to provide a public comment period of not less than 30 days from the date of publication. Comment procedures are intended to afford interested parties, including both foreign and domestic firms and trade associations, some limited opportunities to offer input prior to implementation. China must ensure full and consistent im-
plementation and institute additional efforts to fully develop strong rule of law before these steps may be deemed positive.

ANTI-MONOPOLY

The new PRC Anti-Monopoly Law (AML) took effect on August 1, 2008, but the text of the law did not fully specify who would be responsible for its enforcement. The law created an Anti-Monopoly Commission under the State Council but the government did not announce until earlier this year that three government entities would share responsibility for enforcement: the Ministry of Commerce, the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC), and the State Administration for Industry and Commerce (SAIC). The Anti-Monopoly Commission's role is to coordinate the enforcement activities of these three entities.

Competition matters previously were dealt with under provisions in China's 1993 Anti-Unfair Competition Law and 1997 Price Law. The AML codifies doctrines of state action that have prompted some experts, both in China and outside, to liken it to a "new Economic Constitution."

There appear to have been several motivations for issuing the AML. One main motivation appears to have been to strengthen the legal foundation for China's transition to a market economy. Some legislators reportedly perceived a need to curb government power and combat local protectionism. The AML has an entire chapter devoted to "Abuse of Administrative Powers to Eliminate or Restrict Competition." At the same time, the AML also contains a provision that seemingly grants privileges to state-owned enterprises (SOEs) operating in sectors important to national security and the economy. Other Chinese legislators reportedly feared that superior access to capital might enable foreign firms to attain dominant positions in specific industries in China. However, this concern does not appear to have been the main motivation for the law.

Within a month after the AML took effect, there were reports of at least four antitrust cases filed (though not necessarily accepted), including cases that targeted a state agency, and also a petition filed asking the government to open an investigation against Microsoft. In addition, a number of implementing rules and regulations remain in the pipeline. The United States has provided technical assistance funding to the American Chamber of Commerce in China to increase dialogue and cooperation with Chinese enforcement officials during the law's implementation.

The AML includes a national security provision as follows:

If the merger with or acquisition of domestic enterprises by foreign investors or other forms of concentration involving foreign investors concerns national security, in addition to the review of concentration of undertakings in accordance with the provisions of this Law, it shall be examined for national security review in accordance with relevant regulations of the State.

The ultimate impact of this provision, whether negative or positive, remains unclear. Attorneys practicing in China are watching to see whether the approval of some mergers may be conditioned on commitments to licensing. Guidelines issued by the Supreme
People’s Court at the time the AML took effect indicated that antimonopoly civil cases would be decided by the intellectual property divisions of people’s courts. This was motivated in part to take advantage of these divisions’ relative professionalism and sophistication compared with other courts, specifically their familiarity with complex legal, technical and economic matters.\textsuperscript{23}

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY**

The State Council issued its Outline of the National Intellectual Property Strategy (National Strategy) on June 5, 2008.\textsuperscript{24} The National Strategy calls for the establishment of mechanisms to coordinate bureaucracies with overlapping responsibilities, but does not fully specify plans to achieve those goals.\textsuperscript{25} There is little that addresses the need for coordination between administrative authorities, who handle most intellectual property (IP) enforcement in China, and public security bureaus—a need that was highlighted in this year’s United States Trade Representative Special 301 Report.\textsuperscript{26} Finally, the National Strategy’s call for “innovation” appears at odds with its rhetoric of “self-reliance.” Its introduction of the new concept of “self-reliant innovation” may suggest tensions at the top over the future direction of China’s IP policy. If such tensions are more pronounced than before, they may have negative implications for China’s ability to perform on its stated commitments to improve IP enforcement going forward.

The language in which the National Strategy presents China’s commitments on matters related to intellectual property rights (IPR) enforcement is excessively vague. Vague pledges by themselves will not achieve the objective of fulfilling IPR commitments. Pledges to “strengthen” the system, and make it “sound” and “effective” do not lend themselves well to objective assessment of China’s performance in fulfilling (or failing to fulfill) its commitments to enforce IPR. For instance, the National Strategy mentions the protection of trade secrets, stating that “the behavior of stealing trade secrets should be severely punished in accordance with law.”\textsuperscript{27} However, it says little else to specify in detail how this objective is to be implemented or achieved effectively. As a result, to protect trade secrets, firms must rely heavily on provisions governing employee non-competition agreements in the new PRC Labor Contract Law, which has been in effect only since January 2008, and implementing regulations which were issued only in September.\textsuperscript{28}

The National Strategy is the work of the National Working Group for Intellectual Property Rights Protection, which was established in 2005 for the purpose of coordinating IP policies among 12 government agencies and ministries that make IP-related policy. The Working Group has 13 members, including officials from the Ministry of Commerce, State Intellectual Property Office, Customs, Supreme People’s Court, and State Administration for Industry and Commerce. In addition to promising amendments to China’s patent,\textsuperscript{29} trademark, and copyright laws, the National Strategy proposes to investigate the possible establishment of specialized IP courts, including a central IP court in Beijing for cases involving highly technical matters, and a special court for IP appeals.\textsuperscript{30}

The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) concluded in April that “rampant counterfeiting and piracy prob-
lems have continued to plague China,” noting that the “goal of significantly reducing IPR infringement throughout China has not yet been achieved.” USTR has argued that, under China’s criminal IPR thresholds, its prosecutors and judges cannot, as a matter of law, act in ways that allow China to meet its obligations under the WTO’s Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) Agreement. USTR also has argued that China’s customs regulations do not grant Chinese customs officials the authority or discretion to act in accordance with the TRIPS Agreement, and China’s Copyright Law conflicts with China’s obligations under the TRIPS Agreement. In material submitted before a WTO panel in April 2008, USTR argued that “the safe harbors from criminal liability created by China’s high thresholds for criminal liability (i.e., minimum values or volumes required to initiate criminal prosecution, normally calculated on the basis of the infringer’s actual or marked price) continue to be a major reason for the lack of an effective criminal deterrent. These safe harbors are among the matters for which the United States has requested WTO dispute settlement with China.”

Most IPR enforcement in China is handled by administrative authorities, not courts, and, because administrative fines are low, they amount to no more than a cost of doing business for IPR infringers, instead of as deterrents to infringing activity. Difficulties in initiating and transferring cases for criminal prosecution and low civil damages also contribute significantly to inadequate IPR enforcement, and also offer little to deter infringement. IPR enforcement at the local level in China also is hampered by poor coordination among government departments, local protectionism, lack of training, non-transparent processes, and corruption. As a result, piracy and counterfeiting levels in China remain high even as the number of IPR cases in Chinese courts increases. USTR notes, for example, that China’s May 2006 Regulations on the Protection of Copyright Over Information Networks, fail to implement fully the World Intellectual Property Organization Internet Treaties to which China acceded last year. According to USTR, “(u)nauthorized retransmission of live sports telecasts over the Internet is reportedly becoming an increasing problem internationally, particularly in China.”
IPR and Ethnic Minority Economic Development

In Guizhou province, authorities are drafting legislation that treats the indigenous knowledge possessed by ethnic minority citizens as intellectual property.\(^{38}\) The Guizhou provincial Intellectual Property Office has been developing an IPR-based regulatory system for traditional know-how related to the cultivation of special forms of rice, medicinal plants, and other knowledge, such as embroidery techniques, for which there is known market potential. Officials have publicized the effort as part of China’s national efforts to strengthen and align IPR enforcement in China with international standards and with China’s international obligations. They also have cast it as development policy for the benefit of ethnic minority citizens.\(^{39}\)

Specialists in sustainable development note that the most recent (August 2008) draft of China’s proposed new patent law is silent on traditional knowledge. “As it stands, the draft provides no safeguards, no restrictions, against outright ‘biopiracy’ in terms of traditional knowledge.”\(^{40}\) To the extent that the ability to patent inventions based on traditional knowledge appeals to investors, and their prohibition may turn potential investors away, the Chinese government may not wish to prohibit patents on traditional knowledge. Moreover, some development specialists note that, “a lot of groups, especially indigenous peoples, don’t want to see patent law extended to traditional knowledge.”\(^{41}\)

The application of IP to traditional knowledge may give farmers leverage over companies wishing to market local products produced with traditional know-how. At the same time, it is not clear whether Chinese law does or can effectively address methods for deciding whether IP rights and royalties must be shared among communities or collectives in a manner that protects the rights of ethnic minorities.\(^{42}\) It remains to be seen whether the “protection” of ethnic minorities’ traditional knowledge in the long-run will safeguard ethnic minority rights.\(^{43}\)

FOOD AND PRODUCT SAFETY

In August 2007, after a series of safety scares involving Chinese products worldwide, China’s Commerce Minister called for a “Special War” against unsafe food and inferior product quality.\(^{44}\) A food safety crisis in September 2008 involving tainted milk products (milk, milk powder, infant formula, cake, candy, and chocolate) that have killed at least four children and sickened more than 60,000 others, illustrated the ineffectiveness of China’s “Special War.” The Commission’s 2007 Annual Report included extended coverage of the significant challenges China faces in the area of food and product safety.\(^{45}\) Regulatory fragmentation, insufficient oversight, and the censorship practices of the Chinese government and Communist Party have contributed to a sharp rise in domestic and international concern over food safety and product quality problems in China.

On September 15, 2008, Xinhua announced the arrest of individuals involved in the contamination, sale, and distribution of tainted milk products on charges of “producing and selling toxic and hazardous food” under the PRC Food Hygiene Law.\(^{46}\) In addition, Xinhua reported on September 22 that the director of China’s General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quar-
antine had stepped down, and that the Communist Party chief and mayor of Shijiazhuang, Hebei province were sacked. The Party chief reportedly “was removed for delaying the reporting of the issue to higher authorities and incompetence” in accordance with the PRC Civil Servants Law and the State Council Regulation on the Punishment of Civil Servants of Administrative Organs. These measures provide that administrative officials who fail to fulfill their duties and cause avoidable severe accidents as a result face removal and punishment.

The government reportedly said that Sanlu “had first received complaints about its powder in March 2008 and had recalled some products but delayed reporting the problems to the government or the public.” A Central Propaganda Department directive reportedly sent to newspaper editors in June included restrictions on coverage of politically sensitive topics during the Olympics, saying that coverage of “all food safety issues . . . is off-limits.”

China’s food safety and product quality problems do not stem from a failure to legislate on the issue, but rather from duplicative legislation and ineffective implementation. China’s legislation on the issue includes, for example, the Food Hygiene Law, Product Quality Law, Agricultural Product Quality Safety Law, Consumer Rights Protection Law, State Council Rules on Strengthening Supervision and Management of Food Safety, and National Plan for Major Food Safety Emergencies. These laws and regulations create overlapping portfolios and less than clear lines of responsibility among multiple regulatory actors, including the State Administration for Industry and Commerce, the State Food and Drug Administration, the General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine, the Standardization Administration, the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Agriculture, and the Ministry of Commerce. A new draft Food Safety Law that aims to consolidate the regulatory and legislative landscape governing food safety and product quality, and that provides for penalties up to life imprisonment, was released for comment in April 2008 and debated in August 2008. It is now reportedly being revisited in light of the present food safety crisis.

LAND AND PROPERTY

Arable land is perhaps China’s most important non-renewable resource. But it is rapidly shrinking as a result of urbanization and the conversion of farmland to industrial use. Land-use efficiency and the conservation of undeveloped land have motivated the government’s efforts in the last year to clarify procedures concerning interests in land and related property. The PRC Property Law, which took effect on October 1, 2007, consolidated China’s various laws affecting both public and private property. Property in China heretofore had been governed by a diffuse network of legal provisions distributed across several laws (primarily the General Principles of Civil Law, the Land Administration Law, the Urban Real Estate Administration Law, the Law on Rural Land Contracting, and the Securities Law). [See the Commission’s 2007 Annual Report for discussion of the Property Law.]
New Land Registration Measures,\textsuperscript{55} which were issued in December 2007, and took effect February 1, 2008, effectively serve as implementation rules for key provisions in the Property Law.\textsuperscript{56} Under Land Registration Rules issued in 1995, there were separate registration systems, at separate government departments for buildings and the land on which they were built. Property disputes and illegal property transfers have been attributed to the confusion caused by this dual-registration system. The new Land Registration Measures address the confusion prompted by the dual-registration system by requiring that registration of both buildings and land now be handled by a single local government authority.\textsuperscript{57} This is significant in part because, under Article 16 of the Property Law, registration is the method through which interests in land are created.\textsuperscript{58} Another significant related development in the last year was the implementation of the PRC Urban and Rural Planning Law, issued in October 2007, and effective January 1, 2008,\textsuperscript{59} replacing the PRC Urban Planning Law.\textsuperscript{60} The new Urban and Rural Planning Law brings rural land within China’s land planning system, such that all rural land use now must comply with official government planning department plans. Finally, in June, the Supreme People’s Court published a draft judicial interpretation of the PRC Property Law for public comment.\textsuperscript{61}

\textbf{EXCHANGE RATE POLICY}

The Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) state that “each member shall . . . avoid manipulating exchange rates or the international monetary system in order to prevent effective balance of payments adjustment or to gain an unfair competitive advantage over other members.”\textsuperscript{62} While the yuan has appreciated against the dollar since mid-2005, it remains significantly undervalued. The United States and other IMF members have continued to urge China to change its exchange rate policy. Some prominent economists and former IMF officials have taken the view that “China’s exchange rate and related policies are in clear violation of Article IV Section 1(iii) [of the IMF Articles of Agreement].”\textsuperscript{63} The Deputy Director of the Asia and Pacific Department of the IMF has concluded that, “[b]ecause China has persisted in heavily managing its exchange rate, it has created for itself a major problem with macroeconomic control.”\textsuperscript{64} China’s regular intervention in the exchange market to resist appreciation of the yuan has been “persistent, one-way, and growing in size,” according to leading economists who gathered in Washington, DC, to debate China’s exchange rate policy in October 2007.\textsuperscript{65}

\textbf{ENTERPRISE INCOME TAX}

The new PRC Enterprise Income Tax Law, issued in March 2007, and Implementing Regulations issued in December 2007, both took effect on January 1, 2008. The new law consolidates the two tax regimes set forth under the PRC Foreign Investment Enterprise and Foreign Enterprise Law (1991) and the Interim Measures of Enterprise Income Tax (1993).\textsuperscript{66} Until now, separate tax regimes for domestic and foreign invested enterprises had been an important part of China’s overall scheme for attracting foreign investment. The new Enterprise Income Tax Law’s regime is more indus-
try focused. Industry-based incentives favor companies engaged in advanced technology, environmental protection, agriculture, utilities, water conservation, high technology, forestry, animal husbandry, fisheries and infrastructure construction, venture capital, and enterprises supporting disadvantaged groups.67

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WTO Disputes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Automobile Parts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On July 18, 2008, following complaints by the European Communities, the United States, and Canada regarding China’s legal and administrative measures affecting imports of automobile parts, the WTO Dispute Resolution Body (DSB) ruled against China, in its first legal defeat since its accession to the WTO.69 The panel found that Chinese measures “ accord imported auto parts less favorable treatment than like domestic auto parts.”70 Specifically, Chinese tax measures on imported auto parts were found to result in unfair competition and violated international trade rules. China appealed the ruling in September 2008.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Publications and Audiovisual Entertainment Products** |
| In March 2008, the WTO Director-General composed a dispute-settlement panel in relation to a dispute in which the United States challenged legal and administrative measures issued by the Chinese government that “restrict trading rights with respect to imported films for theatrical release, audiovisual home entertainment products (e.g., video cassettes and DVDs), sound recordings and publications (e.g., books, magazines, newspapers, and electronic publications); and “certain measures that restrict market access for, or discriminate against, foreign suppliers of distribution services for publications and foreign suppliers of audiovisual services (including distribution services) for audiovisual home entertainment products.”72 The panel announced on September 22, 2008, that it expects to issue its final report in February 2009.73 |
WTO Disputes—Continued

Financial Information Services

Also in March 2008, the United States and European Union requested consultations in a dispute pertaining to several legal and administrative measures issued by the Chinese government that empower China’s state-run Xinhua News Agency to act as the regulatory and approval authority for foreign news agencies and for foreign financial information providers in China.\(^74\) Xinhua requires foreign suppliers to operate in China only through agents designated by Xinhua, and does not permit them directly to solicit subscriptions for their services in China.\(^75\) The measures in dispute have permitted Xinhua to designate a branch of Xinhua as the only agent, and to make the renewal of foreign financial information suppliers’ licenses conditional upon the signature of agent agreements with the Xinhua branch. The measures also have permitted Xinhua to require, as a condition of license renewal, the release by foreign suppliers of detailed and confidential information concerning their financial information services and their customers and detailed information regarding their financial information services contracts with foreign suppliers.

The United States, European Union, and Canada contend that individuals within Xinhua appear to be participants in Xinhua’s commercial activities that compete with foreign service suppliers, and that “China thus appears to have failed to provide a regulatory authority that is separate from and not accountable to a service supplier that authority regulates.” Because the Chinese measures impose market access restrictions and discriminatory requirements on foreign firms in China, the United States contends China has failed to live up to its commitments under various provisions of the General Agreement on Trade in Services, the WTO’s Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement, and China’s Protocol of Accession.\(^76\) Canada requested consultations in a similar dispute in June 2008,\(^77\) which the United States requested to join in July 2008.\(^78\)

Intellectual Property

In December 2007, the WTO Director-General composed a panel in relation to a dispute in which the United States challenged deficiencies in China’s intellectual property rights (IPR) protection and enforcement regime attributable to weaknesses in China’s legal institutions and systems of policy implementation.\(^79\) The DSB expects to issue a Panel Report in this dispute in November 2008.\(^80\) [See Intellectual Property in this section above.]
WTO Disputes—Continued

Subsidies

In July 2007, the United States and Mexico requested the establishment of a panel to review Chinese export and import-substitution subsidies prohibited by WTO rules. The United States and Mexico alleged that a number of legal and administrative measures issued by the Chinese government provide refunds, reductions, or exemptions to enterprises in China on the condition that those enterprises purchase domestic over imported goods, or on the condition that those enterprises meet certain export performance criteria. In December 2007, China and the United States informed the WTO's Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) that they had reached an agreement in this dispute, in the form of a memorandum of understanding. In February 2008, China and Mexico informed the DSB that they also had reached an agreement in a similar dispute.

ADDENDUM: U.S. JOINT COMMISSION ON COMMERCE AND TRADE

On September 15–16, 2008, U.S. Commerce Secretary Carlos M. Gutierrez and U.S. Trade Representative Susan C. Schwab, together with Chinese Vice Premier Wang Qishan, held the 19th U.S.-China Joint Commission on Commerce and Trade (JCCT) at the Richard M. Nixon Presidential Library and Museum in Yorba Linda, California. U.S. Secretary of Agriculture Ed Schafer also participated. This meeting marked the 25th anniversary of the founding of the JCCT in 1983. The JCCT is a high-level government-to-government forum for addressing trade and investment issues. At the 19th JCCT, a number of agreements were reached on issues of concern to American businesses in several areas including intellectual property rights (IPR) protection, healthcare, agriculture, and information security, among others. On IPR protection, China and the United States agreed to continue pursuing cooperative activities on such issues as: IPR and innovation, including China's development of guidelines on IPR and standards; public-private discussions on copyright and Internet piracy challenges, including infringement on user-generated content sites; reducing the sale of pirated and counterfeit goods at wholesale and retail markets; and other issues of mutual interest. On healthcare, China agreed to remove remaining redundancies in testing and certifying imported medical devices, and the United States and China agreed to continue cooperation to close loopholes that allow the sale of bulk chemicals to downstream drug counterfeiters. On agriculture, China lifted avian influenza-related bans on poultry imports from six U.S. states—Connecticut, Nebraska, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and West Virginia—and agreed to work jointly to address remaining bans on poultry from Virginia and Arkansas; and China agreed to immediately allow seven U.S. poultry processing plants to resume exports to China. On information security, China announced that it will delay publication of final rules on information security certification that would have potentially barred several types of U.S. products from China’s market, pending further mutual discussion of issues related to information security.
Chinese citizens continue to face obstacles in seeking remedies to government actions that violate their legal rights. External government and Communist Party controls continue to limit the independence of the judiciary. During the past year, local government and Party officials have stepped up the intimidation and harassment of human rights lawyers and advocates, and lawyers have been pressured not to take on “sensitive” cases.

Chinese law includes judicial and administrative mechanisms that allow citizens to challenge government actions, including administrative litigation in courts and administrative reconsideration in government agencies. Chinese law also permits citizens to petition the government through the xinfang ("letters and visits") system. Chinese authorities, however, impose punishments on local officials based on the mere existence of petitions in their jurisdiction. Local officials face heavier punishments for petitions involving greater numbers of people and petitions directed at higher levels, creating an incentive for petitioners to organize large-scale petitions to pressure local officials to act. At the same time, it gives local authorities an interest in suppressing mass petitions and preventing petitioners from approaching higher authorities.1

International human rights standards and access to justice

International human rights standards require effective remedies for official violations of citizen rights. Article 8 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights provides: “Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.”2 Article 2 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) requires that all parties to the ICCPR ensure that persons whose rights or freedoms are violated “have an effective remedy, notwithstanding that the violation has been committed by persons acting in an official capacity.”3

Access to legal representation and harassment of lawyers

China’s Measures on the Payment of Litigation Costs4 lowered litigation fees, and the Measures for the Administration of Lawyers’ Fees5 helped to regulate price gouging by attorneys. At the same time that China has promoted efforts to expand legal assistance to citizens, however, the government also has harassed, intimidated, or detained lawyers and other human rights defenders who challenge government abuses. As shown by an extensive study conducted by Human Rights Watch, violence and intimidation by the Chinese government and Communist Party directed against lawyers has become extreme.6

Criminal defense lawyers are vulnerable to prosecution for the crime of “falsifying evidence” under Article 306 of the Criminal Law, which Human Rights Watch observes has been used to intimidate and threaten lawyers.7 Criminal defense lawyers also face significant obstacles in representing their clients, including lack of access to detained suspects and defendants, lack of access to case
files, and limitations on their ability to collect evidence. [See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants.] The legal profession is not independent, and the Ministry of Justice has authority over lawyers, law firms, and bar associations. Government authorities have used their control over the annual renewal of lawyers’ licenses to punish and intimidate lawyers who take on sensitive cases.

For example, according to Chinese Human Rights Defenders (CHRD), 30 Tibetans who were detained and tried in April 2008 after the March protests were denied their right to have legal assistance of their own choosing. Twenty-one lawyers who, in an open letter posted on the Internet, had volunteered free legal representation to detained Tibetans, received warnings from Chinese authorities not to take on such cases. The Tibetan defendants were reportedly represented by government-appointed attorneys at trial. Teng Biao, a well-known legal activist and law professor, told the South China Morning Post, “The relatives of the defendants [were] under even bigger pressure than us. They didn’t dare to come to us.” CHRD reported that most of the 21 lawyers were summoned by authorities for questioning and threatened with punishment if they persisted in attempting to represent the Tibetans. Many were placed under police surveillance, and the process for annual renewal of their lawyers’ licenses (usually completed by the end of May) was suspended. All of the lawyers except Teng Biao eventually had their licenses renewed. Teng Biao told Agence France-Presse in early June that he believed the authorities refused to renew his license not only because of his role in the offer to provide free legal representation to the Tibetans but also for his other human rights defense work.

### Lawyers Told Not To Take Tainted Milk Cases

| Chinese authorities are preventing citizens with grievances related to tainted milk products from using the judicial system to seek redress. They have invoked the importance of “maintaining social stability” in seeking to thwart efforts to organize large groups of angry citizens through collective lawsuits. By early October, more than 100 lawyers nationwide had joined forces to offer free legal aid to the parents of babies who had fallen sick from tainted milk. Many of the lawyers have been pressured to withdraw from the group. Judicial authorities in Henan, for example, have pressured more than 20 Henan lawyers to rescind their offers to assist the parents. One of the lawyers, Chang Boyang, told the Associated Press that he was informed that if he did not withdraw, he and his firm would be “dealt with.” Judicial authorities in some provinces have told volunteer lawyers that litigation could lead to “social unrest.” |

---
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Lawyers Told Not To Take Tainted Milk Cases—Continued

Li Fangping, one of the lead attorneys organizing the effort, told the Chinese financial magazine Caijing that because courts are permitted not to accept lawsuits on “social stability” grounds, filing a lawsuit for damages relating to public incidents presents a challenge.25 Li was urged by the government-controlled Beijing Lawyers' Association “to put faith in the party and government.”26 By the middle of October, three individual lawsuits had been filed separately on behalf of infants who had become sick or died from being fed melamine-tainted milk in Guangdong, Gansu, and Henan provinces.27 The Guangzhou Intermediate People’s Court refused to receive the lawsuit, and instead treated it as a petition.28 The court in Gansu province stated that it could not accept the case until it had further direction from above.29 The first lawsuit against Sanlu, the Chinese dairy products company at the center of the tainted milk powder crisis, was filed on September 22 in Henan province; a month later the court still had not announced whether it would accept the case, exceeding the seven-day time limit set forth in the PRC Civil Procedure Law for determining whether to accept or reject a case.30 Parents and lawyers have reportedly been informed by government authorities that the parents' claims can be handled through out-of-court compensation.31

JUDICIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF STATE ACTION

Chinese law provides methods for citizens to seek a remedy when they believe the government has violated their rights. These methods allow Chinese citizens limited legal recourse against individual officials or local governments who exceed their authority.32 Under the Administrative Reconsideration Law (ARL), Chinese citizens may submit an application to an administrative agency for administrative review of specific government actions.33 Under the Administrative Litigation Law (ALL), citizens may file a lawsuit in a people’s court to challenge certain government actions.34 The State Compensation Law authorizes citizens to seek compensation for illegal government acts along with an ARL or ALL action, or present their claims directly to the relevant government bureau.35 Citizens face obstacles, however, in filing suits against local officials or government entities, particularly in “sensitive” cases. Earlier this year, courts in Sichuan refused to hear cases against local officials brought by parents of children who were killed in school collapses during the May 12 Sichuan earthquake.36

CITIZEN PETITIONING

Since the 1950s, xinfang (“letters and visits”) offices have been an avenue outside the judicial system for citizens to present their grievances.37 Under the 2005 National Regulations on Letters and Visits, citizens may “give information, make comments or suggestions, or lodge complaints” to xinfang bureaus of local governments and their departments.38 Although Chinese citizens have a legal right to petition and there is an extensive “letters and visits” bureaucracy to handle petitions, the reality is that “officials at all levels of government have a vested interest in preventing petitioners
Prospects for improved access to justice in China have dimmed during the last year due to the Chinese government’s failure to afford basic legal protections to protesters, the Beijing Olympic Games organizers’ decision to bar petitioners from Beijing, the politicization of the courts and continuing problems with corruption, and ongoing harassment and intimidation of lawyers.

After the earthquake in Sichuan, the Supreme People’s Court (SPC) quickly issued a “Circular on Completing Judicial Work During the Earthquake Disaster Relief Period to Earnestly Safeguard Social Stability in the Disaster Area.” The Circular calls on judges to “make best efforts to use mediation or reconciliation through the withdrawal of charges as the method to resolve disputes.” Like the Emergency Response Law that took effect in November 2007, the emphasis appears to be on preventing isolated events from blossoming into national problems.

During the past year, Hu Jintao’s administration appears to have enhanced the Communist Party’s control over the judiciary. President Hu has ordered the courts, procuratorates, and public security bureaus to uphold the “three supremes”—the Party’s cause, the people’s interest, and the constitution and laws. Wang Shengjun, the new president of the Supreme People’s Court (SPC), has instructed courts to study the “three supremes.” Wang—who did not attend law school and has no experience as a judge, prosecutor, lawyer, or legal scholar—appears to have been selected not for his law credentials, but because he is a “trusted party functionary.” Where Wang Shengjun’s predecessor Xiao Yang was a distinguished legal scholar and prosecutor before becoming president of the SPC, Wang rose to his new position through the public security and political-legal affairs apparatus. Wang previously was the head of the Anhui provincial public security department and was promoted to the Central Political and Legal Affairs Committee in 1993.

In February, the PRC State Council issued a white paper titled, “China’s Efforts and Achievements in Promoting the Rule of Law,” which noted the damage that official corruption has caused to the development of China’s legal system. The conclusion of the rule of law white paper states in part:
China’s legal construction is still facing some problems: The development of democracy and the rule of law still falls short of the needs of economic and social development; the legal framework . . . calls for further improvement; in some regions and departments, laws are not observed, or strictly enforced, violators are not brought to justice; local protectionism, departmental protectionism and difficulties in law enforcement occur from time to time; some government functionaries take bribes and bend the law, abuse their power when executing the law, abuse their authority to override the law, and substitute their words for the law, thus bringing damage to the socialist rule of law. . . .

During the past year, the Chinese leadership has stepped up its efforts to rein in official corruption. In September 2007, the government established its first National Bureau of Corruption Prevention. The Web site of the new anticorruption bureau reportedly crashed only a day or two after its roll-out, overwhelmed by the large number of people attempting to log on to register complaints. This June, the Party announced its first five-year plan to prevent and punish corruption.

The outgoing top prosecutor told the National People’s Congress (NPC) this March that during the past five years nearly 14,000 officials at or above the county level were investigated for embezzlement, bribery, or misappropriation of public funds—of these, 35 officials were at the provincial or ministerial level and 930 at the municipal level. Xiao Yang, the former president of the Supreme People’s Court, told the NPC this March that court trials involving corruption cases during the past five years were up more than 12 percent compared with the previous five years. Moreover, during 2008, there was a spate of high-profile corruption investigations and trials, including the conviction in April of former Shanghai Party chief and Politburo member Chen Liangyu, who was sentenced to 18 years in prison for bribery and abuse of power in connection with the Shanghai pension fund scandal.

At the March 2007 NPC session, Xiao Yang stated that he had continuing fears about the “grave situation” of judicial corruption. In March 2008, Xiao Yang reported that during the previous year 218 judges had been punished for abuse of judicial power and corruption. In October 2008, a vice president of the Supreme People’s Court, Huang Songyou, was placed under Party “double regulation” (shuanggui) for his alleged role in a corruption scandal involving a former top official at the Guangdong High People’s Court. (See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants—Shuanggui: Extralegal Detention of Party Members.)
IV. Xinjiang

HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES IN THE XINJIANG UYGHUR AUTONOMOUS REGION

INTRODUCTION

Human rights abuses in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) remain severe, and repression increased in the past year. As detailed by the Commission in past Annual Reports, the government uses anti-terrorism campaigns as a pretext for enforcing repressive security measures and for controlling expressions of religious and ethnic identity, especially among the ethnic Uyghur population, within which it alleges the presence of separatist activity. It enforces “strike hard” anti-crime campaigns against the government-designated “three forces” of terrorism, separatism, and extremism to imprison Uyghurs for peaceful expressions of dissent, religious practice, and other non-violent activities. In the past year, the government used these longstanding campaigns as a springboard to increase repressive practices amid preparations for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, reports of terrorist activity, and protests among ethnic minorities. In the past year, the government also continued to strengthen policies aimed at diluting Uyghur ethnic identity and promoting assimilation. Policies in areas such as language use, development, and migration have disadvantaged local ethnic minority residents and have positioned the XUAR to undergo broad cultural and demographic shifts in coming decades.

Government policy in the XUAR violates China’s own laws and contravenes China’s international obligations to safeguard the human rights of XUAR residents. The government has failed to implement its legally stipulated “regional ethnic autonomy” system in a manner that provides XUAR residents with meaningful control over their own affairs. Instead, authorities exert central and local government control at a level antithetical to regional autonomy. Government policies violate the basic human rights of XUAR residents and have a disparate impact on ethnic minorities.

ANTI-TERRORISM POLICIES, ANTI-CRIME CAMPAIGNS, AND SECURITY MEASURES

The Chinese government uses anti-terrorism campaigns as a pretext for enforcing harsh security policies in the XUAR. In the past year the government used security preparations for the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, reports of terrorist activity, and protests in Tibetan areas of China and within the XUAR as platforms for advancing repressive security measures in the region. In spring 2008, the Chinese government claimed it had broken up three terrorist plots to disrupt the Olympics, as well as an attempted terrorist attack on an aircraft. As in the past, however, the government provided scant evidence to back up its claims and continued to enforce restrictions on free press that hindered efforts to report on the region. During the same period, local governments implemented a series of measures to tighten security, restrict religious activity, and hinder citizen activism. In March 2008, authorities in Hoten district suppressed demonstrations by Uyghurs calling for
human rights and detained protesters. The government continued to implement repressive security measures throughout the summer, during which time the Olympic torch passed through the XUAR in June and as the government provided limited reports of terrorist and criminal activity in the region in August. Measures reported by Chinese government sources or overseas observers included wide-scale detentions, inspections of households, restrictions on Uyghurs’ domestic and international travel, controls over Uyghur Web sites, and increased surveillance over XUAR religious personnel, mosques, and religious practitioners, as well as increased monitoring of other populations. Authorities in cities outside of the XUAR also increased controls over Uyghur residents leading up to and during the Olympics. In the aftermath of the Olympics, XUAR chair Nur Bekri outlined increased measures to “strike hard” against perceived threats in the region, casting blame on U.S.-based Uyghur rights activist Rebiya Kadeer and “western hostile forces.” Local governments and other authorities reported carrying out propaganda education campaigns, and in September, XUAR Communist Party Secretary Wang Lequan described plans to launch region-wide anti-separatism education later in the year.

“Strike hard” anti-crime campaigns in the region have resulted in high rates of incarceration of Uyghurs in the XUAR. Statistics from official Chinese sources indicate that cases of endangering state security from the region account for a significant percentage of the nationwide total, in some years possibly comprising most of the cases in China. In 2007, the head of the Xinjiang High People's Court said that the region bears an “extremely strenuous” caseload for crimes involving endangering state security. In August 2008, Chinese media reported that XUAR courts would “regard ensuring [state] security and social stability [as] their primary task.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased Repression in Xinjiang During the Olympics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officials in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) reiterated a pledge in August 2008 to use harsh security measures to crack down against the government-designated “three forces” of terrorism, separatism, and extremism. On August 13, Wang Lequan, XUAR Communist Party Chair, described the battle against the “three forces” as a “life or death struggle” and pledged to “strike hard” against their activities. XUAR Party Committee Standing Committee member Zhu Hailun reiterated the call to “strike hard” at an August 18 meeting. The announcements followed the release of limited information on terrorist and criminal activity in the region and came amid a series of measures that increased repression in the XUAR. The measures build off of earlier campaigns to tighten repression in the region, including efforts to tighten control as the Olympic torch passed through the region in June. Reported measures implemented in the run-up to and during the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games include:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Increased Repression in Xinjiang During the Olympics—Continued

- **Wide-scale Detentions.** Authorities have carried out wide-scale detentions as part of security campaigns in cities throughout the XUAR, according to a report from the Uyghur Human Rights Project. Reported measures include “security sweeps” resulting in mass detentions in the Kashgar area and Kucha county, including blanket detentions in Kucha of young people who have been abroad; the detention of non-resident Uyghurs in Korla city; the forced return of Uyghur children studying religion in another province and their detention in the XUAR for engaging in “illegal religious activities”; and the detention of family members or associates of people suspected to be involved in terrorist activity.

- **Restrictions on Uyghurs’ Domestic and International Travel.** Authorities reportedly continued to hold Uyghurs’ passports over the summer, building off of a campaign in 2007 to confiscate Muslims’ passports and prevent them from making overseas pilgrimages, according to reports from overseas media. Authorities also coupled restrictions on overseas travel with reported measures to limit Uyghurs’ travel within China.

- **Controls Over Religion.** XUAR officials have enforced a series of measures that ratchet up control over religious practice in the region, according to reports from Chinese and overseas sources. Authorities in Yengisheher county in Kashgar district issued accountability measures on August 5 to hold local officials responsible for high-level surveillance of religious activity in the region. Also in August, authorities in Peyziwat county, Kashgar district, called for “enhancing management” of groups including religious figures as part of broader government and Party measures of “prevention” and “attack.” The previous month, authorities in Mongghulku¨re county, Ili Kazakh Autonomous Prefecture, called for strengthening management of religious affairs; inspecting all mosques and venues for religious activity; curbing “illegal” recitations of scripture and non-government-approved pilgrimages; and “penetrating” groups of religious believers to understand their ways of thinking. Authorities in Lop county, Hoten district, have been forcing women to remove head coverings in a stated effort to promote “women for the new era.” Authorities have also continued to enforce measures to restrict observance of the Muslim holiday of Ramadan, which, in 2008, took place in September.18

- **Controls Over Free Expression.** Authorities in the XUAR ordered some Uyghur Web sites to shut down their bulletin board services (BBS) during the Olympics, according to Radio Free Asia. In a review of Uyghur Web sites carried out during the Olympics, Commission staff found that BBSs on the Web sites Diyarim, Orkhun, and Alkuyi had been suspended. The BBS Web page on Diyarim contained the message, “[L]et’s protect stability with full strength and create a peaceful environment for the Olympic Games!!! Please visit other Diyarim pages[,]” The message on the BBS Web page on Orkhun stated, “Based on the requirements of the work units concerned, the Orkhun Uyghur history Web site has been closed until August 25 because of the Olympic Games.”
Increased Repression in Xinjiang During the Olympics—Continued

- Inspections of Households in Ghulja. Authorities in the predominantly ethnic minority city of Ghulja searched homes in the area in July in a campaign described by a Chinese official as aimed at rooting out “illegal activities” and finding residents living without proper documentation, according to Radio Free Asia.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION IN XINJIANG

The government imposes harsh restrictions over religious practice in the XUAR. [For detailed information, see Section II—Freedom of Religion—China’s Religious Communities—Islam.]

CONTROLS OVER FREE EXPRESSION IN XINJIANG

Authorities in the XUAR repress free speech. Authorities have levied prison sentences on individuals for forms of expression ranging from conducting historical research to writing literature. [For more information on these cases, see box titled Speaking Out: Uyghurs Punished for Free Speech in Xinjiang below.] In August 2008, Mehbube Ablesh, an employee in the advertising department at the Xinjiang People’s Radio Station was fired from her job and detained in apparent connection to her writings on the Internet that were critical of the government.19 The government engages in broad censorship of political and religious materials. In 2008, the XUAR Propaganda Bureau announced it would make “illegal” political and religious publications the focal point of its campaign to “Sweep Away Pornography and Strike Down Illegal Publications.”20 The focus on religious and political materials builds off of earlier campaigns to root out such publications.21 Also in 2008, officials in Atush city reported finding “illegal” portraits of Uyghur activist Rebiya Kadeer and pictures with religious content.22 [For more information on Rebiya Kadeer, see box titled The Chinese Government Campaign Against Rebiya Kadeer below.] In addition, authorities closed some Uyghur-language Internet discussion forums during the period of the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games.23

Central and local authorities further regulate religious expression by controlling the contents of materials published by the Islamic Association of China, a Communist Party “mass organization” that, along with local branches, controls Muslim practice in China.24 Authorities have detained individuals for their possession of unauthorized religious texts.25

LANGUAGE POLICY AND “BILINGUAL” EDUCATION IN XINJIANG

In recent years the XUAR government has taken steps to diminish the use of ethnic minority languages in XUAR schools via “bilingual” and other educational policies that place primacy on Mandarin, such as by eliminating ethnic minority language instruction or relegating it solely to language arts classes.26 The policies contravene provisions in Chinese law to protect ethnic minority languages and promote their use as regional lingua franca.27 According to reports from official Chinese media, by 2006, the number
of students receiving “bilingual” education in the XUAR had expanded 50-fold within six years. Although the long-term impact remains unclear, sustained implementation of Mandarin-focused “bilingual” education and other language policies increases the risk that Uyghur and other ethnic minority languages are eventually reduced to cultural relics rather than actively used languages in the XUAR. [For more information on “bilingual” education, see Addendum: “Bilingual” Education in Xinjiang at the end of this section.]

### Speaking Out: Uyghurs Punished for Free Speech in Xinjiang

As detailed by the Commission in past Annual Reports, Chinese authorities have detained or imprisoned ethnic Uyghurs for various forms of peaceful expression, including non-violent dissent. Such cases include:

- Tohti Tunyaz, a Uyghur historian living in Japan whom Chinese authorities detained in 1998 while he was visiting the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) to conduct research. He received an 11-year sentence in 1999 for “stealing state secrets” and “inciting splittism,” based on a list of documents he had collected from official sources during the course of his research, and on a “separatist” book he had allegedly published.

- Abduhelil Zunun, who received a 20-year sentence in November 2001 after translating the Universal Declaration of Human Rights into the Uyghur language.

- Abdulghani Memetemin, a journalist sentenced to nine years’ imprisonment in 2003 after providing information on government repression against Uyghurs to an overseas organization. Authorities characterized this act as “supplying state secrets to an organization outside the country.”

- Abdulla Jamal, a teacher arrested in 2005 for writing a manuscript that authorities claimed incited separatism.

- Nurmemet Yasin, a writer who received a 10-year sentence in 2005 for “inciting splittism” after he wrote a story about a caged bird who commits suicide rather than live without freedom.

- Korash Huseyin, chief editor of the journal that published Yasin’s story, who received a three-year sentence in 2005 for “dereliction of duty.” Huseyin’s sentence expired in February 2008, and he is presumed to have since been released from prison.

- Mehbube Ablesh, an employee in the advertising department at the Xinjiang People’s Radio Station, who was fired from her job in August 2008 and detained in apparent connection to her writings on the Internet that were critical of government policies, including bilingual education.

### CIVIL SOCIETY IN XINJIANG

XUAR government policy hinders the growth of civil society in the region. Authorities have banned gatherings of private Islam-centered social groups, which had aimed at addressing social problems like drug use and alcoholism. Fears of citizen activism have prompted the suppression of locally led political movements, including demonstrations in Hoten district in March led by women protesting repressive policies in the region. Government policy in the
XUAR also affects the work of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that aim to research conditions in the region. In July 2007, authorities in Beijing ordered the Beijing-based foreign NGO publication China Development Brief to stop publishing its Chinese-language edition and accused the English-language editor of having ties to Xinjiang “separatist” groups. Though the charge of contact with these groups may have served as a cover for other motivations for barring the publication, that authorities wield contact with overseas Uyghur organizations as such a pretext presents a chilling effect on organizations that research the XUAR. [For more information, see Section III—Civil Society.]

MIGRATION AND POPULATION PLANNING POLICIES IN XINJIANG

While the Commission supports Chinese government liberalizations that give citizens more choices to determine their places of residence, the Commission remains concerned about government policies that use economic and social benefits to channel migration to the XUAR and engineer demographic changes in the region. The government has touted migration policies as a means to promote development and ensure “stability” and “ethnic unity.” Demographic shifts have skewed employment prospects in favor of Han Chinese and funneled resources in their favor. In addition, migration also has created heavy social and linguistic pressures on local ethnic minority residents.

The Commission also remains concerned that while the government promotes migration to the region, it implements policies that target birth rates among local ethnic minority groups to reduce population increases. In 2008, the government reported that the XUAR had achieved 65,000 fewer births in 2007 under policies of providing rewards to families who had fewer children than legally permitted. Overseas Uyghur rights advocates have reported that authorities have carried out forced sterilizations and forced abortions to implement population planning policies.

The Chinese Government Campaign Against Rebiya Kadeer

The government has waged a longstanding campaign against Uyghur rights activist Rebiya Kadeer. Authorities sentenced her in 2000 to eight years in prison for “supplying state secrets or intelligence to entities outside China,” after she sent newspaper clippings to her husband in the United States. Kadeer has reported that before her release on medical parole in 2005, Chinese authorities threatened repercussions against her family members and business interests if she discussed Uyghur human rights issues in exile. Soon after Kadeer moved to the United States, authorities began a campaign of harassment against her family members remaining in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR), culminating in the imprisonment of two of her sons in 2006 and 2007.
The Chinese Government Campaign Against Rebiya Kadeer—Continued

- In May 2005, authorities detained Aysham Kerim and Ruzi Mamat, two employees at Kadeer's trading company in the XUAR, and attempted to take her son, Ablikim Abdureyim, into detention. Authorities ransacked the company offices at the same time and confiscated documents. Authorities released Aysham Kerim and Ruzi Mamat in December 2005, after detaining them for seven months without charges.52
- In August 2005, Radio Free Asia reported that authorities in the XUAR had formed a special office to monitor Kadeer's relatives and business ties in the XUAR. Around the same time, authorities detained two of Kadeer's relatives to pressure them to turn in their passports.53
- In April 2006, authorities held Kadeer's son, Alim Abdureyim, in custody and informed him that he was under suspicion for evading taxes.54
- Authorities held Alim in custody again in late May 2006, along with his brother, Ablikim, and sister, Roshengul, and authorities later placed Alim and Ablikim in criminal detention and Roshengul under house arrest. Authorities beat Alim and Ablikim while in custody. In June, authorities took their brother Kahar into custody as well and charged him with tax evasion, Alim with tax evasion and splittism, and Ablikim with subversion of state power. Alim reportedly confessed to the charges against him after being tortured. During the same period, authorities placed Kadeer's brother under house arrest and other family members under surveillance, including grandchildren whom authorities prevented from leaving home to attend school.55
- On November 27, 2006, an Urumqi court sentenced Alim to seven years in prison and fined him 500,000 yuan (US$62,500) for tax evasion. The court imposed a 100,000 yuan (US$12,500) fine on Kahar, also for tax evasion. Kadeer described the cases against her sons as a “vendetta” against her. Sources had informed her that authorities would offer leniency to her children if she refrained from participating in a November 26 election for presidency of the World Uyghur Congress.56
- An Urumqi court sentenced Ablikim to nine years in prison and three years' deprivation of political rights on April 17, 2007, for “instigating and engaging in secessionist activities,” alleging he disseminated pro-secession articles, planned to incite anti-government protest, and wrote an essay misrepresenting human rights conditions in the XUAR.57 Both Alim and Ablikim remain in prison, where they are reported to have been tortured and abused, and where Ablikim is reported to be in poor physical health without adequate medical care.58

DEVELOPMENT POLICY IN XINJIANG

Development policies in the XUAR have brought mixed results for ethnic minority residents. While economic reforms and development projects have raised living standards in the region, they also have spurred migration, strained local resources, and dis-
proportionately benefited Han Chinese. Han benefit through development projects focused on Han-majority regions and development-related employment prospects that privilege Han areas and Han employees. Development policies in the XUAR reflect tight central government control over the region and are intertwined with policies to promote “social stability.” In the past year, the government reported on development projects directed at improving conditions for ethnic minority residents, but the overall impact remains unclear.

LABOR CONDITIONS IN XINJIANG

The government enforces repressive labor policies, including measures that have a disproportionate negative impact on ethnic minorities. While the Chinese government continues to fill local jobs in the XUAR with migrant labor, it also maintains programs that send young ethnic minorities to work in factories in China’s interior. Authorities reportedly have coerced participation and subjected workers to abusive labor practices. In addition, in 2007 and 2008, overseas media reported that authorities in the XUAR continued to impose forced labor on area farmers in predominantly ethnic minority regions. The XUAR government also continues to impose forced labor on local students to meet yearly harvesting quotas. In 2007, Chinese media reported that work-study programs requiring students to pick cotton have decreased in recent years, but also reported that some 1 million students picked cotton in the region that year. In addition, both public and private employers continue to enforce discriminatory job hiring practices that limit job prospects for ethnic minorities. [For more information on labor conditions, see Addendum: Labor Conditions in Xinjiang at the end of this section.]

ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN XINJIANG

Ethnic minority residents in the XUAR face special barriers to accessing China’s legal system. In addition to financial shortfalls and general personnel shortages, the XUAR judicial system lacks a sufficient number of legal personnel and translators who speak ethnic minority languages, entrenching systemic procedural irregularities into the judicial process and undercutting legal bases that guarantee the use of ethnic minority languages in judicial proceedings. [For detailed information, see Addendum: Access to Justice in Xinjiang.]
# Spotlight: Uyghur Refugees and Migrants

Chinese government repression in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) has forced some Uyghurs into exile, where, depending on their destination or transit country, they face an uncertain legal status, barriers to local asylum proceedings, and risk of refoulement to China under the sway of Chinese influence and in violation of international protections. Uyghur migrants outside the refugee and asylum-seeker population also face dangers, as China’s increasing influence in neighboring countries has made Uyghur migrant communities there vulnerable to harassment and to deportation proceedings without adequate safeguards. A summary of key concerns follows:

## China’s Increasing Influence

- China has exerted a strong influence on neighboring countries through mechanisms including bilateral agreements and the mult-country Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO).
- Under the SCO, member countries agree to cooperate in anti-terrorism activities. China has been a key player in advancing cooperation and promoting campaigns that use the fight against terrorism as a pretext for repressive policies against Uyghurs both inside and outside China.

## Vulnerabilities Outside China

- In some neighboring countries, Uyghurs are unable to apply for asylum locally, increasing their vulnerability as they seek other forms of protection, such as by applying for refugee status through the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and resettling in a third country.
  - In one neighboring country, Chinese influence reportedly has swayed authorities to block Uyghurs’ access to local asylum proceedings, while letting asylum seekers of most other nationalities apply.
  - Access to local asylum proceedings would increase the likelihood that authorities safeguard the rights of asylum seekers during the refugee status determination process. In one of China’s neighboring countries, for example, extradition proceedings are suspended for individuals who seek asylum locally.
- Some countries have extradited Uyghurs with UNHCR refugee status to China, where they have faced abuse, imprisonment, and risk of execution. In other cases, the UNHCR has been unable to gain access to individuals who want to initiate asylum proceedings, including some people who reportedly have been deported to China without adequate safeguards.
Spotlight: Uyghur Refugees and Migrants—Continued

Violations of International Law

- The 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees forbids the return of refugees to “the frontiers of territories where his life or freedom would be threatened on account of his race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion.”
- Under the Convention Against Torture, “No State Party shall expel, return (‘refouler’) or extradite a person to another State where there are substantial grounds for believing that he would be in danger of being subjected to torture.”
- China violates international protections for freedom of movement by denying travel documents to family members of refugees who are entitled to derivative refugee status.

ADDENDUM: “BILINGUAL” EDUCATION IN XINJIANG

In recent years the XUAR government has taken steps to diminish the use of ethnic minority languages via “bilingual” and other educational policies that place primacy on Mandarin, such as by eliminating ethnic minority language instruction or relegating it solely to language arts classes. Authorities justify “bilingual” education as a way of “raising the quality” of ethnic minority students and tie knowledge of Mandarin to campaigns promoting patriotism and ethnic unity. XUAR Communist Party Secretary Wang Lequan noted in 2005 that XUAR authorities are “resolutely determined” to promote Mandarin language use, which he found “an extremely serious political issue.” He has also stated that ethnic minority languages lack the content to express complex concepts.

XUAR language policies violate Chinese laws that protect and promote the use of ethnic minority languages, which form part of broader legal guarantees to protect ethnic minority rights and allow autonomy in ethnic minority regions. For example, Article 4 of the Chinese Constitution and Article 10 of the Regional Ethnic Autonomy Law (REAL) guarantee that ethnic minorities have “the freedom to use and develop” their languages. In the area of education, Article 37 of the REAL stipulates that “[s]chools (classes) and other educational organizations recruiting mostly ethnic minority students should, whenever possible, use textbooks in their own languages and use these languages as the media of instruction.” While educational programs that diminish the use of ethnic minority languages respond to a growing need for fluency in Mandarin to achieve educational and professional advancement, XUAR officials do not acknowledge that the need stems from official failures to implement autonomy in ethnic minority regions as provided for in Chinese law.

Government efforts to limit minority language use have intensified in recent years, through both “bilingual” programs and other efforts. In 2004, the XUAR government issued a directive to accelerate the development of “bilingual” education. According to a 2005 Xinjiang Daily article, many “bilingual” programs have moved from offering only math and science classes in Mandarin to teaching the entire curriculum in Mandarin, except in classes devoted...
specifically to minority-language study. In 2006, authorities in the predominantly Uyghur city of Atush announced that all first-grade elementary school classes would teach in Mandarin Chinese beginning in September 2006 and that all primary and secondary schools would be required to teach exclusively in Mandarin by 2012. According to a report from official Chinese media, by 2006, the number of students receiving “bilingual” education in the XUAR had expanded 50-fold within six years. According to 2007 figures reported by the Xinjiang Education Department, more than 474,500 ethnic minority students in preschool, elementary school, and secondary school programs, including vocational programs, took classes that employed “bilingual education.” According to the Xinjiang Education Department, the figure accounts for almost 20 percent of the ethnic minority student population and excludes those students studying in longstanding programs that track ethnic minority students into Mandarin Chinese schooling. In contrast, in 1999, experimental “bilingual” classes reportedly reach 2,629 students through 27 secondary schools. The government prepared a draft opinion in 2008 that details steps to further expand “bilingual” education.

Authorities also have limited opportunities for XUAR residents to obtain higher education and vocational education in ethnic minority languages, thereby diminishing the value of ethnic minority languages in XUAR schooling and creating an incentive for younger students to study in Mandarin instead of ethnic minority languages. In May 2002, the XUAR government announced that Xinjiang University would change its medium of instruction to Mandarin Chinese in first- and second-year classes. In 2005, authorities announced plans to offer two-year vocational degrees through programs that offer instruction entirely in Mandarin Chinese. Recruitment materials for 2007 for the Xinjiang Preschool Teachers College stated that all classes offered would be taught in Mandarin.

XUAR authorities also have expanded “bilingual” education policies to the preschool level, and provide material incentives to attract students. Authorities issued an opinion in 2005 to bolster “bilingual” education in XUAR preschools and prepared a draft opinion on further expanding “bilingual” education, including preschool education, in 2008. In 2006, official media reported the government would invest 430 million yuan (US$59.76 million) over five years to support “bilingual” preschool programs in seven prefectures and would aim to reach a target rate of over 85 percent of rural ethnic minority children in all counties and municipalities able to enroll in two years of “bilingual” preschool education by 2010. The following year, the XUAR Department of Finance allotted 70.39 million yuan (US$9.78 million) to cover material subsidies for both students and teachers in “bilingual” preschool programs. In February 2007, authorities in the XUAR implemented a program to send student-teachers from the Xinjiang Preschool Teachers College to preschools in Kashgar prefecture to supplement the area’s shortage of “bilingual” teaching staff, providing financial and other incentives to the student-teachers in the program. In 2008, the government appeared to have pushed back its timeline for reaching target enrollment rates, while invest-
ing more money to bring this goal to fruition, perhaps signifying a firmer and more realistic commitment to promoting “bilingual” preschool education. The government pledged 3.75 billion yuan (US$549 million) in 2008 for “bilingual” preschool education and called for achieving a target rate of over 85 percent of ethnic minority children in rural areas receiving “bilingual” education by 2012. While the current scope of the program’s coverage varies by locality, news from local governments indicates that “bilingual” preschool programs are already widespread in some areas.102 According to 2007 figures from the Xinjiang Education Department, 180,458 ethnic minority children received “bilingual” preschool education.103

The government’s language policies have impacted ethnic minority teachers’ job prospects. Ethnic minority teachers who do not speak Mandarin must face additional language requirements that are not imposed on monolingual Mandarin-speaking teachers. Teachers have reportedly faced dismissal or transfers to non-teaching positions for failure to conform to new language requirements.104

The Chinese government’s current stance on “bilingual” education hinders productive dialogue on ways to carry forward policies in a manner to protect ethnic minority languages. In March 2008, XUAR Chair Nur Bekri described criticisms of “bilingual” education as an attack from the “three forces” of terrorism, separatism, and extremism operating outside China. He also claimed that “bilingual” education in the region equally valued ethnic minority languages and Mandarin, despite evidence of the focus on Mandarin from sources including official Chinese media.105 Although the long-term impact remains unclear, sustained implementation of Mandarin-focused “bilingual” education and other language policies increases the risk that Uyghur and other ethnic minority languages are eventually reduced to cultural relics rather than actively used languages in the XUAR.

ADDENDUM: LABOR CONDITIONS IN XINJIANG

**Labor Transfers**

While the Chinese government continues to fill local jobs in the XUAR with migrant labor, it also maintains programs that send young ethnic minorities to work in factories in China’s interior under conditions reported to be abusive. Overseas sources indicate that local authorities have coerced participation and mistreated workers. According to a 2008 report issued by an overseas human rights organization, local officials, following direction from higher levels of government, have used “deception, pressure, and threats” toward young women and their families to gain recruits into the labor transfer program. Women interviewed for the report described working under abusive labor conditions after being transferred to interior factories through the state-sponsored programs.106 In 2007, Radio Free Asia (RFA) reported on local authorities who recruited women under false pretenses to work in Shandong province.107
Forced Labor

In 2007 and 2008, overseas media reported that authorities in the XUAR continued to impose forced labor on area farmers. According to reports from RFA, based on official Chinese sources and on information provided through interviews with officials and residents in the XUAR, in 2007 authorities in Yeken (Yarkand) county required 100,000 farmers to turn uncultivated land into a nut production base. The farmers, whose work included building roadways, forest belts, and irrigation canals, reportedly received no pay for their work. One resident interviewed by RFA said that residents who refused to do the work were fined for each day of labor missed.\textsuperscript{108} The Kashgar district government, which publicized information about the land cultivation project, including the scope of labor involved and the projects completed, did not describe how the labor force was recruited or compensated.\textsuperscript{109} Authorities reportedly continued to carry out forced labor in 2008, requiring local residents in the southern XUAR to plant trees and build irrigation works.\textsuperscript{110}

“Work-Study” Programs

The XUAR government imposes forced labor on local students to meet yearly harvesting quotas. Acting under central government authority bolstered by local legal directives, XUAR authorities implement the use of student labor, including labor by young children, via work-study programs to harvest crops and do other work. Students work under arduous conditions and do not receive pay for their work. While “work-study” programs exist elsewhere in China, the XUAR work-study program also reflects features unique to the region. The central government holds close control over both the general XUAR economy and through its directly administered Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps farms, where some of the region’s cotton is harvested. The central government placed special focus on supporting the XUAR’s cotton industry during its 11th Five-Year Program, and central, rather than local, authorities reportedly made the decision to launch the comprehensive work-study program to pick cotton in the XUAR. In 2007, Chinese media reported that work-study programs requiring students to pick cotton have decreased in recent years, but also reported that some 1 million students picked cotton in the region that year.\textsuperscript{111}

ADDENDUM: ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN XINJIANG

Ethnic minority residents in the XUAR face special barriers to accessing China’s legal system. In addition to financial shortfalls and general personnel shortages, the XUAR judicial system lacks a sufficient number of legal personnel and translators who speak ethnic minority languages, entrenching systemic procedural irregularities into the judicial process and presenting barriers to citizens’ right to have legal proceedings conducted in their native language.\textsuperscript{112} According to 2007 reports from the Chinese media, 1,948 of 4,552 judges in the XUAR were ethnic minorities, and as of September of that year, 380 lawyers, or 17 percent of the region’s total, were ethnic minorities. The reports did not identify the language...
capabilities of these groups. A law office reported as China’s first bilingual operation opened in the XUAR in 2006.

Recent measures to address shortcomings in the XUAR judicial system may have mixed results in meeting the needs of ethnic minority residents. Efforts to dispatch legal workers to rural areas may strengthen privilege for Mandarin Chinese if new personnel are not required to speak ethnic minority languages. Other steps may bring improvements. In 2007, the Ili Lawyers Association in the Ili Kazakh Autonomous Prefecture, for example, reportedly encouraged law offices to increase efforts to recruit ethnic minority graduates who majored in law in college or other higher education programs. In September 2007, the government announced a program to train 200 native Mandarin-speaking college students each year in ethnic minority languages, with the goal of addressing general shortages of interpreters.

The government ties some judicial reform efforts to government campaigns to promote “stability” and fight the government-designated “three forces” of terrorism, separatism, and extremism. In August 2007, the Supreme People’s Court (SPC) announced it had launched a work program to have judicial institutions nationwide aid XUAR courts, describing having stability in the region as part of its strategy for the project. Jiang Xingchang, vice president of the SPC, said that China continued to face plots by “hostile forces in the West” to westernize and divide China, and that “religious extremism” and “international terrorism” remain “fully active” in the XUAR, while ethnic separatists inside and outside the country continue “sabotage activities.” Jiang also stated that personnel of the appropriate political mindset should be selected for judicial exchange programs in the XUAR. In August 2008, Chinese media reported that XUAR courts would “regard ensuring [state] security and social stability [as] their primary task.”
V. Tibet

Findings

• As a result of the Chinese government crackdown on Tibetan communities, monasteries, nunneries, schools, and workplaces following the wave of Tibetan protests that began on March 10, 2008, Chinese government repression of Tibetans’ freedoms of speech, religion, and association has increased to what may be the highest level since approximately 1983, when Tibetans were able to set about reviving Tibetan Buddhist monasteries and nunneries.

• The status of the China-Dalai Lama dialogue deteriorated after the March 2008 protests and may require remedial measures before the dialogue can resume focus on its principal objective—resolving the Tibet issue. China’s leadership blamed the Dalai Lama and “the Dalai Clique” for the Tibetan protests and rioting, and did not acknowledge the role of rising Tibetan frustration with Chinese policies that deprive Tibetans of rights and freedoms nominally protected under China’s Constitution and legal system. The Party hardened policy toward the Dalai Lama, increased attacks on the Dalai Lama’s legitimacy as a religious leader, and asserted that he is a criminal bent on splitting China.

• State repression of Tibetan Buddhism has reached its highest level since the Commission began to report on religious freedom for Tibetan Buddhists in 2002. Chinese government and Party policy toward Tibetan Buddhists’ practice of their religion played a central role in stoking frustration that resulted in the cascade of Tibetan protests that began on March 10, 2008. Reports have identified hundreds of Tibetan Buddhist monks and nuns whom security officials detained for participating in the protests, as well as members of Tibetan secular society who supported them.

• Chinese government interference with the norms of Tibetan Buddhism and unrelenting antagonism toward the Dalai Lama, one of the religion’s foremost teachers, serves to deepen division and distrust between Tibetan Buddhists and the government and Communist Party. The government seeks to use legal measures to remold Tibetan Buddhism to suit the state. Authorities in one Tibetan autonomous prefecture have announced unprecedented measures that seek to punish monks, nuns, religious teachers, and monastic officials accused of involvement in political protests in the prefecture.

• The Chinese government undermines the prospects for stability in the Tibetan autonomous areas of China by implementing economic development and educational policy in a manner that results in disadvantages for Tibetans. Weak implementation of the Regional Ethnic Autonomy Law has been a principal factor exacerbating Tibetan frustration by preventing Tibetans from using lawful means to protect their culture, language, and religion.

• At no time since Tibetans resumed political activism in 1987 has the magnitude and severity of consequences to Tibetans (named and unnamed) who protested against the Chinese gov-
ernment been as great as it is now upon the release of the Commission’s 2008 Annual Report. Unless Chinese authorities have released without charge a very high proportion of the Tibetans reportedly detained as a result of peaceful activity or expression on or after March 10, 2008, the resulting surge in the number of Tibetan political prisoners may prove to be the largest increase in such prisoners that has occurred under China’s current Constitution and Criminal Law.

INTRODUCTION: TIBETAN PROTESTS ON AN UNPRECEDENTED SCALE

The Tibet section of the 2008 Annual Report focuses on the unprecedented cascade of Tibetan protests that began in Lhasa on March 10, 2008, and by the end of March had swept across much of the ethnic Tibetan areas of China. No peacetime Chinese government has been confronted by expressions of Tibetan discontent as widely dispersed and sustained since the Chinese Communist Party established the People’s Republic of China in 1949. Two key factors distinguish the current protests from the March 10, 1959, Lhasa uprising that followed the Dalai Lama’s escape from Tibet, and the March 5–7, 1989, protests and rioting that led to the imposition of martial law in Lhasa. First, the 2008 protests spread far beyond Lhasa and the Tibet Autonomous Region (TAR). Second, protests continued to occur even after Chinese security forces established and maintained lockdowns.

As a result of the Chinese government crackdown beginning in March 2008 on Tibetan communities, monasteries, nunneries, schools, and workplaces, the repression of the freedoms of speech, religion, and association has increased to what may be the highest level since approximately 1983, when Tibetans were able to set about reviving Tibetan Buddhist monasteries and nunneries. The Commission has reported since releasing its first Annual Report in 2002 on underlying human rights issues that played important roles in the 2008 Tibetan protests. The Commission’s 2007 Annual Report observed that then-declining numbers of political detentions of monks and nuns showed that state repression of Tibetan Buddhism may have resulted in a more subdued monastic community—and that such a decline concurrent with a high level of monastic resentment against Chinese policies suggested that the potential for resurgent political protest exists.

Tibetan protesters resorted to rioting in a total of 12 county-level areas, according to official Chinese media reports, but Tibetan protests (generally peaceful) took place in more than 40 additional county-level areas. China’s state-run media generally reported only the protests during which some Tibetans turned to violence, and characterized all of the participants linked to such events as “rioters.” Rioting took place in Lhasa city on March 14, in Aba (Ngaba) county, Aba Tibetan and Qiang Autonomous Prefecture, Sichuan province, on March 16, and in six counties in Gannan (Kanlho) Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Gansu province, from March 14–19. International media and non-governmental organization reports noted that Tibetans attacked ethnic Han and Hui individuals and businesses. The Lhasa rioting resulted in substantial property damage and at least 19 deaths, according to official reports; the actual death toll could be much higher (see Con-
sequences of the Protests: Death, Detention, Patriotic Education, Isolation in this section). [See figure titled Map of Tibetan Protest Sites, County-level Areas below and Addendum: List of Tibetan Protest Sites, County-level Areas at the end of this section.]

Peaceful Tibetan protesters called for Tibetan independence, the Dalai Lama’s return to Tibet, the release of the Panchen Lama, and freedom of religion generally. Many, but not all, of the protests began at Tibetan Buddhist monasteries and nunneries, the institutions impacted most negatively by Chinese government regu-
lation of Tibetan Buddhism and Party policy toward the Dalai Lama, whom most Tibetan Buddhists regard as their spiritual leader. Monastic protests gained support from members of Tibetan secular society. The large scale of Tibetan participation in the protests—at substantial peril to the protesters—reflects the urgency of the underlying issues and the imperative for Chinese authorities and Tibetans to work together to resolve them.

TIBETAN FRUSTRATION: FACTORS UNDERLYING THE PROTESTS

China's leadership blamed the Dalai Lama and "the Dalai Clique" for the Tibetan protests and rioting in the run-up to the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games, and did not acknowledge the role of rising Tibetan frustration with Chinese policies toward Tibetans. A senior TAR Party official used language that attributed directly to the Dalai Lama violent activity during rioting such as "beating, smashing, looting, and burning." Chinese government policies that deprive Tibetans of rights and freedoms nominally protected under China's Constitution and legal system have been the root cause of the protests and riots. Party control over China's legislative, governmental, and policymaking process, as well as contradictory provisions in Chinese laws and regulations, support the government's unrestricted ability to implement unpopular programs among Tibetans. Heightened state interference with Tibetan Buddhist norms since 2005 has left the religion especially hard-hit. The unproductive dialogue between Chinese officials and the Dalai Lama's representatives, along with the lurid invective of the Party's anti-Dalai campaign, frustrate Tibetan hopes for improved relations with the Chinese government, and strike at Tibetan sensibilities.

Policy Toward the Dalai Lama

The Party hardened policy toward the Dalai Lama in the wake of the Tibetan protests, increasing attacks on the Dalai Lama's legitimacy as a religious leader, and asserting that he is a criminal bent on splitting China. "Even the Lord Buddha will definitely not tolerate this honey-mouthed and dagger-hearted Dalai Lama, the scum of Buddhism, an insane ruffian and a beast in a human shape!" said the Party-run Tibet Daily, Tibet Autonomous Region Party Secretary Zhang Qingli likened the Dalai Lama to "a jackal and wolf cloaked in a [monk's robe]" and called for a "people's war" against threats to stability and unity that he blamed on "the Dalai Clique." Officials launched aggressive reimplemention of political indoctrination campaigns across the Tibetan autonomous areas of China, and sought to compel Tibetans to denounce the Dalai Lama and sometimes to state that he was responsible for the protest and riot activity.

Chinese government officials have intensified their campaign to discredit the Dalai Lama by holding him directly responsible for Tibetan violence committed during rioting, and seeking to tie him to allegations of Tibetan "terrorist" objectives and activity. A Ministry of Public Security (MPS) spokesman claimed on April 1, but provided no credible evidence to prove, that the Dalai Lama is respon-
sible for the objectives and activities of two Tibetan NGOs based in India—the Tibetan Youth Congress (TYC) and the Tibetan People’s Uprising Movement (TPUM). TPUM and the TYC, according to their Web sites, seek Tibetan independence, thereby rejecting the Dalai Lama’s autonomy-based Middle Way Approach. TPUM’s “Declaration” states, “The Tibetan People’s Uprising Movement is a global movement of Tibetans inside and outside of Tibet taking control of our political destiny by engaging in direct action to end China’s illegal and brutal occupation of our country. Through unified and strategic campaigns we will seize the Olympic spotlight and shine it on China’s shameful repression inside Tibet, thereby denying China the international acceptance and approval it so fervently desires.”

The MPS claimed, but did not substantiate, that the TYC and other unnamed groups provided two classes on how to carry out terrorist activities. According to China’s state-run media, after monks in the eastern TAR allegedly carried out a series of small bombings in April, the alleged bombers confessed that—they “were following separatist propaganda from the Dalai Lama.” A Chinese security official told a Western media organization in October that on September 23, 2008, the Changdu (Chamdo) Intermediate People’s Court sentenced several of the monks to terms of imprisonment for “terrorist actions.” According to an international media agency report, in December 2005 then-TYC President Kalsang Phuntsok said: “[We] have a youth section which is not so much influenced by the Buddhist philosophy. They are very much attracted by the movements which are going on all over the world, mostly violence-infested movements, and people see they are achieving results. They look around everywhere, whether it’s Israel or Palestine or the Middle East—these give them every reason to believe in every [violent] movement that is being waged on this Earth.” According to a Tibetan media report, former TYC President Lhasang Tsering told about 200 young Tibetans gathered at a public forum in India in February 2007 that the 2008 Beijing Olympics provide “an amazing opportunity as we can fight them when they would be most needed to be ‘well-behaved.’” He told the audience, “For a committed activist you don’t need CIA’s support to cut a telephone line in Beijing or throw an iron rod on the power cables in Shanghai. These kinds of sabotages can be done by any ordinary person, and can weaken the power from inside. Sometimes the whole city goes dark by one simple but technically correct act.”

The Dalai Lama, however, has expressed no support for the political objectives or methods of TPUM or the TYC, and has maintained his consistently pacifist counsel to Tibetans—wherever they live. In an April 6 statement, the Dalai Lama appealed to Tibetans to “practice non-violence and not waver from this path, however serious the situation might be.” He urged Tibetans living in exile to “not engage in any action that could be even remotely interpreted as violent.” He continued to reiterate his explicit support for China’s role as the Olympics host throughout the period of the protests and their aftermath.
Status of Negotiations Between the Chinese Government and the Dalai Lama or His Representatives

U.S. government policy recognizes the Tibet Autonomous Region (TAR) and Tibetan autonomous prefectures and counties in other provinces to be a part of China. The U.S. State Department’s 2008 Report on Tibet Negotiations observed that the Dalai Lama “represents the views of the vast majority of Tibetans and his moral and spiritual authority helps to unite the Tibetan community inside and outside of China.” President George W. Bush met in September 2007 with President Hu Jintao at the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Forum in Sydney, Australia, and told Hu that if Chinese leaders “were to sit down with the Dalai Lama they would find him a man of peace and reconciliation.” The Report on Tibet Negotiations stated:

The United States encourages China and the Dalai Lama to hold direct and substantive discussions aimed at resolution of differences at an early date, without preconditions. The Administration believes that dialogue between China and the Dalai Lama or his representatives will alleviate tensions in Tibetan areas and contribute to the overall stability of China.

The U.S. Congress awarded the Congressional Gold Medal to the Dalai Lama on October 17, 2007. The congressional act providing for the award found that the Dalai Lama “is the unrivaled spiritual and cultural leader of the Tibetan people, and has used his leadership to promote democracy, freedom, and peace for the Tibetan people through a negotiated settlement of the Tibet issue, based on autonomy within the People’s Republic of China.”

The status of the China-Dalai Lama dialogue, which resumed in 2002, deteriorated after the March 2008 protests from a condition characterized by the absence of evident progress, to one that may require remedial measures before the dialogue can resume focus on its principal objective—resolving the Tibet issue. The Chinese government and the Dalai Lama continue to maintain their fundamental positions toward the dialogue. [See CECC 2007 Annual Report, Section IV—Tibet: Special Focus for 2007, for additional information.]

The Dalai Lama’s Special Envoy Lodi Gyari and Envoy Kelsang Gyaltsen met on May 4, 2008, in Shenzhen city, Guangdong province, for an “informal meeting” with Communist Party United Front Work Department (UFWD) Executive Deputy Head Zhu Weiqun and Deputy Head Sita (Sithar). The purpose of the meeting, Gyari said on May 8, was to discuss the “critical situation in Tibet” and to reach a decision to continue formal discussions. The envoys called on Chinese authorities to release prisoners (Tibetan protesters), allow injured persons (protesters) to receive adequate medical treatment, and allow “unfettered access” to Tibetan areas by tourists and media organizations. The Dalai Lama included similar points in an April 6 statement that he addressed to Tibetans worldwide and reiterated them as his priorities in a May 25 interview with a Western newspaper. President Hu Jintao said on May 7, soon after the Shenzhen meeting, “We hope that the Dalai Lama side take[s] concrete actions to show its sincerity by
earnestly stopping activities involving splitting the motherland, instigating violence and disrupting the Beijing Olympics so as to create conditions for next consultation.52

On July 1 and 2, 2008, the Dalai Lama’s envoys met in Beijing with UFWD officials, including UFWD Head Du Qinglin, for the seventh round of formal dialogue.53 The Chinese team presented the envoys a set of new preconditions (the “four no supports”)54 that intensify the Chinese government and Party campaign to hold the Dalai Lama personally accountable for Tibetan views and activities that he does not support and that contradict his policies and guidance.55 A UFWD spokesman described the four types of activity that the Dalai Lama must not support as: (1) attempting to disrupt the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games; (2) inciting violence (during Tibetan protests); (3) alleged “terrorist activities” by a Tibetan NGO; and (4) seeking Tibetan independence.56 Du Qinglin demanded that the Dalai Lama “should openly and explicitly promise” to fulfill the requirements of the “four no supports” and “prove it in his actions.”57 The demands pressure the Dalai Lama to serve as an active proponent of Chinese government political objectives as a precondition to continuing a dialogue that seeks to resolve political issues, and to take action to alter the political positions and activities of Tibetans within China and internationally.58

After the Beijing talks, Chinese officials and the Dalai Lama’s envoys both stated that continuing the dialogue is in jeopardy and depends on measures that the other side should undertake. A UFWD official said that if “the Dalai side” could not “materialize” the “four no supports,” then “there would hardly be the atmosphere and conditions required for the contacts and discussions between the two sides.”59 Special Envoy Lodi Gyari said that the Tibetan delegation had been “compelled to candidly convey to our counterparts that in the absence of serious and sincere commitment on their part the continuation of the present dialogue process would serve no purpose.”60

**Heightened Repression of Tibetan Buddhism**

State repression of Tibetan Buddhism in 2008 has reached the highest level since the Commission began to report on religious freedom for Tibetan Buddhists in 2002. Chinese government and Party policy toward Tibetan Buddhists’ practice of their religion played a central role in stoking frustration that resulted in the cascade of Tibetan protests that started on March 10, 2008, when approximately 300 Drepung Monastery monks attempted a protest march in Lhasa.61 The protests spread quickly across the Tibetan plateau and involved a large but undetermined number of Tibetan Buddhist monastic institutions and thousands of monks and nuns.62 [See figure titled Map of Tibetan Protest Sites, County-level Areas above and Addendum: List of Tibetan Protest Sites, County-level Areas at the end of this section.]

Reports have identified hundreds of Tibetan Buddhist monks and nuns whom security officials detained for participating in the protests,63 as well as members of Tibetan secular society who supported them. Peaceful protesters raised Tibetan Buddhist issues by calling for the return of the Dalai Lama,64 the release of the Pan-
The Panchen Lama and the Golden Urn: China’s Model for Selecting the Next Dalai Lama

Gedun Choekyi Nyima, the boy the Dalai Lama recognized as the Panchen Lama in May 1995, turned 19 years old in April 2008. Chinese authorities have held him and his parents incommunicado in an unknown location since May 17, 1995. Three days after the Dalai Lama announced his recognition of Gedun Choekyi Nyima, the Chinese government told the UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion in September 2005 that Gedun Choekyi Nyima is leading a “normal, happy life and receiving a good cultural education.” A TAR official described Gedun Choekyi Nyima in July 2007 as a “patriotic” boy who is “living a normal life in Tibet” and “studying at a senior high school” and “does not want his life to be disturbed.” The Chinese government has provided no information to support the statement that Gedun Choekyi Nyima is in the TAR or any other Tibetan area of China.

The State Council declared the Dalai Lama’s 1995 announcement “illegal and invalid” and installed Gyaltse Norbu, whose appointment continues to stir widespread resentment among Tibetans—evidenced by Tibetan protesters’ calls in March 2008 for Chinese authorities to “release” Gedun Choekyi Nyima. Party officials assert that the next Dalai Lama will be selected in the same manner as Gyaltse Norbu: by drawing a name from a golden urn. Ye Xiaowen, Director of the State Administration for Religious Affairs (SARA), and an alternate member of the Communist Party Central Committee, said in an interview published on March 13, 2008, that SARA would “take control” of identifying the next Dalai Lama using “historical conventions.” One of those conventions would be drawing a lot from an urn containing the names of three government-approved candidates to be the “soul boy” (reincarnated lama).

Ye’s reference to “historical conventions” refers to a 1792 Qing Dynasty edict demanding that the Tibetan government in Lhasa reform religious, administrative, economic, and military practices to suit the Qing court. The first of the edict’s 29 articles directed that the Dalai Lama and Panchen Lama be selected by drawing lots from a golden urn, and that a high-ranking imperial official must be present to confirm the result.
the religion’s foremost teachers, serves to deepen division and distrust between Tibetan Buddhists and the government and Communist Party. As the Commission’s 2007 Annual Report documented, law, regulation, and policy that seek to prevent or punish Tibetan Buddhist devotion to the Dalai Lama, categorize him as a “splittist” (a criminal under Chinese law79), and that set aside centuries of religious tradition80 create obstacles of profound implications for Tibetan Buddhists.81 Legal and regulatory interference with Tibetan Buddhism antagonizes Tibetans in general, but it is especially harmful to Tibetans who regard the Dalai Lama (in his capacity as the spiritual leader of the Gelug tradition of Tibetan Buddhism82) as their guide on what Buddhists believe is the path toward enlightenment.

The function and legitimacy of Tibetan Buddhism—the core of Tibetan culture—has been especially hard-hit since 2005. Legal measures closely regulating monastic life in the TAR took effect in January 2007.83 Nationwide measures establishing state supervision of the centuries-old Tibetan tradition of identifying, seating, and educating boys whom Tibetans believe are reincarnations of Buddhist teachers took effect in September 2007.84 The government seeks to use such legal measures to remold Tibetan Buddhism to suit the state, and to use legal pressure to compel Tibetan acceptance of such measures. For example, a February 2008 Tibet Daily report provided information about conditions in TAR monasteries and nunneries less than one month before the protests erupted.85 The TAR procuratorate reported that it had “targeted monks and nuns” with campaigns on “love the country and love religion’ thinking” (patriotic education), and implemented measures linked to the government and Party’s “integrated management of the temples.”86

THE GANZI MEASURES: PUNISHING “MONK AND NUN TROUBLEMAKERS”

The government of Ganzi (Kardze) Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture (TAP), Sichuan province, issued on June 28, 2008, with immediate effect, unprecedented measures that seek to punish or eliminate from the prefecture’s Tibetan Buddhist institution those monks, nuns, religious teachers, and monastic officials whom public security officials accuse of involvement in political protests in the prefecture.87 Of 125 documented Tibetan protests across the Tibetan plateau from March 10 to June 22, at least 44 took place in Ganzi TAP according to an August 5 advocacy group report.88 Protesters at 40 of the 44 documented protests included Tibetan monks or nuns.89 Nearly 38,000 Tibetan Buddhist monks and nuns were residents of 515 monasteries and nunneries in Ganzi TAP as of 2005, according to the Sichuan Daily.90 Ganzi TAP has been the site of more known political detentions of Tibetans by Chinese authorities than any other TAP outside the TAR since the current period of Tibetan political activism began in 1987,91 based on data available in the Commission’s Political Prisoner Database (PPD).92

The “Measures for Dealing Strictly With Rebellious Monasteries and Individual Monks and Nuns” (the Ganzi Measures) took effect on the date they were issued and punish speech and association, not violent activity:
In order to defend social stability, socialist law and the basic interests of the people, the measures listed below have been resolutely drafted for dealing clearly with participants in illegal activities aimed at inciting the division of nationalities, such as shouting reactionary slogans, distributing reactionary writings, flying and popularizing the "snow lion flag" and holding illegal demonstrations.93

The Ganzi Measures appear to apply some punishments that may be without precedent in post-Mao Zedong China and that, based on Commission staff analysis, do not appear to have a clear basis in national legal measures that establish central government regulatory power over religious activity in China. Such measures include the 2004 Regulation on Religious Affairs94 and the 2007 Management Measures for the Reincarnation of Living Buddhas in Tibetan Buddhism.95 For example, punishments in some cases can include the partial destruction or closure of a monastery or nunnery.96 In other cases, authorities may punish a trulku (a teacher that Tibetan Buddhists believe is a reincarnation) by stripping the trulku of his religious position and function.97 [See Addendum: The June 2008 Ganzi Measures: Dealing Strictly With Troublemaking Monks, Nuns, and Monasteries.]

Weak Implementation of Regional Ethnic Autonomy

Tibetan protesters, in their widespread calls for Tibetan independence, provided an unprecedented de facto referendum rejecting China's implementation of its constitutionally enshrined regional ethnic autonomy system.98 The Regional Ethnic Autonomy Law99 (REAL) is the state's principal legal instrument for managing the affairs of ethnic minorities. Its weak implementation has prevented Tibetans from using lawful means to protect their culture, language, and religion. This has exacerbated Tibetan frustration. The Chinese leadership's refusal to recognize the REAL's failure to fulfill the law's premise that it guarantees ethnic minorities the "right to administer their internal affairs" could expose the leadership to further increases in Tibetan resentment, continued calls for Tibetan independence, and the risk of local instability. [See box titled Impediments to Regional Ethnic Autonomy: Conflicts Within and Between Laws below.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impediments to Regional Ethnic Autonomy: Conflicts Within and Between Laws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Premise of Autonomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The REAL's Preamble asserts that the regional ethnic autonomy system &quot;reflects the state's full respect for and guarantee of ethnic minorities' right to administer their internal affairs,&quot; and &quot;has played an enormous role in giving full play to ethnic minorities' enthusiasm for being masters over their own affairs.&quot;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impediments to Regional Ethnic Autonomy: Conflicts Within and Between Laws—Continued

Conflicts That Impede Autonomy

- Article 3 obligates ethnic autonomous governments to apply the decisions of higher-level authorities under “the principle of democratic centralism”—a system that is more consultative than democratic. A Chinese government White Paper said that democratic centralism “requires that the majority be respected while the minority is protected.”

- Article 7 sets aside ethnic minority rights to “administer their internal affairs” by subordinating ethnic autonomous governments to every higher level of government authority.

- Article 12 provides a basis for establishing boundaries of ethnic autonomous areas that can reflect factors such as “historical background” and “the relationship among the various nationalities”—but it is Beijing’s view of history and ethnic relations that determines whether the REAL unites—or divides—territory where ethnic minority groups live.

- Article 19 (and Constitution Article 116) provide ethnic autonomous congresses the power to enact autonomy or self-governing regulations “in the light of the political, economic, and cultural characteristics” of the relevant ethnic group(s)—but China’s Legislation Law intrudes upon the right of ethnic minority people’s congresses to issue such regulations.

- Article 20 provides ethnic autonomous governments the right to apply to a higher-level state agency to alter or cancel the implementation of a “resolution, decision, order, or instruction” if it does not “suit the actual conditions in an ethnic autonomous area”—but the Legislation Law bars ethnic autonomous governments from enacting any variance to the laws and regulations that matter the most: those that are “dedicated to matters concerning ethnic autonomous areas.”

Economic Development vs. Ethnic Minorities’ Autonomous Rights

The Chinese government undermines the prospects for stability in Tibetan autonomous areas of China by implementing economic development and educational policy in a manner that results in disadvantages for Tibetans. In a November 2007 academic thesis, Dr. Andrew Fischer analyzed the relationship in Tibetan areas of China between “economic polarisation, social exclusion, and social conflict.” He said, “The exclusionary experiences of Tibetans in different tiers of the labor market are interlinked through polarisation,” he said, “and operate along educational or cultural axes of disadvantage”—with the result that “class grievances mutate into cross-class collective grievances.” The relevance of the point is evident in the social and professional range of Tibetan protesters who were not monks and nuns: business operators, workers, university graduates, junior high school students, farmers, and nomads.

The Chinese government facilitates resentment among non-monastic Tibetans against the increasing Han dominance in economic and cultural spheres principally by failing to empower local Tibetan autonomous governments to protect Tibetan interests. Among the consequences are the decline of the use and teaching of Tibetan language, and educational and training programs...
that leave Tibetans poorly prepared to compete in a Han-dominated job market. Fischer observes in a forthcoming paper that preferential policies toward Tibetans are not as important in "dealing with disjunctures across changing educational and employment systems" as achieving "holistic political representation and decision making of minority groups." 

The Qinghai-Tibet railway, a premier project of the Great Western Development program that entered service in July 2006, is an example of how Chinese policies prioritize accelerating economic development over protecting ethnic minorities' rights of autonomy. The impact of the Qinghai-Tibet railway could overwhelm Tibetans and sharply increase pressure on the Tibetan culture. Based on Commission analysis of fragmentary and sometimes contradictory information, more than a half million passengers, most of whom are likely to be ethnic Han, may have traveled during the first 18 months of railway operation (July 2006 through December 2007) to the TAR to seek work, trade, and business opportunities. 

The Chinese government announced in January 2008 steps toward building a new railway that will open up the eastern TAR and Ganzi (Kardze) TAP—areas where Tibetan protesters have been active—to population influx from one of China's most populous provinces. The railway will originate in Chengdu city, the capital of Sichuan province, and traverse Kangding (Dartsedo), Yajiang (Nyagchukha), Litang (Lithang), and Batang (Bathang) counties in Ganzi TAP before entering the TAR near Mangkang (Markham) county in Changdu (Chamdo) prefecture, based on a China Daily sketch. 

A Ministry of Railways spokesman said in August 2008 that the government expects to complete construction by 2020 of six rail lines feeding the Qinghai-Tibet railway. Authorities had announced two of the rail lines (Lhasa-Rikaze and Lhasa-Linzhi) previously. The spokesman did not provide any information about the railway route between Golmud city and Chengdu city. Depending on the government's economic, political, and geographic objectives, the route could traverse a number of Tibetan autonomous areas, including one or both of Yushu and Guoluo (Golog) TAPs in Qinghai province, and one or both of Ganzi TAP and Aba (Ngaba) Tibetan and Qiang Autonomous Prefecture in Sichuan province. Such a route would pass through some of the most remote Tibetan autonomous areas—areas where remoteness and the unavailability of high-capacity transportation links have helped the proportion of Tibetan population to remain relatively high.

A five-year TAR government economic development program announced in the aftermath of the Tibetan protests indicates that government policy will prioritize and accelerate industrial expansion and resource extraction. TAR economic commission director Li Xia said that the government "will pool 21.17 billion yuan (about 3 billion U.S. dollars) for 10 mining projects, four construction and building material enterprises, three medicine and food plants, and five industrial development zones in five years." The government expects the projects to be operational by 2013, Li said. The report did not disclose details about the source of the funding for the projects, the location of the industrial development zones, or
the extent to which authorities expect the new projects to attract non-Tibetans to the TAR to seek employment. The total cost of the 22 projects will be equal to approximately two-thirds of the 33 billion yuan cost of constructing the Qinghai-Tibet railway.126

Another state-run program that prioritizes economic development by settling Tibetan nomads into compact communities is nearing completion throughout Tibetan areas, disrupting an important sector of the Tibetan culture and economy.127 Nomads participated in the wave of protests following March 10 in substantial numbers, placing some Tibetan counties on the protest map for the first time128 since the current period of Tibetan political activism began in 1987.129

Consequences of the Protests: Death, Detention, Patriotic Education, Isolation

At no time since Tibetans resumed political activism in 1987 has the magnitude and severity of consequences to Tibetans (named and unnamed) who protested against the Chinese government been as great as it is now upon the release of the Commission’s 2008 Annual Report. Few details are available about the thousands of Tibetans whom Chinese security officials detained, beat, fired on, or otherwise harmed as armed forces suppressed protests or riots and maintained security lockdowns. China’s state-run media reported extensively on personal injury and property damage that Tibetan rioters caused from March 14 to 19 in locations such as Lhasa city, Aba county, and Gannan TAP, but authorities provided few details about the thousands of Tibetans whom they acknowledge detaining as a result of the incidents. Moreover, officials have provided little information about the suppression of peaceful Tibetan protests that took place over a period of weeks in more than 40 counties where Chinese state media did not report rioting, and where security officials reportedly detained thousands more Tibetans.130 [See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants for more information about legal process and abuse of Tibetan detainees.]

DEATH

At least 218 Tibetans had died by June as the result of Chinese security forces using lethal force (such as gunfire) against Tibetan protesters, or from severe abuse (such as beating and torture), according to an August 21 Tibetan government-in-exile (TGiE) report.131 The Tibetan Centre for Human Rights and Democracy reported on June 20 that “more than 100” Tibetans had died.132 Neither organization commented publicly on the substantial difference between the estimates. If a report is accurate that, on March 28, authorities cremated near Lhasa more than 80 (apparently unidentified) bodies of Tibetans killed in connection with protest (or riot) activity, then a full accounting of all of the casualties may never occur.133

The March 14 Lhasa protests and rioting resulted in the largest number of Tibetan fatalities reported for a single incident. On March 16, the TGiE reported that “at least 80 people were killed” on March 14 in Lhasa.134 Jampa Phuntsog (Xiangba Pingcuo), Chairman of the TAR government, denied at a March 17 press conference, however, that security forces carried or used “any destruc-
tive weapons” as they suppressed the March 14 riot. Additional incidents of lethal weapons fire against Tibetan protesters took place on at least six occasions outside the TAR, according to NGO and media reports: on March 11 in Daocheng (Dabpa) county, Ganzi TAP, Sichuan province; March 16 in Aba county, Aba prefecture, Sichuan province; March 16 (or March 18) in Maqu county, Gannan TAP, Gansu province; March 18 in Ganzi county, Ganzi TAP; March 24 in Luhuo (Draggo) county, Ganzi TAP; and on April 3 in Ganzi county. Up to 15 Tibetans were reportedly wounded by weapons fire on April 5 in Daofu (Dawu) county, Ganzi TAP, but no fatalities were reported. The Dalai Lama issued statements on March 18 and April 6 appealing to Tibetans to refrain from violent activity.

Chinese officials have not acknowledged the deaths of Tibetan protesters as the result of lethal force used by Chinese security forces. Instead, state-run media has emphasized the consequences of Tibetan violence, especially the deaths of 18 civilians and 1 policeman in the March 14 Lhasa riot. International media and non-governmental organizations also reported Tibetan violence, sometimes resulting in death, against ethnic Han and Hui individuals in Lhasa.

DETENTION

Unless Chinese authorities have released without charge a very high proportion of the Tibetans reportedly detained as a result of peaceful activity or expression on or after March 10, 2008, the resulting surge in the number of Tibetan political prisoners may prove to be the largest increase in such prisoners that has occurred under China’s current Constitution and Criminal Law. The current period of Tibetan political activism began in 1987. [See chart titled Tibetan Political Detention by Year, 1987–2008 below.]

Chinese security officials detained thousands of Tibetans, first in connection with the cascade of protests (and sometimes rioting) followed by the imposition of security lockdowns at protest locations, and then as monks, nuns, and other Tibetans expressed anger at the aggressive reimplemention of political indoctrination campaigns, including patriotic education. China’s state-run media acknowledged in reports in March and April 2008 that a total of 4,434 persons characterized as “rioters” had either surrendered to security forces or were detained by them in nine counties where rioting reportedly took place between March 14 and 19. The nine counties were located in Lhasa municipality and Gannan TAP. The reports did not name or provide detailed information about any of the detainees. Two official reports on April 9 and June 21 disclosed the release of a total of 3,027 of the 4,434 persons who reportedly surrendered or were detained. The June 21 report (on Lhasa) noted that the persons released had “expressed regret for conducting minor crimes.” Based on the April 9 and June 21 reports, the status of more than 1,200 of the persons who had surrendered or been detained remained unknown. [For detailed information, see table titled Official Chinese Sources: Detention, Surrender, and Release of Alleged “Rioters” below.]
Tibetan Political Detention by Year, 1987-2008

Based on data available in the Congressional-Executive Commission on China Political Prisoner Database.

2008 figure is approximate, based on public reports providing names and details of political detainees.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lhasa city, March 14 rioting</th>
<th>Gannan TAP, March 14–19 rioting</th>
<th>Linzhou county, March 14 rioting</th>
<th>Aba county, March 18 rioting</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surrender: Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrender: Released</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>2,204 (incl. 519 monks)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>3,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrender: Formal arrest</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>1,870 (incl. 413 monks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrender: Remain detained</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>334 (incl. 106 monks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police detention: Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>440 (incl. 170 monks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police detention: Released</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police detention: Formal arrest</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police detention: Remain detained</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Surrendered or detained</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,315</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Remain detained</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>China Daily, June 21</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>3,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reports as of June)</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Xinhua, April 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Sentenced</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>China Daily, June 21</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reports as of June)</td>
<td></td>
<td>China Daily, June 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Released</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>China Daily, June 21</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reports as of June)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Status unknown</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>774</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reports as of June)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Reports as of June
Chinese authorities had by late June provided detailed legal process information about only a few dozen of the protest- and riot-related cases that may have reached trial in the Lhasa area, and no information about a possibly greater number of prosecutions that could take place in other locations across the Tibetan protest area. All but 14 of the individual cases known to the Commission about which China disclosed criminal charge information involved charges of violent or ordinary crime committed during activity characterized as rioting.

The largest such disclosure of official information was on the Lhasa Intermediate People’s Court April 29, 2008, sentencing of 30 Tibetans to imprisonment for periods ranging from three years to life. The court convicted the defendants for crimes described as “arson, looting, picking quarrels and provoking troubles, assembling a crowd to storm state organs, disrupting public service, and theft.” A Lhasa court convicted an additional 12 persons on similar charges on June 19 and 20, bringing to 42 the total of officially acknowledged convictions linked to alleged riot-related activity in Lhasa municipality, according to an official report. An additional 116 persons were awaiting trial. A Party-run Web site disclosed on March 30 a reshuffling of TAR court and procuratorate personnel that could have facilitated an increase in case handling capacity by the two intermediate people’s courts located nearest to Lhasa. An official Chinese report disclosed on July 11 that on June 19 and 20 four local courts in Lhasa and Shannan (Lhoka) Prefecture sentenced an additional 12 persons to imprisonment for alleged involvement in the Lhasa rioting. The same report disclosed that courts had not yet sentenced anyone to death in connection with alleged rioting, but that 116 persons “were on trial” and that Chinese law would determine whether some of the persons tried would be sentenced to execution.

The most extensive NGO compilation of detailed information about the detention of Tibetans resulting from the protests has been an April 25, 2008, Tibetan Centre for Human Rights and Democracy (TCHRD) list of 518 Tibetans. Media organizations and NGOs continued to report additional detentions during the months preceding publication of the Commission’s Annual Report. Two reports released in August by different Tibetan reporting agencies placed the total number of Tibetan detentions since March 10 at 6,705 and “over 6,500” respectively. Neither report provided any information about the number of detainees who had been released or remain detained, or who had been sentenced to imprisonment or reeducation through labor (RTL). Security officials in the TAR “deported” on April 25 to Qinghai province 675 monks, including 405 monks studying at Drepung Monastery and 205 monks studying at Sera Monastery, according to an August 28 media organization report. Many of the monks were originally from Qinghai; others were from Tibetan autonomous areas of Sichuan province. “All” of the monks from Qinghai remained detained in their hometowns, according to the report, which did not name any of the detainees and provided few details about detainees’ current locations. The 610 Drepung and Sera monks removed from the TAR were among a total of approximately 950 monks authorities detained from the
two monasteries on April 10 and April 14, according to the same report.174

PATRIOTIC EDUCATION

The Party responded to the Tibetan protests with further escalation of the very political indoctrination campaigns, such as patriotic education ("love the country, love religion"), that helped to provoke Tibetans into protesting in the first place.175 Party Secretary Zhang Qingli issued an order on April 3 that officials across the TAR must conduct patriotic education programs at monastic institutions, workplaces, businesses, and schools, and require participants to sign denunciations of the Dalai Lama, according to a media report.176 The Tibet Daily reported that the Party had organized a teleconference to warn cadres against "war-weariness" and to conduct educational activities that would "remove the scales" from the eyes of the "vast masses" so that they would "see clearly what Dalai really wants and what he has already done."177 According to another Tibet Daily report, the Lhasa city school system trained nearly 3,700 patriotic education "core instructors" who lectured a total of nearly 180,000 persons who attended a total of more than 1,000 lectures.178 Officials in Tibetan autonomous areas outside the TAR launched political indoctrination campaigns179 in prefectures where protests took place,180 as well as in locations where protests were not reported.181

The aggressive new patriotic education campaigns fueled a second wave of protests and detentions that began in April and continued as the Commission prepared the 2008 Annual Report. Authorities may have detained hundreds of monks, nuns, and other Tibetans as the result of incidents arising from Tibetan refusals to fulfill the demands of patriotic education instructors.182 Government measures to prevent information from reaching international observers have hindered an accurate assessment of the full impact of patriotic education and other political indoctrination programs on Tibetan communities. In addition to the standard demand that monks and nuns denounce the Dalai Lama, officials sought to pressure senior Tibetan Buddhist figures183 and ordinary monks, nuns, and villagers184 to affirm support for the Chinese government assertion that the Dalai Lama was responsible for the protests and rioting. Authorities in some cases vandalized or destroyed images of the Dalai Lama, offending monks and nuns and prompting comparisons with the Cultural Revolution.185 Security forces responded to an April 3 protest resulting from patriotic education in Ganzi county with lethal weapons fire.186

ISOLATION

Chinese security officials imposed and maintained measures that isolated Tibetan communities from each other and from the outside world as the Tibetan protests spread and the Chinese government response gathered momentum. Authorities confiscated cell phones and computers, turned off cellular transmission facilities, and interfered with Internet access, according to accounts.187 Authorities threatened Tibetans with punishment if they shared information about Tibetan fatalities or detentions.188

The Chinese government continued to deny international journalists and foreign tourists access to the TAR after dropping plans to
reopen the region to such visitors on May 1.\textsuperscript{189} Ministry of Foreign Affairs Spokesman Qin Gang confirmed on June 12, 2008, that the TAR remained temporarily closed to foreign journalists and blamed the closure on “the Dalai Clique.”\textsuperscript{190} The level of access by foreign journalists and tourists to Tibetan autonomous areas located in other provinces—which unlike the TAR do not require special permits of foreigners for entry—varied during the post-March 10 period. [See Section II—Freedom of Expression—Restrictions Bolster Image of Party and Government.] The Dalai Lama stated in a May 25 interview that the most important gesture he would like to see from the Chinese government would be to permit international journalists to travel to the Tibetan areas of China to “look, investigate, so the picture becomes clear.”\textsuperscript{191}

**Long-term Implications of the Tibetan Protests**

Chinese government decisions guiding recovery from the wave of protests (and rioting) could alter the outlook for the Tibetan culture, religion, language, and heritage. Continuing with the current mix of policy, law, and implementation, and waiting for the Dalai Lama to pass away so that Chinese officials can supervise the installation of a Dalai Lama whom Tibetans are unlikely to accept, could result in heightened risks to local and regional security for decades to come.

A Chinese government decision to fulfill the Constitution’s guarantees of the freedoms of speech, religion, and association; to ensure that laws and regulations on regional ethnic autonomy deliver to Tibetans the right to “administer their internal affairs”; and to engage the Dalai Lama in substantive dialogue on the Tibet issue, can result in a durable and mutually beneficial outcome for Chinese and Tibetans.

**Tibetan Political Imprisonment: No News of Early Release, Sentence Reduction**

The Commission is not aware of any reports of Tibetan political prisoners to whom Chinese authorities granted a sentence reduction or an early release from imprisonment during the past year. The Dui Hua Foundation noted in a June 17, 2008, report that it had not seen any such developments recently, and that cases involving the charge of splittism\textsuperscript{192} are being “strictly handled.”\textsuperscript{193} Officials rarely grant clemency to Tibetan or Uyghur political prisoners, who are typically charged with splittism, Dui Hua said.\textsuperscript{194}

The Commission is not aware of new developments in the cases of Tibetan monk Jigme Gyatso\textsuperscript{195} (detained in 1996 and serving an extended 18-year sentence for printing leaflets, distributing posters, and later shouting pro-Dalai Lama slogans in prison); monk Choeying Khedrub\textsuperscript{196} (sentenced in 2000 to life imprisonment for printing leaflets); reincarnated lama Bangri Chogtrul\textsuperscript{197} (detained in 1999 and serving a sentence of 18 years commuted from life imprisonment for “inciting splittism”); or nomad Ronggyal Adrag (sentenced in November 2007 to 8 years’ imprisonment for shouting political slogans at a public festival).
ADDENDUM: LIST OF TIBETAN PROTEST SITES, COUNTY-LEVEL AREAS

County-level areas and cities where peaceful Tibetan protests (and in some cases, riots) reportedly took place from March 10, 2008, through the end of April. Multiple protests took place in several counties.

Beijing municipality (1)
Beijing municipality (1): Beijing city.

Tibet Autonomous Region (17)
- Lhasa municipality (7): Lasa (Lhasa) city, Linzhou (Lhundrub) county, Damxiong (Damshung) county, Qushui (Chushur) county, Duilongdeqing (Toelung Dechen) county, Dazi (Tagtse) county, Mozhugongka (Maldro Gongkar) county.
- Changdu (Chamdo) prefecture (4): Jiangda (Jomda) county, Gonggve (Gonjo) county, Basu (Pashoe) county, Mangkang (Markham) county.
- Shannan (Lhoka) prefecture (1): Zhanang (Dranang) county.
- Rikze (Shigatse) prefecture (2): Rikze city, Sajia (Sakya) county.
- Naqu (Nagchu) prefecture (2): Naqu county, Suo (Sog) county.
- Ali (Ngari) prefecture (1): Ritu (Ruthog) county.

Qinghai province (13)
- Xining municipality (1): Xining city.
- Haidong prefecture (1): Hualong Hui Autonomous County.
- Huangnan (Malho) Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture (TAP) (3): Tongren (Rebgong) county, Jianzha (Chentsa) county, Zeku (Tsekhog) county, Henan (Yulgan) Mongol Autonomous county.
- Hainan TAP (4): Gonghe (Chabcha) county, Tongde (Gepasumdo) county, Xinghai (Tsogorthang) county, Guinan (Mangra) county.
- Guoluo (Golog) TAP (3): Banma (Pema) county, Dari (Darlag) county, Jiuzhi (Chigdril) county.
- Yushu (Yulshul) TAP (1): Yushu (Kyegudo) county.

Gansu province (7)
- Lanzhou municipality (1): Lanzhou city.
- Gannan (Kanlho) TAP (6): Hezuo (Tsoe) city, Xiahe (Sangchu) county, Luqu (Luchu) county, Maqu (Machu) county, Diebu (Thewo) county, Zhuoni (Chone) county.

Sichuan province (17)
- Chengdu municipality (1): Chengdu city.
- Aba (Ngaba) Tibetan and Qiang Autonomous Prefecture (5): Ma'erkang (Barkham) county, Songpan (Zungchu) county, Ru'o'erqai (Dzoegai) county, Aba county, Rangtang (Dzamthang) county.
- Ganzi (Kardze) TAP (11): Kangding (Dartsedo) county, Daocheng (Dabpa) county, Yajiang (Nyagchukha) county, Litang (Lithang) county, Xinlong (Nyagrong) county, Daofu (Tawu) county, Luhuo (Dragco) county, Ganzi county, Dege county, Shiqiu (Sershul) county, Seda (Serthar) county.
ADDENDUM

THE JUNE 2008 GANZI MEASURES: DEALING STRICTLY WITH TROUBLEMAKING MONKS, NUNS, AND MONASTERIES

The government of Ganzi (Kardze) Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture (TAP), located in Sichuan province, issued with immediate effect on June 28, 2008, the “Measures for Dealing Strictly With Rebellious Monasteries and Individual Monks and Nuns” (Ganzi Measures).\(^\text{198}\) The Ganzi Measures are divided into three groups: Articles 1 to 4 deal with “monk and nun troublemakers”; Articles 5 to 9 address “troublemaking monasteries”; Articles 10 to 12 seek to punish management officials of monasteries and nunneries who failed to “fulfill their responsibilities.”

Based on Commission staff analysis, some punishments do not appear to have a clear basis in national legal measures that establish central government regulatory power over religious activity in China. Three examples are:

- The punitive demolition of lawfully constructed monastic residences;
- The punitive reduction of the number of lawfully registered monks or nuns entitled to reside at a monastery or nunnery; and
- The punitive removal from a reincarnated Tibetan Buddhist teacher of his religious position and function.

MONKS AND NUNS: REEDUCATION, CRIMINAL CHARGES, EXPULSION

Articles 1 to 4 divide punishment for monks and nuns into four levels of severity. Determinants include official assessment of whether an alleged offense is “minor” or “serious,” whether or not a monk or nun is cooperative and provides a written statement of guilt, and whether a monk or nun is “stubborn.”

Articles 1 to 3 impose “reeducation.” Article 1 applies the least level of punishment and allows a monk or nun to undergo reeducation in a family household if the head of household serves as guarantor that the monk or nun will remain inside the house and “strictly follow reeducation.” Articles 2 and 3 require that reeducation take place “in custody,” but the measures do not specify the type of facility in which the monk or nun will be confined while under custody.

Article 4 provides for punishment “according to law” for activities such as “instigating splittism and disturbances” (e.g., prosecution in a court on charges such as Article 103 of China’s Criminal Law (inciting “splittism”), or Article 293 (“creating disturbances”)). Other activities punishable by law are “hatching conspiracies,” “forming organizations,” and “taking a leading role.”

Articles 3 and 4 include expulsion of a monk or nun from a monastery or nunnery and permanent revocation of official status as a monk or nun.

MONASTERIES AND NUNNERIES: SHRINKING SOME, CLOSING OTHERS

Articles 5 to 9 describe “cleansing and rectification” of monasteries and nunneries, a process that penalizes the institution of Tibetan Buddhism.
Article 5 provides rectification for monasteries and nunneries where 10 percent to 30 percent of monks and nuns participated in "disturbances." The monastery or nunnery will be sealed off, searched, religious activity suspended, and "suspect persons detained according to law."

Article 6 provides for rectification of Democratic Management Committees (DMCs) at monasteries and nunneries where DMC members "participated in disturbances." Local government officials may take over the management of a monastery or nunnery if they deem "suitable personnel" to be unavailable. Normal management functions of monasteries and nunneries will be suspended while a DMC undergoes rectification.

Article 7 provides for expelling monks and nuns from monasteries and nunneries and annulling their official status as "religious practitioners" if they do not "assist" officials conducting rectification, refuse to be photographed and registered, leave a monastery or nunnery without permission, or fail to "correct themselves" during reeducation.

Article 7 provides for the demolition of monastic residences that were occupied by monks or nuns that officials expel. (The Commission is not aware of a national or provincial legal measure that provides for the demolition of monastic residences as punishment for offenses such as those listed in Article 7. Based on information available to the Commission, monasteries and nunneries apply for and receive permission from local government officials to renovate or construct monastic residences. The Ganzi Measures do not make clear whether the residences of monks and nuns expelled under Articles 3 and 4 will also be demolished.)

Article 8 requires re-registration of all monks and nuns resident at monasteries and nunneries involved in "disturbances."

Article 8 reduces the total number of monks and nuns permitted to reside at monasteries and nunneries involved in "disturbances" by the number of monks or nuns who are expelled from each monastery or nunnery. (Once officials reduce the number of monks and nuns permitted to reside at a monastery or nunnery, restoring the number of monks and nuns to its previous level would require coordination between a monastery or nunnery's Democratic Management Committee, a state-controlled Buddhist association, and the local government.)

Article 9 provides for the investigation, loss of status as a "registered religious institution," and closure of a monastery or nunnery if officials determine that a DMC does not improve after rectification, or if monks or nuns "go out again and make trouble." (Once a monastery or nunnery is de-registered and closed, provisions of the Regulation on Religious Affairs would require provincial-level approval before the monastery or nunnery could be re-established.)

MONASTIC OFFICIALS, TEACHERS, AND TRULKUS: PUBLIC HUMILIATION, LOSS OF POSITION

Articles 10 to 12 punish members of a monastery or nunnery's DMC that do not maintain control of monks and nuns and "take a clear stand on the issue" (e.g., uphold government and Party policy). All three measures refer to DMC officials including monks,
khenpos (abbots), geshes (teachers who have attained the most advanced degree of monastic education), and trulkus (teachers that Tibetan Buddhists believe are reincarnated).

Article 10 provides for “careful scrutiny” of mistakes, criticism, and reeducation of DMC members that were “not directly involved in disturbances,” but that failed to “take a clear stand on the issue,” investigate and discipline monks and nuns that protested, or that were “lax” or deemed to have committed “instances of poor management.”

Article 11 provides for television and newspaper coverage of “detailed examination” of DMC members before a monastic assembly if DMC members are “two-faced” or fail to “make their attitude clear.” Such DMC members must submit a “written guarantee” (presumably of correct behavior) at the publicized event.

Article 12 provides for punishment under China’s Criminal Law as well as loss of government, consultative, and religious positions for DMC members that “collude with foreign separatists” (a probable reference to the Dalai Lama and the Tibetan Buddhist monastic community in other countries), “assist” protests, “tolerate” protests, or “incite” others to protest. Officials will strip trulkus accused of such behavior of “the right to hold the incarnation lineage.” (The Commission is not aware of a legal basis in China’s national regulations on religion for stripping a trulku of “the right” to be a trulku. The 2007 Management Measures for the Reincarnation of Living Buddhas in Tibetan Buddhism (MMR) provide detailed regulation of the process of identifying, seating, and educating a reincarnated Tibetan Buddhist teacher—including regulation of whether or not a reincarnated teacher is entitled to reincarnate once again. The MMR does not, however, provide a process whereby the state may “strip” a trulku of his religious position and function.)
VI. Developments in Hong Kong

INTRODUCTION

The United States supports a stable, autonomous Hong Kong under the “one country, two systems” formula articulated in the Sino-U.K. Joint Declaration and the Basic Law. The people of Hong Kong enjoy the benefits of an independent judiciary and an open society in which the freedoms of religion, speech, and assembly are respected. The Commission notes, however, that full democracy in Hong Kong has been delayed again at least until 2017 by the Chinese central government. The Commission strongly supports the provisions of the Basic Law that provide for the election of the Chief Executive and the entire Legislative Council through universal suffrage, and highlights the importance of the central government’s obligation to give Hong Kong the “high degree of autonomy” promised in the Basic Law.

UNIVERSAL SUFFRAGE

Although the Basic Law promises democracy through universal suffrage to the people of Hong Kong, the central government continued to obstruct progress toward the fulfillment of that promise by refusing to allow constitutional and electoral reforms to proceed in the near term. The National People’s Congress Standing Committee (NPCSC) issued a decision in December 2007 prohibiting the people of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) from directly electing both the Chief Executive and the entire Legislative Council (LegCo) in 2012. This decision marked the second time in less than four years that the NPCSC has issued a formal ruling to delay the commencement of universal suffrage in Hong Kong.

The NPCSC’s decision to further postpone electoral reforms came despite public polling that demonstrated widespread popular support for 2012 as the start date for universal suffrage in Hong Kong. Three short weeks before the NPCSC made its position known, Anson Chan, a candidate who ran on a platform that called for universal suffrage in 2012, won a directly elected seat in the LegCo. Chan won the support of 54.6 percent of voters in the Hong Kong Island district, defeating her main opponent, Regina Ip, who advocated 2017 as a possible date for direct election. In July 2007, the HKSAR government issued a Green Paper on Constitutional Development to consult the public on plans for implementing universal suffrage for the Chief Executive. The public’s views were consolidated into a report and submitted to the NPCSC more than two weeks before it issued its decision in December 2007. The HKSAR report stated that “implementing universal suffrage for the Chief Executive first in 2012 is the expectation of more than half of the public, as reflected in the opinion polls; this expectation should be taken seriously and given consideration.” In spite of these indications of broad support for universal suffrage, the NPCSC ruled out that possibility for 2012 without offering a justification for its decision.

The NPCSC decision, however, stated that the election of the fifth Chief Executive in 2017 “may be implemented by the method of universal suffrage.” Thereafter, all members of the Legislative...
Council may be elected by universal suffrage in 2020 at the earliest. On the day that the NPCSC released its decision, Qiao Xiaoyang, Deputy Secretary General of the NPCSC, personally conveyed its message to a symposium on the future of Hong Kong’s political development. Qiao said that the decision “made clear” the “timetable for universal elections” and that it indicated that “the Chief Executive can be elected through universal suffrage in 2017.” The Chief Executive is currently selected by an 800-member Election Committee that includes representatives from Hong Kong’s functional constituencies, ex-officio members (members of the Legislative Council, Hong Kong deputies to the National People’s Congress, and Hong Kong representatives to the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference), and religious representatives. Only half of the Legislative Council’s 60 seats are currently returned by direct elections. The remaining seats are chosen by functional constituency voters, who are largely comprised of representatives of business interests that are pro-status quo and unwilling to challenge the central government. In September 2008, the lack of electoral reform depressed voter enthusiasm, as turnout for the LegCo election fell more than 10 percentage points from 2004. Advocates for greater democracy retained roughly the same level of support (24 seats in the 60-member Legislature—a loss of 2 seats) despite the retirement of several prominent senior leaders, while pro-business leaders struggled amidst a climate that favored bread-and-butter economic issues.

Several remaining hurdles must be removed before universal suffrage can be achieved in Hong Kong, including the future of functional constituency seats and the method of candidate selection. The NPCSC’s decision stipulated that any proposal to change the current selection methods to direct election by universal suffrage must originate from the HKSAR government, be approved by a two-thirds majority of the Legislative Council, and be subjected to final approval by the NPCSC. Proponents of democracy in Hong Kong are concerned that the ultimate proposal introduced by the government could fall short of genuine democracy. Zhang Xiaoming, a Deputy Director of the State Council’s Hong Kong and Macau Affairs Office, fueled concern in Hong Kong when he stated earlier this year that the functional constituency seats are not necessarily in conflict with universal suffrage. Zhang’s comments were later echoed by Chief Executive Donald Tsang, who said during a May 2008 LegCo session, “I believe under some kind of arrangements, functional constituencies can achieve the principles of equal and universal suffrage.” Some lawmakers also expressed concerns that restrictions on candidacy might be imposed to screen out or otherwise exclude certain figures from consideration in the nomination process for the Chief Executive. The NPCSC’s December 2007 decision insisted that candidates for Chief Executive shall continue to be nominated by an Election Committee after universal suffrage is implemented.

THE 2008 OLYMPICS: PROTEST & DISSENT IN HONG KONG

In 2008, the HKSAR government denied entry into the territory to human rights activists planning to protest during Olympic events, giving rise to concern that Hong Kong’s autonomy has erod-
ed in the 10 years since its handover to the People’s Republic of China. During the April torch relay, the government denied entry into Hong Kong to three Danish human rights activists who intended to protest. The Immigration Department declined to comment on the case but reiterated that the department had a duty to “uphold effective immigration controls.” Some sources also alleged that a Tibetan monk traveling to Hong Kong for a religious seminar at the same time was stopped on arrival at the airport and forced to fly to another destination. In addition to these incidents, the government barred at least four other activists from Hong Kong prior to the torch relay. The HKSAR Security Bureau submitted a report to the Legislative Counsel in May that defended its policy barring activists and warned that the government would not welcome “any person (who) seeks to damage the solemnity of the Olympics.”

In August, obstruction of protests and barring of activists continued as Hong Kong served as the host city for the 2008 Olympic equestrian events. Hong Kong immigration officers deported Yang Jianli, a prominent Chinese pro-democracy activist who lives in exile in the United States, upon his arrival in Hong Kong. The Hong Kong Falun Dafa Association also said that three Falun Gong practitioners—two Taiwanese and one American—were denied entry to the city in August. Local activists complained that the designated protest zones were too far from the Olympic venue, and two protesters who entered the venue were quickly removed by security when they attempted to display a Tibetan flag. Security officials also evicted Leung Kwok-hung, a pro-democracy lawmaker in Hong Kong, and his friend from an Olympic event for shouting slogans and holding up a banner promoting human rights.

Two weeks after the Olympic closing ceremony, the HKSAR government called for public consultation regarding the city’s human rights situation. The results of this consultation are to be included in a larger report that the central government will submit to the UN Human Rights Council. The Commission is concerned that efforts to prevent protest during the Olympic period represent a compromise of Hong Kong’s political autonomy, and over the coming year will monitor the government’s response to these concerns as expressed by the people of Hong Kong.

[See Section II—2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games.]
Appendix: China’s International Human Rights Commitments

The Universal Declaration enshrines a core set of rights and freedoms that individuals everywhere enjoy. China voted to adopt the Universal Declaration in 1948, and the current Chinese government has continued to commit itself to upholding human rights through international agreements and its own domestic law. In March 2008, Premier Wen Jiabao reiterated China’s commitment to ratify the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, saying it would do so “as soon as possible.”¹ The chart below lists what action China has taken on major human rights treaties and protocols to the treaties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convention or Protocol</th>
<th>Ratification Status</th>
<th>Reservations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</td>
<td>Signed October 5, 1998; not yet ratified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</td>
<td>Neither signed nor ratified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</td>
<td>Neither signed nor ratified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination</td>
<td>Acceded to December 29, 1981.</td>
<td>The People’s Republic of China has reservations on the provisions of article 22 of the Convention and will not be bound by it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention or Protocol</td>
<td>Ratification Status</td>
<td>Reservations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women</td>
<td>Neither signed nor ratified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention on the Rights of the Child</td>
<td>Ratified March 3, 1992</td>
<td>The People’s Republic of China shall fulfill its obligations provided by article 6 of the Convention under the prerequisite that the Convention accords with the provisions of article 25 concerning family planning of the Constitution of the People’s Republic of China and in conformity with the provisions of article 2 of the Law of Minor Children of the People’s Republic of China.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict</td>
<td>Ratified February 20, 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention or Protocol</td>
<td>Ratification Status</td>
<td>Reservations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment</td>
<td>Ratified October 4, 1988.</td>
<td>1. The Chinese Government does not recognize the competence of the Committee Against Torture as provided for in article 20 of the Convention. 2. The Chinese Government does not consider itself bound by paragraph 1 of article 30 of the Convention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional Protocol to the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment</td>
<td>Neither signed nor ratified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees</td>
<td>Acceded to September 24, 1982.</td>
<td>[Subject to] reservations on the following articles: 1. The latter half of article 14, which reads “In the territory of any other Contracting State, he shall be accorded the same protection as is accorded in that territory to nationals of the country in which he has his habitual residence.” 2. Article 16 (3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention or Protocol</td>
<td>Ratification Status</td>
<td>Reservations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families</td>
<td>Neither signed nor ratified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ratification of the International Labour Organization Fundamental Conventions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forced Labor</th>
<th>Freedom of Association</th>
<th>Discrimination</th>
<th>Child Labor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. 29</td>
<td>C. 105</td>
<td>C. 87</td>
<td>C. 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not ratified.</td>
<td>Not ratified.</td>
<td>Not ratified.</td>
<td>Rati-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fied Nov-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ember 2,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VII. Endnotes

† Voted to adopt: Representatives Levin, Kaptur, Udall, Honda, Walz, Smith, Manzullo, Royce, and Pitts; Senators Dorgan, Baucus, Levin, Feinstein, Brown, Hagel, Smith, and Martinez; Under Secretary Dobriansky, Assistant Secretary Hill, Deputy Secretary Radzely, Under Secretary Padilla, and Assistant Secretary Kramer.
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Notes to Section II—Freedom of Religion


2. See discussion infra, especially China’s Religious Communities—Catholicism, China’s Religious Communities—Islam, and China’s Religious Communities—Protestantism in this section.

3. See China’s Religious Communities—Protestantism—Harassment, Detention, and Other Abuses in this section for additional information.

4. This section of the Committee’s Annual Report primarily uses the expression “freedom of religion” but encompasses within this term reference to the more broadly articulated freedom of thought, conscience, and religion (see, e.g., the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), adopted and proclaimed by General Assembly resolution 217A (III) of 10 December 1948, art. 18).

5. For protections in international law, see, e.g., UDHR, art. 18; International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), adopted by General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 December 1966, entry into force 17 March 1976, art. 18; International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), adopted by General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 December 1966, entry into force 3 January 1976, art. 13(2)(d) (requiring States Parties to “ensure the religious and moral education of . . . children in conformity with [the parents’] own convictions”); Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), adopted and opened for signature, ratification, and accession by General Assembly resolution 44/25 of 20 November 1989, entry into force 2 September 1990, art. 14; Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief, General Assembly resolution 36/55 of 25 November 1981. See General Comment No. 22 to Article 18 of the ICCPR for an official interpretation of freedom of religion as articulated in the ICCPR. General Comment No. 22: The Right to Freedom of Thought, Conscience, and Religion (Art. 18), 30 July 93, para. 1. China is a party to the ICESCR and the CRC, and a signatory to the ICCPR. The Chinese government has committed itself to ratifying, and thus bringing its laws into conformity with, the ICCPR and reaffirmed its commitment on April 13, 2006, in its application for membership in the UN Human Rights Council. China’s top leaders have also stated on other occasions that they are preparing for ratification of the ICCPR, including in March 18, 2008, press conference remarks by Chinese Premier Wen Jiabao, in a September 6, 2005, statement by Politburo member and State Councillor Luo Gan at the 22nd World Congress on Law, in statements by Wen Jiabao during his May 2005 Europe tour, and in a January 27, 2004, speech by Chinese President Hu Jintao before the French National Assembly.


7. As discussed infra, the Chinese government recognizes only Buddhism, Catholicism, Daoism, Islam, and Protestantism for limited state protections.
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In another protest, several hundred Labrang Tashilkhyil monks and ordinary citizens staged a protest march on March 14 and shouted slogans including, "Return us to religion freedom." Tibetan Centre for Human Rights and Democracy (Online); "China Detains Drakar and Gaden Choeling Nuns in Kardze," 17 May 08. Two nuns were detained for calling for religious freedom, among other things. The detentions sparked a larger protest resulting in the detention of at least 10 more nuns. "Tibet Monks Disrupt Tour by Journalists," Associated Press, reprinted in New York Times (Online), 27 March 08. On March 27, a group of Jokhang Temple monks shouted that there was no religious freedom when a group of international journalists on a government-handled tour visited the temple.

The Dalai Lama is the foremost religious teacher of the Gelug tradition of Tibetan Buddhism, whose adherents at present are more numerous than those of other traditions of Tibetan Buddhism such as the Nyingma, Kargyu, and Sakyas. The crisis in Tibet: Finding a Path to Peace, Hearing of the Subcommittee on Asian and Pacific Affairs, Committee on Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate, 23 April 08, Written Statement Submitted by John Negroponte, Deputy Secretary of State. Deputy Secretary Negroponte described the Dalai Lama as "the undisputed spiritual leader of the Tibetan people," and "the spiritual leader of the vast majority of Tibetans." See, for example, Tibetan Centre for Human Rights and Democracy (Online), "Mobile Phone Pictures Depict Intensity of Demonstration in Amdo Labrang," 14 March 08. A protest demonstration by Labrang Tashilkhyil monks "eventually grew into thousands when laypeople also joined in," Tibetan Centre for Human Rights and Democracy (Online), "At Least Eight Shot Dead in Tongkor Monastery in Kardze," 5 April 08. Approximately 300 Tongkor Monastery monks marching in protest toward government offices were "later joined by hundreds of laypeople." "Tibetans Wounded in Sichuan Protest," Radio Free Asia (Online), 5 April 08. "Local people joined protesting monks from Nyatso Monastery (referred to as "Mintso" in the article), increasing the crowd to about 1,000 persons."
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